# 21-1920-cr

# United States Court of Appeals

for the

# Second Circuit

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellee,

-v.-

ARI TEMAN, AKA Sealed Defendant 1,

Defendant-Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

# JOINT APPENDIX Volume 3 of 11 (Pages A-241 to A-480)

KEDAR S. BHATIA
WON S. SHIN
Assistant U.S. Attorney
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
Attorneys for Appellee
One Saint Andrew's Plaza
New York, New York 10007
(212) 637-2200

EDEN QUAINTON
QUAINTON LAW
Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant
2 Park Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10169
(212) 419-0575

# i

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
District Court Docket Entries	A-1
Complaint, filed June 20, 2019	A-46.2
Notice of Appearance, dated August 27, 2019	A-47
Indictment, filed September 26, 2019	A-49
Waiver of Appearance for Arraignment, dated October 14, 2019	A-53
Transcript of Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated October 21, 2019	A-55
Supeseding Indictment, filed November 12, 2019	A-96.1
Transcript of Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated November 21, 2019	A-96.7
Opinion and Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated December 20, 2019	A-97
Second Superseding Indictment, filed January 3, 2020	A-121
Defendant Teman's Requested Voir Dire Questions, filed January 6, 2020	A-129
Excerpts of Transcript of Conference Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 10, 2020	A-137.1
Transcript of Conference Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 10, 2020	A-137.6
Letter from Kedar S. Bhatia to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 14, 2020	A-137.96

	Page
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 21, 2020	A-138
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 22, 2020	A-265
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 23, 2020	A-398
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 24, 2020	A-672
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 27, 2020	A-998
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 28, 2020	A-1187
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 29, 2020	A-1264
Verdict Sheet, dated January 29, 2020	
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 29, 2020	A-1299
Defendant's Motion for Judgment of Acquittal or in the Alternative, Motion for New Trial, filed February 26, 2020	A-1437
Motion for New Trial Based on Undisclosed Brady and Jencks Act Evidence, filed April 9, 2020	

# iii

	Page
Exhibit A to Motion - Excerpts of Transcript of Proceedings, dated August 9, 2018	A-1498
Exhibit B to Motion - Report of Violations of New York City's Housing Maintenance Code	A-1512
Exhibit C to Motion - Report of Violations of New York City's Housing Maintenance Code	A-1513
Exhibit D to Motion - HPD's Housing Maintenance Code Violations User Guide	A-1514
Exhibit E to Motion - Report re: New York Civil Litigation – Joseph Soleimani	A-1548
Exhibit F to Motion - Report re: New York Civil Litigation – Elie Gabay	A-1549
Exhibit G to Motion - Glossary of Terms for Litigation Status Report from HPD	A-1550
Letter from Joseph A. DiRuzzo, III to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 9, 2020	A-1552
Letter from Kedar S. Bhatia, Assistant United States Attorney to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated May 1, 2020 re: Trial Exhibits	A-1554
Government's Exhibits:	
GX 101- GateGuard Account Opening Documents	A-1555
GX 102 - GateGuard Bank Statements	Δ_1550

#### iv

	Page
GX 103- Friend or Fraud Account Opening Documents	A-1581
GX 104- Friend or Fraud Bank Statements	A-1585
GX 105- Touchless Labs Account Opening Documents	A-1609
GX 106- Touchless Labs Bank Statements	A-1613
GX 107 - Ari Teman Account Opening Document	A-1629
GX 108- Ari Teman Bank Statements	A-1631
GX 110- April 19, 2019 2:37 PM Surveillance Photographs	A-1649
GX 111- April 19, 2019 6:00 PM Surveillance Photographs	A-1652
GX 112- May 8, 2019 3_04 PM Surveillance Photographs	A-1655
GX 113- Bank Records Spreadsheet (CD-Rom)*	A-1657.1
GX 114 - Returned Check Records	A-1658
GX 121 - ABJ Lenox Account Opening Document	A-1660

\* GX 113 is a Microsoft Excel file. CD-Rom with the Excel spreadsheet provided to Court and Service parties.

v

	Page
GX 122 - ABJ Lenox Bank Statements	A-1661
GX 123 - ABJ Milano Account Opening Documents	A-1669
GX 124 - ABJ Milano Bank Statements	A-1670
GX 127 - May 2, 2019 Letter - ABJ Lenox	A-1678
GX 129 - May 2, 2019 Letter - ABJ Milano	A-1679
GX 130 - ABJ Lenox Checks	A-1680
GX 131 - ABJ Milano Checks	A-1682
GX 141 - 18 Mercer Account Opening Documents	A-1685
GX 142 - 18 Mercer Bank Statements	A-1694
GX 143 - April 4, 2018 Check from 18 Mercer Equity to GateGuard	A-1699
GX 144 - 518 W 204 Account Opening Documents	A-1700
GX 145 - 518 W 204 Bank Statements	A-1707
GX 146 - January 31, 2018 Check from 518 W 204 to GateGuard	A-1725

### vi

	Page
GX 147 - March 28, 2019 Check from 518 West 205 to GateGuard	A-1726
GX 150 - Affidavit	A-1727
GX 201 - March 28, 2019 Check from Coney Realty to GateGuard	A-1728
GX 202 - March 28, 2019 Check from 18 Mercer Equity to GateGuard	A-1729
GX 203 - April 19, 2019 Check from 518 West 205 to GateGuard	A-1730
GX 204 - April 19, 2019 Check from ABJ Milano to GateGuard	A-1733
GX 205 - April 19, 2019 Check from ABJ Lennox to GateGuard	A-1739
GX 206 - April 19, 2019 GateGuard Counter Deposit	A-1757
GX 401 - September 9, 2017 E-mail - 'We're Live'	A-1758
GX 402 - November 6, 2017 E-mail - 'Current Issues'	A-1760
GX 403 - March 9, 2018 E-mail - 'Ending GateGuard'	A-1761
GX 404 - May 7, 2018 E-mail - 'All Communication in Writing'	A-1762

# vii

	Page
GX 405 - May 22, 2018 E-mail - 'GateGuard'	A-1763
GX 406 - August 26, 2018 E-mail - 'Dispute on Charge'	A-1765
GX 407 - September 18, 2018 E-mail - 'Sublet Spy Hits'.	A-1767
GX 408 - December 14, 2018 E-mail - 'Notice of Intent'	A-1773
GX 409 - June 14, 2019 GateGuard Invoice	A-1775
GX 409A - Invoices (1)	A-1777
GX 409B - Invoices (2)	A-1785
GX 409C - Conversation between Teman and Soleimani	A-1786
GX 412 - January 1, 2018 E-mail - 'Tenant Ana Esterg'	A-1787
GX 413 - January 19, 2018 E-mail - 'Invoice Sent'	A-1788
GX 414 - January 24, 2018 E-mail - 'Form for the 10 Buildings'	A-1791
GX 415 - March 25, 2018 E-mail - 'Invoice for 20 Buildings'	A-1795
GX 416 - March 26, 2018 E-mail - 'Invoice for 20 Buildings' (1)	A-1815

### viii

	Page
GX 417 -	
March 26, 2018 E-mail - 'Invoice for 20 Buildings' (2)	A-1820
GX 418 - March 27, 2018 E-mail - 'Proof it's Your'	A-1829
GX 431 - April 4, 2018 E-mail - 'Invoice'	A-1831
GX 441 - GateGuard Terms and Conditions	A-1834
GX 442 - April 2, 2018 E-mail - 'References'	A-1856
GX 443 - January 7, 2019 E-mail - 'Contract'	A-1862
GX 501 - Bank Records Stipulation	A-1868
GX 702 - January 2, 2019 WhatsApp Messages	A-1872
GX 704 - April 2, 2019 WhatsApp Messages	A-1874
GX 727 - April 2, 2019 Whatsapp Messages (2)	A-1875
GX 728 - April 3, 2019 Whatsapp Messages	A-1876
GX 729 - April 10, 2019 WhatsApp Messages	A-1877
Defense Exhibits:	
DX 2 - Payment Terms (1/27/2019)	A-1880
DX 14 - October 11 2018 F-mail – 'ABI Properties'	A-1887

#### ix

	Page
DX 15 - October 22, 2018 E-mail - 'SubletSpy hits'	A-1889
DX 16 - 'Notice of Hold'	A-1892
DX 29 - Affidavit	A-1893
DX 36 - March 28, 2018 E-mail – 'Invoice for 20 Buildings'	A-1894
DX 49 - Declaration	A-1902
DX 51 - Chase Information Request	A-1903
DX 52 - Chase Information Request	A-1904
DC 62 - March 9, 2018 E-mail Correspondence	A-1928
DX 70 - GateGuard Logs	A-1930
DX 71 - May 22, 2018 E-mail – 'Re: Gateguard'	A-1932
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated May 6, 2020	A-1933
Letter from Joseph A. DiRuzzo, III to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated May 8, 2020	A-1935
Opinion and Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 5, 2020	A-1937
Letter from Joseph A. DiRuzzo, III to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 29, 2020	A-2044

X

	Page
Sentencing Memorandum and Motion for Downward Variance, filed July 7, 2020	A-2045
Exhibit 1 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Dr. Rami Cohen, M.D to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 29, 2020	A-2070
Exhibit 2 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Juhan Sonin to the Honorable Paul A Engelmayer, dated July 6, 2020	
Exhibit 3 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Jeffrey Katz to the Honorable Paul A Engelmayer	
Exhibit 4 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Oliver Josephs t to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 6, 2020	A-2077
Exhibit 5 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Thomas Orosz to the Honorable Pau A. Engelmayer	
Exhibit 6 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from David Diwby to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	
Exhibit 7 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Nachum Klar to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 6, 2020	
Exhibit 8 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Anthony Zachariadis to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 6, 2020	A-2084
Exhibit 9 to Sentencing Memorandum - eJewish Philanthrophy- Coverage of Teman's	A 2005

### xi

	Page
Exhibit 10 to Sentencing Memorandum - HarvardX Verified Certificate of Achievement, issued May 11, 2020	A-2094
Exhibit 11 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Avi Ganz to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 7, 2020	A-2095
Exhibit 12 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Steven Oved to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 15, 2020	A-2098
Exhibit 13 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Rabbi Chaim Lipskar to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 7, 2020	A-2099
Exhibit 14 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Jonathan Lubin to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2101
Exhibit 15 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Talia Reiss to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2103
Exhibit 16 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Rivka Korf to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2104
Exhibit 17 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Russell DiBona to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2105
Letter from Justin K. Gelfand to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated September 8, 2020	A-2107
Appearance of Counsel, dated November 2, 2020	A-2108.1
Letter from Justin Harris to the Honorable Paul Engelmayer, dated November 2, 2020	A-2108.2

### xii

	Page
Exhibit B to Letter - Letter from Justine A. Harris to Kedar Bhatia and Edward A. Imperatore, dated October 23, 2020	A-2108.6
Exhibit C to Letter - Letter from Kedar S. Bhatia to Justine A. Harris, dated October 29, 2020	A-2108.9
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated November 19, 2020	A-2109
Letter from Justine Harris to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated November 20, 2020	A-2111
Letter from Justine Harris to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated November 30, 2020	A-2113
Letter from Audrey Strauss to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated November 30, 2020	A-2114
Transcript of Remote Conference Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated December 1, 2020	A-2116
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 28, 2021	A-2170
Letter Motion from Audrey Strauss to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 23, 2021	A-2173
Exhibit A to Letter - Proposed Order of Restitution	A-2181
Exhibit B to Letter - Proposed Preliminary Order of Forfeiture/Money Judgment	A-2185
Exhibit C to Letter - Document - Finocchiaro 3503-19	A-2189
Exhibit D to Letter -  Payised Document Finocchiaro 3503 10	Δ_2100

#### xiii

	Page
Exhibit E to Letter - Affidavit of Karen Finocchiaro, dated April 2, 2021	A-2191
Letter from Andrew J. Frisch to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 23, 2021	A-2195.1
Attachment to Letter - Curriculum Vitae of Richard M. Fraher	A-2195.3
Letter Motion to Vacate Conviction from Andrew J. Frisch to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 28, 2021	A-2196
Exhibit A to Letter Motion - Document - Finocchiaro 3503-19	A-2211
Exhibit B to Letter Motion - Revised Document - Finocchiaro 3503-19	A-2213
Exhibit C to Letter Motion - E-mail Correspondence, dated November 30, 2020	A-2215
Exhibit D to Letter Motion - E-mail Correspondence, dated March 10, 2021	A-2217
Exhibit E to Letter Motion - E-mail Correspondence, dated March 12, 2021	A-2219
Exhibit F to Letter Motion - Copies of Various Cashier's Checks	A-2221
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated May 5, 2021	A-2223
Letter from Andrew J. Frisch to Kedar Bhatia, dated May 12, 2021	A-2225
Annexed to Letter - Declaration of Richard M. Fraher, executed May 11, 2021	A-2226

# xiv

	Page
Letter from Audrey Strauss to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 9, 2021	A-2236
Letter from Andrew J. Frisch to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 14, 2021	A-2246.1
Letter from Audrey Strauss to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 29, 2021	A-2246.4
Transcript of Conference Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 9, 2021	A-2247
Sentencing Submission Letter from Susan G. Kellman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 16, 2021	A-2315
Transcript of Sentencing Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 28, 2021	A-2333
Notice of Appeal, dated August 2, 2021	A-2458
Letter Motion from Ari Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated March 3, 2022	A-2459
Letter Motion from Ari Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 12, 2022	A-2462
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 13, 2022	A-2463
Letter Motion from Ari Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 13, 2022	A-2465
Letter from Eden P. Quainton to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 13, 2022	A-2467
Notice of Appearance, filed April 13, 2022	A-2469
Letter Motion from Ari B. Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 14, 2022	A-2470

### XV

	Page
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 15, 2022	A-2473
Emergency Letter Motion from Ari B. Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 20, 2022	A-2476
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 20, 2022	A-2479
Emergency Letter Motion from Ari B. Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 20, 2022	A-2481
Letter from Kedar S. Bhatia, Asst. United States Attorney to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 21, 2022	A-2482
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 21, 2022	A-2483

K1LVTEM:
----------

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to raise, if you don't have the time to write the usual letter -- and as an aside, I very much have appreciated the letter-writing I've gotten on each side already. As you can tell, I dig into that immediately and try to resolve things promptly; because my goal is to allow you to be the masters of your cases and to know what my rulings are.

If you're unable in the time available to write one of those letters, at least shoot me an email so that my chambers and I, when we get in very early tomorrow morning, have some idea what's coming from each of you. Okay?

MR. BHATIA: Understood.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

Anything further from the government before we adjourn for the afternoon?

Sorry, from the government.

MR. DiRUZZO: I'm sorry.

(Counsel conferred)

MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, earlier when we had met this morning, your Honor had referenced a letter on a few different topics. Were you still looking for a letter on those topics, your Honor? I think one of them was whether notice was required, whether there's discovery to be done on the advice of counsel issue.

THE COURT: No, no, I think we're covered on that.

My crack staff has run down the law on notice. And

#### K1LVTEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the thrust of it is that while there isn't an affirmative freestanding duty in the federal rules, courts have broad discretion to impose notice and disclosure requirements outside the rules, including with respect to advice of counsel. I could read into the record a number of citations, but I don't think anyone is disagreeing.

I think, in effect, what we did today discharged exactly those -- that authority. In effect, with the extra day here and the process I've used at least to help break the logjam with respect to documents, you are now on notice that the defense is coming; you've been given a preview that it is substantially anchored in oral as opposed to substantially written communications; you have a notion of where they are going; and you've got, I think, a full production of documents from the parties to the privilege relationship. We've also confirmed the waiver of the privilege to the full contours of this case.

So I think, in practice, I have come to discharge the authority I have here in a way that seems proportionate to the challenge. I don't need any more law from you, unless there's an issue you've spotted.

MR. BHATIA: That's good. I just wanted to make sure you weren't waiting for a letter that we didn't file.

THE COURT: You're caught up.

Okay. Defense, anything from you?

T = 1	-	T 7	_	$\overline{}$	2 4	$\sim$
ĸ	- 1	. \ /	. 1	F	M	~

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GELFAND: Just two discrete, completely unrelated to this, evidentiary issues I just wanted to make the Court aware of just so that the Court, as requested, is not essentially caught in the middle of testimony without advanced notice.

There are two records that originate from government premarked exhibits that I would anticipate they intend to introduce into evidence. One set of records involve "surveillance photos" from various bank cameras.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GELFAND: My understanding is that the government intends to -- the government conceives of these -- and I don't want to speak for the government -- as "business records," which we don't dispute that they came -- meaning the documents -- from Bank of America. We're not wasting the Court's time on things.

There's additional foundation rooted in the case law that has to be set before any photographic evidence is accepted into the record. And if the government intends to introduce that with someone who can't lay that foundation, I just wanted the Court to be aware that we anticipate objections along those lines.

THE COURT: One moment.

(Pause)

THE COURT: So I noticed these as well, the Exhibits

K1	L	V	Τ	$\mathbf{E}$	М	3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	110	through	112.	Right?
--	-----	---------	------	--------

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You're not making a 403 argument, which would probably be hard here since it's a picture of a person who looks like your client dressed in unremarkable clothing in an unremarkable setting of a bank. You're laying a foundation for admission as a business record.

MR. GELFAND: It's a 901 basic objection, your Honor. It's an authentication objection.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GELFAND: The parties have engaged in brief dialogue about this. But I think there's just a fundamental lack of a meeting of the minds as to what's required to introduce this into evidence. And I just wanted to --

THE COURT: What, in your view, would be the means of authenticating it?

MR. GELFAND: Testimony from a witness who was either present and can say it fairly and accurately depicts what's in the photo, or testimony from someone who's sufficiently familiar with the recording devices.

THE COURT: I assume that, in fact, there's nobody who necessarily saw Mr. Teman or anyone else who -- it looks like he's using a machine, right? No? Is he dealing with a human being or using a machine here?

MR. GELFAND: There's different photographs.

K	1	L	V	Т	F.	М	3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

beginning -- some originate from ATM machines, some originate from a human interaction, like 111, for example.

THE COURT: Right.

Let me turn to the government.

Government, I take it there's not a live witness who remembers dealing with a person who looks like this on or about the days indicated?

MR. BHATIA: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: So what's the means by which the photos would be authenticated?

MR. BHATIA: The government will seek to introduce these through senior investigator Karen Finocchiaro, who's a Bank of America investigator. She, herself -- I believe she, herself, pulled these photographs from their records. And so I think she'll be able to lay a foundation that these are kept in the ordinary course of Bank of America's business and, sort of, lay the normal business record foundation, which to us seems sufficient to put in the record.

THE COURT: In other words, she will be able to testify based on her familiarity with what she does, that the records are created in the ordinary course of Bank of America's business, and they are maintained in the ordinary course, and that she retrieved them from some -- from a storage area, if you will, the place in which they are routinely maintained?

MR. BHATIA: That's right.

#### K1LVTEM3

THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Gelfand, is there some reason conceptually -- we'll see whether or not the witness is up to the challenge of being a business records custodian, but the government has proffered that she is and then, given her job title, it would not be surprising if she were.

Is there some reason why conceptually one means of authenticating a record like this isn't as a business record; in other words, there may be others, but why not?

MR. GELFAND: Two reasons, your Honor.

The first is that we had actually reached out directly to Bank of America asking for the video footage itself. And our understanding from Bank of America -- and I'm paraphrasing -- is that we don't keep that, we don't have that. It's destroyed.

THE COURT: These are stills though.

MR. GELFAND: These are stills, as I understand it, that were directly taken from video footage.

THE COURT: Right.

So the witness though presumably will testify that she retrieved these, or at least counsel is representing, from some regularly maintained database. Whether or not it continues to be maintained, at least as of the time it was taken, these apparently still existed.

Taking as true what somebody told you, which is that the database that once kept these records doesn't any longer,

#### K1LVTEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what's the relevance there? There are plenty of databases that override, but there are still business record hubs during the period of time before they are overwritten.

Document 460-3

MR. GELFAND: I think it would turn, your Honor, on what she specifically says about the extent to which these were kept and maintained in the ordinary course of business.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GELFAND: It probably states the obvious; it depends on whether she can lay an accurate foundation.

THE COURT: Right. I think that's about as far as we can go here.

I take it you are not saying to me that, as a concept, something about a security footage like this is out of bounds as a business record. It's just blocking and tackling; it's can she lay the foundation. And if you voir dire her about whether the records still exist and why they don't, that may or may not give you some traction in opposing admission.

MR. GELFAND: Correct, your Honor.

I just wanted to give the Court a heads-up that this was an issue that might not otherwise be foreseeable.

THE COURT: Okay. And you've also now given the government a heads-up. And that's useful, because rather than detaining us, if Ms. Finocchiaro -- if I've mangled the name, don't tell her. But she now -- the government will now see what it can do to make sure that she, in fact, is qualified to

A	-2	48

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

say what the government hopes she -- what she needs to say to get this in and, if not, it won't come in, we'll see. But I'm glad to have the notice; it will save us time in front of the jury.

MR. GELFAND: In that regard, your Honor, there's one other --

THE COURT: But let me just ask you, do you have any reason to think, Mr. Gelfand, that the analysis will differ as between 110, 111, and 112, or are we likely all in or all out based on authentication?

MR. GELFAND: Without knowing what she's going to say, assuming they all come from the same databases and things like that, no I think it would all be --

THE COURT: May I ask you, is there a reason why the parties have been unable to stipulate to this? She's testifying anyway, so it may not be a big deal; but it's within the ambit of things that people often stipulate to.

MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, we have gone back and forth in terms of proposed written stipulations. We spoke with qovernment counsel just before the Court took the bench, both this morning and this afternoon. We're going to speak again on stipulations. My anticipation is that we can reach stipulations to the majority of bank records.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GELFAND: We don't want to waste anyone's time.

K1	$_{ m L}$	VI	ΈI	ΜЗ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Γhe	government	doesn't	want	to	waste	anyone's	s name.	We
app:	reciate that	t.						

THE COURT: And, look, I don't know where this would fit in, but -- and we'll see how much she can say. It isn't obvious to me that there is -- unless it's really disputed that your client is the one who negotiated the checks, maybe it is, it's not obvious to me that this is a big deal.

And so, again, your judgment, you're not obliged to stipulate to anything, eight-plus years of doing this and trials in a prior life tell me that juries are not happy about lawyers in either direction fighting about nonevents.

So the question is really whether -- while you're at liberty to insist on your rights that they lay every foundation, the question is just whether it's worthwhile, particularly if it turns out to be an unsuccessful effort and the jury is like, Why did we go through that?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Your choice, but I'm just saying.

MR. GELFAND: I appreciate that.

Most juries hate record custodians, not personally, but --

THE COURT: We won't tell Ms. Finocchiaro that either.

MR. GELFAND: The other exhibit doesn't relate to authenticity. There's exhibits -- I'm trying to find the government's number, the "interview transcription" with --

A-250

II K1LVTEM3

1	THE COURT: You're looking for a government exhibit
2	number?
3	MR. GELFAND: Yeah.
4	Your Honor, it's Government Exhibit 126 and 128.
5	THE COURT: Yes, I wondered about these.
6	What are these?
7	MR. GELFAND: Good question.
8	THE COURT: Sorry, what's your application?
9	MR. GELFAND: Our issue, your Honor, is that we are
10	not disputing that these came from the bank; in other words,
11	we're not being crazy. But what appears here is that there are
12	multiple levels of hearsay that would not make it just readily
13	and easily admissible under just a general business
14	THE COURT: What does this reflect, just a call by
15	somebody affiliated with one of the customer accounts
16	claiming disputing a check and a charge?
17	MR. GELFAND: It's unclear who the declarants are. It
18	appears that Ilana Habibian we asked the government about
19	this yesterday by phone is a bank employee.
20	THE COURT: Right.
21	MR. GELFAND: Then there's reference to Benjamin
22	Soleimani, there's reference to Joseph Soleimani. And it
23	appears to be kind of notes or a transcription of some sort of
24	question and answer.
25	THE COURT: Right.

#### K1LVTEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And is the concern authentication or is the concern that there are statements here that you would not want received for the truth of the matter asserted because they are in the nature of the customer denying permission?

Looks like the latter.

MR. GELFAND: The latter, your Honor.

And to the extent -- this was done with a bank, as opposed to with the government; so I don't think there's any testimonial applications of the confrontation clause.

However, it appears that this is basically a way to "back-door" on statements by someone that were actually written down by someone else.

THE COURT: Well, there are a couple of questions here. But one is authenticating these records; perhaps they are easily authenticated as a business record or otherwise.

But then the issue is there is hearsay here to the extent that the statements are taken for the truth of the matter asserted. The broad point is the customer seems to be alerting the bank to a dispute about a charge.

Let me ask the government, by what means will these come in, and for what purpose would they be coming in?

MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, we believe that these would come in through a JPMorgan Chase records custodian. They could also come in through -- I think they'd come in as a records custodian.

| K1LVTEM3

1	THE COURT: All right. So who's that? Is that
2	MR. BHATIA: I think I have the name somewhere in my
3	records, but I don't recall the name right now.
4	THE COURT: But that person would be called as a
5	witness?
6	MR. BHATIA: They would be called as a witness.
7	THE COURT: What are these records? What's the
8	species of records? How do we refer to these?
9	MR. BHATIA: So these records are stored, as I
10	understand it, in the JPMorgan Chase loss system. It's an
11	internal system that they have to record records like these
12	regarding, I think, fraud investigations. But I believe it's
13	like a regularly kept
14	THE COURT: But it's a regularly kept log of customer
15	calls?
16	MR. BHATIA: In this case it's a customer call, that's
17	right.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	So let's assume for argument's sake that your witness
20	is able to say, Here at JPMorgan we prepare a typed synopsis of
21	customer calls, and then we regularly maintain them in a
22	database. That gets you through one level of hearsay, that
23	these words were said to JPMorgan Chase. That doesn't get you
24	to the second level of hearsay, which is that what the customer
25	said to JPMorgan Chase can be taken for the truth of the matter

asserted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The customer could have also said that, you know, Mr. Imperatore was the shooter on the grassy knoll; it doesn't come in for the truth of the matter asserted.

The point is there's a second layer of hearsay here. What do you propose to do about that?

(Counsel conferred)

MR. BHATIA: So, your Honor, I think on one hand these are statements -- we believe that these are statements of Joseph Soleimani, one of the witnesses that we expect to call at trial.

THE COURT: Still is hearsay if it's for the truth of the matter asserted, unless there's a hearsay exception.

MR. BHATIA: So we think that's a prior consistent statement.

THE COURT: To rebut a charge of recent fabrication.

MR. BHATIA: We believe that that's going to be one of the -- that could be a line of attack regarding this witness.

THE COURT: Well, let's see. What's the date -- the Soleimani call appears to be May 2nd, 2019.

MR. BHATIA: That's right.

THE COURT: And when does he go to the authorities?

MR. BHATIA: This is the time when he reported to the

bank, at least, that he wanted this to be charged back.

THE COURT: Okay. And your theory is that this is a

#### K1LVTEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prior consistent statement to rebut a charge by the defense that Mr. Soleimani, some time after this date -- only after this date developed the theory that he -- that the charges were unauthorized?

MR. BHATIA: We believe that it might be argued that Mr. Soleimani is only now saying that he never authorized these checks. And it's not a genuine belief that he wants these -that he wasn't -- that these checks weren't authorized. So we believe that it might be challenged that this is a recent fabrication.

THE COURT: But if the defense's view is that all along he's been fabricating it, and that he never authorized it, and that whenever he started to say it, it was a fabrication -- in other words, the idea of the charge -- the exception with respect to prior consistent statements is that there was some motive that developed in between to lie.

In this case, though, I'm not sure why that works. The victims are the ones who catalyze the whole case, and they apparently do so with a call like this. So why is it that this is really to rebut a charge of recent fabrication? Is there something that happens in between?

MR. BHATIA: So, of course, we aren't exactly sure what the defense's cross-examination and arguments might be. But we also believe that under this rule, under -- I want to pull up the right rule, it's 801(d)(1)(B), it's also

#### K1LVTEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appropriate to rehabilitate a declarant's credibility as a witness when attacked on other grounds.

THE COURT: Right. Go ahead.

MR. BHATIA: And so we believe that, in particular, if the argument here is that this isn't a genuinely held belief, then it's also relevant that Mr. Soleimani made the same claim on a different date.

THE COURT: Why is it important that these statements, as opposed to Mr. Soleimani's testimony, come in for the truth of the matter asserted? They clearly come in for other purposes. They explain the bank's later conduct; they essentially unspool the events in this case. The issue is solely for the truth of the matter asserted. I'm assuming here that a business records foundation comes in for the fact of the complaint by Soleimani. Presumably he's going to testify to the same effect at trial, right?

MR. BHATIA: That's right.

THE COURT: So why does it matter if his earlier statements to the bank come in for the truth of the matter asserted as opposed to simply to reflect the fact that he first made the claim at a particular date and then that's what caused the bank to act? What difference does it make?

MR. BHATIA: I think it might affect the weight given to the evidence by the jury. I think we're entitled to say that he made a true statement before, and he's making a true

K1	т	τ7	т	$\overline{}$	ъ л	-
ĸ		\ /	- 1	н	IVI	-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

statement	today	that	you	can	credit	fully.	And	Ι	think	we're
entitled	to unde	er 80	1.							

THE COURT: One possibility you're offering is 801(d)(1)(B)(i). But that requires a recent fabrication or a recent improper influence or motive in so testifying. Again, we'll see whether or not the testimony supplies that.

But the defense may simply be all along he was making this up for the oldest motive known to man, he wanted more money. And it's not that there is something recent; it's just that all along he's telling a falsehood that Teman didn't have a right to create or negotiate these checks. It's not that something recent happened, he just -- he doesn't like the deal he struck with Teman and he wants his money back.

That's one possibility.

I don't know whether you're going to get a recent fabrication claim here as opposed to a wholesale, all along fabrication claim here.

The other argument is to rehabilitate the declarant's credibility as a witness when attacked on another ground.

What might that ground be that properly triggers this? MR. BHATIA: I think that other ground might be that this is not a generally held belief, which is like what you've referenced earlier, that he doesn't genuinely believe these were unauthorized, but he's welshing on a deal.

So I think there his credibility is being attacked as

ĸ	1	L	۲7	т	F	М	-2
TΛ	_	ш	v	_	ند	T.T	J

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sort of not giving truthful --

THE COURT: On the grounds that he's been saying this all along, in effect.

MR. BHATIA: It is rehabilitative to say he's been saying this all along.

THE COURT: Or, I guess, the argument would be the fact that he made the statement soon after getting the account statement revealing the deduction or the charge is an indicator that he meant what he said; he reacted quickly.

MR. BHATIA: That's right.

THE COURT: Defense counsel, for what it's worth, I would think that it does tend to support the witness's credibility to the extent that soon after receiving the account statement with the disputed charge, he reacted to it in the way that one would argue a person who felt that the charge was bogus did.

So I'm happy to hear argument at the time, but assuming authentication, I think that latter point supplies a good reason to allow this to come in for the truth. It's not that it's recent fabrication; it's that it is credibility enhancing that he made the statement soon after getting the account statement.

MR. GELFAND: Can I just clarify something and perhaps a government proffer would help with this.

At first and second reading of this document, it was

							_
V	1	T	777	ГΙ	다.	M	2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unclear to me whether the declarant was Benjamin Soleimani, because there's a thing that says "authentication known customer."

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GELFAND: And it actually occurs twice. And then it just says, Signer to contact Joseph Soleimani. And then it's unclear who's actually calling, at least from the face of the record.

THE COURT: Right.

Who is Benjamin Soleimani, government counsel?

MR. BHATIA: Benjamin Soleimani and Joseph Soleimani are the two principals of ABJ; they are brothers.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BHATIA: We believe though that the declarant in these records -- and this is what I expect the evidence will show -- was Joseph Soleimani.

THE COURT: It looks so. Right.

MR. GELFAND: Okay.

THE COURT: Anything follow from that or just --

MR. GELFAND: Obviously if it wasn't Joseph Soleimani, and Benjamin Soleimani is not testifying.

THE COURT: Right. Understood.

Look, they are both principals of ABJ. The thesis here you are presumably suggesting is that ABJ made this up after the fact. And to the extent that ABJ gets the account

#### K1LVTEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

statement and one of its principals calls fraud on it right away is not irrelevant in formulating a judgment about whether ABJ, through whichever principal, genuinely believed it never agreed to this.

We'll see if it's authenticated. But my instinct at this point is that it likely does come in for the truth of the matter asserted. We'll see.

Appreciate your raising the issue early.

MR. GELFAND: Understood.

There's one other brief issue.

Some of the government's more recent Jencks material that's been disclosed in more recent discovery within the last week or so includes statements by witnesses -- in particular, bank-type witnesses, bank investigators, things like that -that these were deemed to be "fraudulent" or fraud. And I would ask that those witnesses not opine in front of the jury that something is or isn't fraud, because ultimately that's what this trial is about.

THE COURT: Why isn't the right answer for me to just -- well, what would be the purpose of their saying that government counsel -- in other words, how is that integral to the narrative here?

MR. BHATIA: I think it's important to show the steps that different parties took in triggering the chargebacks in question here. We expect that -- as I think I've mentioned, we

K	1 1	гτ	75	דיד	תיק	ĸΟ
n		1 '	V .	ΙГ	יווי	11.7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expect that one of the defenses might be the victims were just looking out for themselves and were trying to get their money back. In fact, I think it will be shown that they went through a process, and some of those process involves flagging fraudulent activity.

THE COURT: Wait a minute.

The fact that some munchkin at JPMorgan Chase concludes that something is fraud doesn't make it so. They haven't done a full investigation.

Did anyone at JPMorgan Chase, for example, ever look at any of the contracts between a Teman company and a customer? Probably not.

MR. BHATIA: They didn't, your Honor.

THE COURT: Right.

So the real issue is if they use the label "fraud" in the course of their work, the most important thing is that I instruct the jury that whatever label JPMorgan Chase uses must be disregarded by you as simply -- as anything other than simply describing the internal label they put on it. In the end, it is for the jury to determine whether or not the elements of the types of fraud here are established. That's the relevant point.

MR. BHATIA: That's right.

THE COURT: Defense counsel, the word "fraud" is all over the case. It's going to be in my voir dire. So I'm not

### K1LVTEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sure it's quite like saying heroin or something.

So it seems to me that the fact that the bank made that conclusion, which is presumably derivative of what the customer told them, if anything, it gives you an opportunity -it allows you to say, Did the customer tell you that there was a contract, or whatever your cross would be. But I think the right answer is for you to ask me to give a limiting instruction to the jury that whatever label the bank put on this is of no moment.

MR. GELFAND: We appreciate that.

We'll propose a limiting instruction.

THE COURT: That's fine.

I'm certainly prepared to do one with or without particular wording, but I think that's the right call.

It's hard, where the bank has a nomenclature for a process like this to -- for me to police their grammar. And sometimes doing that suggests, when the word slips out, that there's actually some importance to it. So it seems to me the defense's interest is better protected by my giving a firm instruction rather than making it look like it's all that radioactive.

MR. GELFAND: I think that's fair.

Our concern, as I think the Court understands, is that the jury goes back and says, Well, these seasoned bank investigators say this is fraud; it must be bank fraud.

A-262

125

K1	LL	V)	ſΕ	ΜЗ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Look, that plays into your defense of a rush to judgment, right?

MR. GELFAND: Sure.

THE COURT: You're then going to treat the bank as synonymous with the government as having rushed to judgment and not looked at the contract before making a decision. So another way to look at this is an opportunity for you.

MR. GELFAND: Understood.

THE COURT: All right.

Anything further? Go ahead. Yes.

MR. DiRUZZO: I have one thing, Judge.

In thinking about the privilege issues, would the Court be amenable for the parties submitting protective and a clawback order? Because obviously the privilege issues that we're talking about are going to be waived. But in the production that the government is going to get, there very well could be a straight email. And I don't want unrelated civil litigation —

THE COURT: I see.

Let me just say this: You want to make sure that in litigating advice of counsel here, you're not waiving any more than is necessary.

MR. DiRUZZO: Correct.

THE COURT: The parties can negotiate what they want to negotiate. I'm certainly not understanding your waiver with

K	1	Ľ	τ7	т	T.	ъπ	$^{\circ}$
n		1 .	17	- 1	т.	11	_

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

respect to this case as intending to waive as to any other controversy. But as it relates to potential civil litigation, hypothetically, with the banks or whatnot, it's hard for me to see how there isn't a waiver here that runs to this controversy. So I'm not --

MR. DiRUZZO: To be a little more precise, Judge, I'm worried about that Mr. Reinitz is going to divulge an email on unrelated civil litigation that has nothing to do with bank fraud, RCCs --

THE COURT: I see. You want to make sure that if there's an overproduction here, you have a right to claw back the document or at least make sure that a production of privileged material on an exogenous subject isn't treated as a waiver.

MR. DiRUZZO: Exactly.

THE COURT: All right. I'm happy to just announce now that you have the right -- if you act promptly -- to claw back unrelated materials if they are produced in the course of your forthcoming production of attorney-client materials. But, as with all issues with respect to errant productions, fortune favors the well-prepared and the swift, meaning an attempt to claw back a document is more likely to be respected if it's done sooner rather than later.

> MR. DiRUZZO: Okay. Understood. Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: Does that give you the comfort you need?

	K1LVTEM3
1	MR. DiRUZZO: Yes, it does.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
3	Anything further from anyone?
4	MR. DiRUZZO: No, Judge.
5	MR. BHATIA: No, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	I'll see you at 8:30.
8	And again, I expect an email, copying the other side,
9	to my chambers if there's anything of any consequence that you
10	intend to raise tomorrow morning.
11	Thank you. See you at 8:30.
12	(Adjourned to January 22, 2020 at 8:30 a.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A-265

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 1 of 133 128

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

129

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 2 of 133

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

(In open court)

THE COURT: All right. Good morning, counsel. Be seated.

Thank you, everyone, for the hard overnight work. Oh, Mr. Teman is not here.

MR. GELFAND: He just went to the restroom.

THE COURT: All right. Do you waive his appearance? We've got business to take care of. He really needs to be in his seat.

MR. GELFAND: Absolutely.

THE COURT: There are a handful of issues that came in overnight. Before turning to the two specific issues raised by the government's letters, let me just take care of one item which should be self explanatory and obvious, but I want to make absolutely sure there is no misapprehension among counsel.

Defense counsel, there are a handful of subjects that obviously should not be properly included in a defense opening here, but insofar as you're out of district and we have had a hiccup or two, I want to make absolutely sure that the Court's pretrial rulings are not to be commented on in an opening; the defendant spending time in jail after his arrest is not properly part of an opening; the speedy trial violation that the court found is not properly part of an opening; good character evidence, you know, per the commentary before doesn't belong in the opening. I don't know if you're planning to say

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 3 of 133

130

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

something about whether Mr. Teman does or doesn't have a criminal record, but I don't know whether that's going to be coming into evidence somehow or on what theory, but unless you've got a coherent theory under which that's going to be received in evidence, it shouldn't be commented upon. All agree?

MR. DIRUZZO: Yes, your Honor. I will be giving opening, and I understand what you said, and it's not a problem.

THE COURT: Great. I just want to make sure you're sticking to the facts and the issues here and not courting objections. I don't like objections during an opening, but if there is something that's well over the line, I will sustain it, and that doesn't ever make anybody happy.

So I have received two letters from the government. One I think is quite straightforward, which just involves a specific exhibit from Bank of America's records, exhibit 34.

Defense, were you actually offering this document? The government's letter at docket 84 raises the issue of whether Defense Exhibit 34 -- which appears to involve some other customer -- is being offered. Obviously you haven't had a chance to respond. I can't imagine what the theory of relevance would be.

MR. DIRUZZO: Your Honor, our theory is that it goes to Mr. Teman's intent, because this is another RCC with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 4 of 133

131

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

same language, drop our contract, no signature required, in the bottom right-hand side. It was drawn on Signature Bank, one of the banks that I believe --

THE COURT: Why does it go to his state of mind that he engaged in the same conduct? It doesn't mean that it's legal or illegal. It could be ripping off the other customer or not, but it just means he did the same thing. I mean it's not like somebody advised him at the bank, yeah, this is a great thing to do, and you must have gotten the customer's permission.

MR. DIRUZZO: No, but it goes towards his concept that RCCs are permissible under the law.

THE COURT: One moment.

Mr. Teman, you need to be in your seat at 8:30. Your counsel waived your appearance so I could proceed, but you need to be here.

Go ahead.

MR. DIRUZZO: It goes towards his belief that RCCs were permissible under the law, there is nothing nefarious or improper with an RCC.

THE COURT: Wait. Because he has a check that he deposits as an RCC to some other customer doesn't go to his belief. It doesn't make it more likely that he believes that these are legal or illegal. It could be that he ripped off another customer, or it could be that another customer gave him

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 5 of 133 132 K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

authorization that these customers did not. We're not going to try the case of Gold Management.

First of all, it should have been obvious under the principles that I set out earlier in response to the qovernment's motion in limine that quote unquote good character evidence, specific instances, are not admissible. But beyond that, this invites a trial within a trial because who knows what the course of dealings were with this other customer who is not the subject of an indictment. The dealings with Gold may or may not situate Gold in a different position from the landlords who are entities 1, 2, 3 and 4, and so whatever he did or didn't do with respect to Gold, A, it appears to be in the nature of quote unquote good character evidence; but, B, beyond that would only be potentially germane if the fact pattern was on all fours with the other customers. And we're not going to have a trial within a trial about his business relationship involving a customer who is not a subject of the charges here.

MR. DIRUZZO: I understand, your Honor, and I understand your ruling, and I would just submit that you take Defense Exhibit 34 as our offer of proof.

THE COURT: Very good. So, Exhibit 34 is out; I will grant the government's motion at docket 84.

All right. The second issue involves the scope of the waiver. As expressed yesterday, the defendant in order to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 6 of 133

133

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

facilitate his advice of counsel defense, made a subject matter waiver scope with respect to lawyer Reinitz with respect to advice and communications with Reinitz with respect to the issues in this case, i.e., involving the use of RCCs and the like. The government raises the issue of whether the defense intends to assert a temporal limit to that waiver, with the limit being the date of the defendant's arrest. The government notes that at least for some period of time Mr. Reinitz appears to have represented the defendant in connection with the initial proceedings in this case.

So, let me ask the defense a few factual questions. When, if at all, did Mr. Teman terminate the representation of himself or his companies by Mr. Reinitz? Or does that representation continue to this day?

MR. GELFAND: It continues to this day, your Honor.

THE COURT: So Mr. Reinitz continues to represent the defendant and his companies?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In a business way.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And when, if at all, did Mr. Reinitz represent the defendant in connection with the criminal case?

MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, when Mr. Teman was arrested out of state, my understanding is Mr. Teman advised the authorities arresting him, you know, Mr. Reinitz is my lawyer.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 7 of 133

134

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

He didn't have a reason to believe he needed a criminal defense lawyer at the time. He didn't have a criminal defense lawyer at the time.

THE COURT: Well, he obviously needed a criminal defense attorney if he was arrested. You're simply saying he didn't have one yet.

MR. GELFAND: The arrest was a surprise to Mr. Teman.

THE COURT: Right. But once he's arrested he needs a criminal defense lawyer.

MR. GELFAND: No, of course, your Honor. But I'm saying it's not like he had someone lined up prior to.

THE COURT: So what happens?

MR. GELFAND: So, as I understand it -- I wasn't involved in the case at the time -- Mr. Reinitz makes initial contact with Detective Alessandrino, who ultimately gives him the prior assistant U.S. attorney's name and contact info, Mr. Gutwillig, and there is some very early conversations of essentially where is he, what's the charge, what is the charging document, and then Mr. Reinitz works to facilitate getting Mr. Teman criminal counsel initially in Florida for the initial matters, and ultimately here, ultimately culminating in Mr. Teman retaining Mr. DiRuzzo and I initially.

THE COURT: You two are the next lawyers in after Mr. Reinitz?

MR. GELFAND: We're the next lawyers to formally

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 8 of 133

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

represent Mr. Teman. Mr. Reinitz facilitated or participated in some discussions with other potential lawyers.

THE COURT: Understood, right. And then the issue and to what degree did Mr. Reinitz participate formally or informally in the representation of Mr. Teman in connection with this matter by which I mean the arrest forward?

MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, can I have one minute, please?

THE COURT: Sure. I would -- sure.

MR. BHATIA: Your Honor?

MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, my understanding is that
Mr. Reinitz did not represent Mr. Teman in the criminal matter,
but as essentially civil counsel or corporate counsel
Mr. Reinitz was involved -- including with us -- on certain
discussions relevant to diligence, about possible defenses,
understanding the underlying facts, things like that.

THE COURT: Well, that complicates things then because for obvious reasons. I mean he is a fact — you obviously would like to use him as a fact witness. You can't have this as a sword and a shield. His communications with Mr. Teman relating to this subject matter are fair game. You can't be drawing lines within that.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  GELFAND: We agree, your Honor. The issue is the -- I think there is a very --

THE COURT: I mean he continues to represent the Teman

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

136

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 9 of 133

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

companies to this day and Mr. Teman in a business level.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So, is there anything -- I appreciate that communications about the terms of bail are separate; that's a different subject matter. Communications that don't relate to the business practices at issue are a different story, but the waiver here relates to the business practices at issue. You aren't trying to limit any inquiry about that by time, are you?

MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor. What we are limiting, to be very precise, is communications that are not about essentially reliance on the contracts, the RCCs, the subject matter related to these entities, but that are about you may want to hire this lawyer, you may want to hire this lawyer.

THE COURT: There may not be a controversy here. what you're saying is Mr. Reinitz provided advice -- whether we call it legal or business -- he has provided advice about the retention of counsel or things like that, that's outside of the scope of a waiver because it's a different subject matter. But to the extent that Mr. Reinitz spoke with Mr. Teman at any point before or after last July 3 relating to RCCs, checks, his dealings with entities 1 through 4, those subjects are fair game for inquiry given the waiver.

MR. GELFAND: We don't disagree, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. So I think that moots the government's motion. In other words, you are not in fact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 10 of 133

137

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

drawing a temporal limit within the subject matter, to wit, Mr. Teman's business dealings with entities 1 through 4, his RCCs, the check, creation and negotiating practices at issue both historically and going forward. That's within the scope of the waiver. What you want to make sure is that the government doesn't get into issues involving, for example, the selection of legal representatives or the negotiation of bail terms or the like, or consideration of a guilty plea or not.

MR. GELFAND: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have I articulated the line correctly? MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor. And, to be clear, we -- what teed up this issue was actually not the defense's approach to the Court's order yesterday, but was a separate subpoena that the government issued to Mr. Reinitz last night. And there was a second draft of it, as I understand it. Mr. Reinitz sent us a copy of the subpoena, the trial subpoena. The subpoena added -- initially it was for all intents and purposes, essentially the scope of the Court's order with a couple extra things of dates -- records of dates when he spoke with Mr. Teman, things that I'm sure Mr. Reinitz will respond to. The additional aspect was any communications that Mr. Reinitz had with Mr. Teman about the complaint or the indictment, and that involves communications that we believe are outside the limited waiver.

THE COURT: Well, the answer is it may include some,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

138

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 11 of 133

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

but it may include ones that are within the scope of the waiver. If Mr. Reinitz and Mr. Teman spoke about the business practices that are implicated by the complaint, that's clearly within the scope of the waiver, correct?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Whereas if they spoke about the implications of being a federal felon, or being arrested, or having an ankle bracelet, or a particular cosigner, or the terms of engagement of a criminal defense specialist, that's outside the scope of the waiver.

MR. GELFAND: That's our theory.

THE COURT: But you would agree that conversations he had with Mr. Teman about the conduct at issue, including Mr. Teman's dealings with the four entities, the charged conduct, regardless of the date and time of those communications, are within the scope of the subject matter waiver.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Government, have we cleared up the issue? Is there some scenario that you think has been left blurry?

MR. BHATIA: I think your Honor has covered most of it. I just want to be clear just so there isn't some confusion down the road. It is our view that a conversation like regarding the indictment -- in Count One we charged certain checks, you told me in Count One -- I think -- let me rephrase

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

139

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 12 of 133

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

that. Conversations regarding the complaint or the indictment and the substance of it I think would still be covered by the waiver.

THE COURT: Well, the substance of it certainly, but the legal implications from a criminal defense perspective of, let's say, pleading guilty, or going to trial, or who to hire, that's a different story. And so I think the line here involves if they are looking back retrospectively about the conduct at issue and discussing that, Mr. Gelfand is candidly saying that's within the scope of the waiver -- and he is nodding now. And, similarly, if they continue to discuss these business practices going forward -- for whatever relevance that theoretically might have or not -- that's within the scope of the waiver; it's a subject matter waiver.

But Mr. Teman -- presumably not knowing any other lawyer -- got his business lawyer involved in the early process of staffing his case, and although presumably Mr. Reinitz knows little about criminal law, he knew enough to work the phone and try to spot talent. That hunt for a legal team doesn't seem to me to be within the scope -- and I don't think you're saying otherwise -- even though one can imagine conversations about the complaint influencing that: Who is a good white collar lawyer? Who has had experience with bank fraud? In that respect it's glancingly touching the complaint, but it's clearly not what any of us understand to be the scope of the

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 13 of 133 140 K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

1	waiver. Do you agree with that?
2	MR. BHATIA: I agree with part of what you said, that
3	I would call it maybe the administrative part of finding a good
4	lawyer, negotiating terms of engagement wouldn't be covered.
5	In fact I'm not sure that would be relevant to this case
6	anyway.
7	But conversations about should I take a guilty plea or
8	should I not take a guilty plea to the conduct that you
9	previously advised me about, I do think would be covered. What
10	I want to make clear is that it is the government's position
11	that
12	THE COURT: Let's see if this is an academic issue or
13	not.
14	Defense counsel, without giving me the content if
15	we need to go into the robing room for an in camera discussion,
16	we will can you tell me declaratively now whether Mr. Teman
17	had any discussions with Mr. Reinitz at any point about whether
18	or not to take a plea in this case?

MR. GELFAND: Based on my client's recollection and everything I know about the case, never.

THE COURT: Did Mr. Reinitz continue to be any part of the defense group here after present counsel were retained? Did he assist in any way in shaping the representation of Mr. Teman in this case? If so, we have an issue.

MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor, but I want to caveat

24

25

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 14 of 133 141 K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

1	that with one small caveat, and that's at various times
2	Mr. Reinitz directly provided us information, documents, no
3	different from any other witness.
4	THE COURT: But that's in his capacity as a fact
5	witness as to advice of counsel. But did he play any role in
6	shaping the defense in this case? You would not be asserting
7	an attorney/client privilege based on a common interest
8	communication. The privilege you have is the waived one, here
9	involving your communications with a fact witness, and you have
LO	now waived a privilege as to that. Do I have that right?
.1	MR. GELFAND: You do, your Honor. He did not
.2	participate in any way other than as a fact witness. And, to
.3	be precise, some communications, Mr. Reinitz in the ordinary
4	course of his practice may have used phrases like "privileged"
L5	and "confidential" in giving them to us, but I don't draw any
-6	significance to that in our analysis.
.7	THE COURT: You are not claiming he has ever been part
L8	of the defense team of this case.
L9	MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: And when was the first of you or
21	Mr. Gelfand retained in this case?
22	MR. GELFAND: I am Mr. Gelfand.

Mr. DiRuzzo retained in this case?

THE COURT: Forgive me. When was the first of you and

MR. GELFAND: Very soon after Mr. Teman was released

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 15 of 133 142 | K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

1	on bond in Florida.
2	THE COURT: So late in the first half of July, July 8,
3	9 or 10.
4	MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor. As a technical matter
5	maybe just a week or two later.
6	THE COURT: But you are saying from the point in which
7	you were retained forward, the only communications you have
8	had speaking now about you and Mr. DiRuzzo with
9	Mr. Reinitz bear on in effect his role as a fact witness as
10	opposed to shaping the criminal defense effort here.
11	MR. GELFAND: Yes, again with one caveat, and that's
12	there is some related civil not related at all unrelated
13	civil issues, and Mr. Reinitz in representing Mr. Teman or his
14	companies in those completely unrelated matters at various
15	times inquired about whether or not certain things might have
16	an impact on the criminal case.
17	THE COURT: What are the unrelated matters?
18	MR. GELFAND: There is a civil litigation involving a
19	company called MVI. It's a dispute over a rival intercom
20	system.
21	THE COURT: So that's a competitor dispute, not a
22	dispute with a customer.
23	MR. GELFAND: Correct. It's a trade secret case, as I
24	understand it.

THE COURT: So, I understand Mr. Reinitz I gather

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 16 of 133 K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

represents Mr. Teman in connection with competitor disputes.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And the reason that became a germane subject with the criminal defense team here -- again be careful, I don't want you to inadvertently comprise unrelated legal interests of Mr. Teman. I just want to make sure that I'm setting clear ground rules. Can you explain what led that to be a germane subject for you?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor. Generally, not limited to that matter, but matters completely unrelated to the entities in this case, and to the RCCs or anything like that, as with any ongoing litigation there was some general discussion, matter of, you know -- I am going to phrase this broadly -- but if we do something in the civil case, could that have a negative impact on the other case.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GELFAND: As a practical matter, just to be clear with the Court, Mr. Reinitz -- when we got involved in the case -- me being Mr. Justin Gelfand -- I went to college with Mr. Teman. That's how I know Mr. Teman.

THE COURT: What college?

MR. GELFAND: Brandeis University. As a practical matter we were certainly not in touch on a daily basis or anything like that from college, but Mr. Teman with Mr. Reinitz initially retained counsel that I don't know who represented

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 17 of 133 144 K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

him in the bond hearings and things like that.

THE COURT: In Florida.

MR. GELFAND: In Florida. And Eric Irons in another attorney who played a minimal role in the very early stages of the criminal case. There are some communications that I am aware of between Mr. Reinitz and those two attorneys. Then Mr. Teman reached out directly to me.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GELFAND: And doing my diligence I had some initial conversations with Mr. Reinitz, who I understood had been in very broad strokes essentially quote unquote corporate counsel quite some time, to obviously do my diligence, educate myself about the case. And understanding all those things, there are some early communications between Mr. Reinitz and his other attorneys that preceded my involvement in the case that we are aware of, and then there is communications from the time I got involved.

I mean candidly, your Honor, the nature -- and I am happy to speak in very general terms -- the nature of the conversations about what I'm calling the unrelated litigation fell into the category of, to be blunt, generally if a defendant facing criminal charges, you know, can avoid being put under oath, that's a good thing.

THE COURT: I see. In other words, the concern -- for example, in those cases there is an interplay, because if Mr.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

145

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 18 of 133

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

Teman is deposed in those cases he may say something, he may be questioned about the pending criminal case here. If he invokes his Fifth Amendment privileges in that case, that may hurt him in that case in order to protect him here, and so somebody was mediating the relationship between his interests in the two cases.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor. And there were discussions that were not fact witness discussions but lawyer-to-lawyer discussions in that vein.

THE COURT: I think we have gotten to a point where there ought to be clarity here. Mr. Gelfand, as always, you have been gracious and clear.

I want to make sure, government, that at this point there is clarity, and it seems to me that what you are seeking you have gotten that which is reasonable, which is to say that the subject matter of the conduct here -- regardless of time scope -- is covered by the waiver as to the Teman/Reinitz communication, but there may be a limited subset of Reinitz/Teman conversations that are out of bounds, what you call the administrative or bail subjects, or advice about, for example, the interplay between this action and other litigations that Reinitz represents Teman on. I don't think that's really in play here.

> Do you need any more clarity from the court? MR. BHATIA: Can I just have a moment to confer with

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

146

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 19 of 133

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

defense counsel for a moment? 1

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

OK, counsel have conferred with each other and amongst themselves. Mr. Bhatia, anything further?

MR. BHATIA: Yes, thank you, Judge Engelmayer. Apologies for the delay.

THE COURT: No worries.

MR. BHATIA: I spoke to defense counsel. I was prompted to have this conversation because as part of the discovery of the conversations involving Mr. Reinitz we received this morning one draft of a letter dated January 22 from Mr. Reinitz and then another draft --

THE COURT: January 22 this year.

MR. BHATIA: That's today. We received a letter dated today from Mr. Reinitz sent to defense counsel, and then we received a second version of that letter, a final draft maybe. We wanted to make sure that there weren't conversations that would say make version one look like version two. Defense counsel has represented that there weren't those communications. But we think conversations like that would be fair game to get into.

THE COURT: It depends on the context. If they're taking about baseball, that's one thing. If they are talking about the implications of a different civil litigation on this, that's also out of bounds. If they are talking about the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

147

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 20 of 133

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

content of the charges in this case or the business practices at issue, things like that, that's within the scope. Think we've covered that.

MR. BHATIA: I think it does have to do with the scope here, so I think those would be germane, and they would be fair for us to get into and then be subject to the waiver. think at this point, without more specifics about what exact areas we would get into, I think we are all on the same page.

THE COURT: My goal with all of these rulings is to let counsel try their case without interruption in front of the jury by me. I want you to have clarity as to the ground rules so we fight it out beforehand with enough notice so that you can organize your courtroom advocacy.

Have we achieved the necessary clarity as to the advice of counsel issue?

MR. BHATIA: I think so.

THE COURT: All right.

Look, given the sensitivity of counsel issues -although as a general matter I love to have issues addressed other than at side bars when at all possible -- as the trial moves on, if we see that you're in an area where you have any sense that there could be anything ticklish, you may ask for a sidebar about that, and I will be solicitous of that, particularly where it involves Mr. Reinitz, because I want to make sure in areas involving attorney/client and waiver that we

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 21 of 133 148

	K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED
1	don't inadvertently have something coming up in front of the
2	jury that was outside the scope of the waiver. But I think you
3	have the necessary clarity.
4	I understood the main spirit of your letter to be
5	resisting the idea of a temporal sunset on the waiver on the
6	subject matter waiver and you have gotten clarity both from
7	me and from Mr. Gelfand that there is no temporal sunset on the
8	subject matter waiver.
9	MR. BHATIA: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: All right. I think we have now taken care
11	of the matters that were the subject of letters.
12	Beginning with the government I would like to give
13	you a break before the jury comes does anyone have anything
14	else to raise begin with the government?
15	MR. BHATIA: No, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Mr. DiRuzzo?
17	MR. DIRUZZO: Yes, your Honor. Just so it's clear and
18	for purposes of the record.
19	THE COURT: Sorry, just a little clearer. This is an
20	old and wonderful but a fun house of a courtroom, so please
21	always speak loudly and into the mics.
22	MR. DIRUZZO: Sure. So, your Honor, in complying with
23	this Court's order, we do have a binder of the privileged

THE COURT: These are the materials that were given to

information to give to you.

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

149

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 22 of 133

A-286

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

the government, right? I'm not getting something that the government doesn't already have.

MR. DIRUZZO: Correct, your Honor.

Your Honor, last night -- yesterday asked for materials both in paper and electronically. I provided to your chambers the documents electronically. I also provided those same documents to the government electronically. The problem that I have with this binder is this binder, the paper, is incomplete. There are a couple tranches that got to both your chambers and the government 2 o'clock in the morning, and as you can imagine --

THE COURT: All is forgiven; I appreciate that. That's fine. So, can you just top it up so that by tomorrow morning I have whatever the balance is?

MR. DIRUZZO: Sure. I will give it to you all at one time.

THE COURT: Well, why don't you give me what you have, give it to my law clerk. I don't know that any of it is likely to be germane today, but I try to keep ahead of things so that to the extent I can flip through it, it just gives me more insight into the case, and I will take the balance when you get it.

MR. DIRUZZO: Counsel for the government did mention those letters.

THE COURT: Those letters?

25

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 23 of 133 150

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED MR. DIRUZZO: The letters from Mr. Reinitz that are 1 2 dated today. 3 THE COURT: Right. 4 MR. DIRUZZO: The first letter I received at 5 approximately 2:15 in the morning. I immediately included that 6 in the production electronically to chambers, because I was 7 having a problem with the USA FX, my log-in. I was using 8 cocounsel's long-in who was asleep at the time. I was unable 9 to upload them to the government. In the morning I uploaded 10 them, but I also had received from Mr. Reinitz an updated, a 11 final version, so to speak, of the letter, and that's why there 12 are two letters dated the same that look very similar but are not. Just so it's clear, between 2 o'clock in the morning and 13 14 6:15 or so neither myself or Mr. Gelfand had any conversations 15 with Mr. Reinitz. 16 THE COURT: I am relieved to hear that, that's good. 17 MR. DIRUZZO: So if there was any confusion as to these documents. 18 19 THE COURT: No, I didn't infer anything nefarious, but 20 I appreciate the clarity. Anything else from the defense? 21 22 MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Let me check with Mr. Smallman. 24 Go ahead, Mr. Bhatia. 25 MR. BHATIA: One more thing. I just want to note for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

151

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 24 of 133

K1M7TEM1 CORRECTED

the record that in reviewing some of the documents produced yesterday by the defense I did identify some documents that I think might remain privileged and might not be part of the waiver in this case, so I just want to note for the record I alerted defense counsel this morning.

THE COURT: That's professional and good for you to do that. Defense counsel raised the possibility of a claw back. Particularly given the warp speed this has arisen, it's not surprising. And I appreciate your professionalism in spotting the issue, alerting the defense and alerting the Court.

If no one else has anything, let me just check with Mr. Smallman about the earliest possible time that our venire could get here.

Mr. Smallman estimates that we won't have anybody before 9:45. So, you are, as we say in Fourth Amendment law, free to leave until 9:40. I will be apt to be here any time from 9:40 or thereafter, depending on what I hear from Mr. Smallman and the jury clerk. Thank you.

(Recess)

(Continued on next page)

21

22

23

24

25

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 25 of 133 K1MVTEM2

A-289

1	THE COURT: Mr. Smallman tells me that the venire is
2	here; he's just waiting for one or two of them to finish
3	comfort break and then we'll bring them in.
4	I'm joined on the bench by Judge Lewis Liman, who is
5	new to the S.D.N.Y. and will be sitting here for whatever
6	guidance he can get from watching a jury selection. I'll
7	introduce him to the jury as such, but he'll be here for jury
8	selection, but not the balance of the trial.
9	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor?
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. BHATIA: We can just discuss one matter before the
12	jury comes here.
13	We agreed to a bank records stipulation, and I just
14	wanted to let the Court know about that. And we'll read that
15	out I expect to read that out with the first witness.
16	THE COURT: Very good.
17	MR. BHATIA: Is a Bank of America representative.
18	THE COURT: Very good.
19	Just to remind you, just given the old courtroom, just
20	speak a little louder.
21	MR. BHATIA: Excuse me.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	I think I mentioned this at an earlier pretrial
24	conference, but each day I value getting an updated exhibit
25	list with a mark annotating what's been received and through

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 26 of 133

K1MVTEM2 what witness. So make sure that's added to the list. MR. BHATIA: We will. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Gelfand. MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, I just wanted to note for the record that on behalf of Mr. Teman, as his legal counsel, we signed the stipulation. He's well aware of it. He fully agrees with it. THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. (Jury selection commenced) (Continued on next page) 

# A-291

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 27 of 133 154 K1M7TEM3

1	(A jury was selected and sworn)
2	THE COURT: All right. Counsel is there anything to
3	raise while we have this break?
4	MR. DIRUZZO: Yes, your Honor. The defense would
5	invoke the rule of sequestration.
6	THE COURT: What does that mean?
7	MR. DIRUZZO: That means that when a potential witness
8	has yet to testify, that they can't sit in the room to hear
9	other witnesses, or opening statements, or something of that
10	nature.
11	THE COURT: I have not heard it referred to as that,
12	but you're invoking your rights under Rule 615.
13	MR. DIRUZZO: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Very good. Government, are there any I
15	take it, Detective Alessandrino as a party agent is permitted
16	under 615 to be at the table.
17	MR. BHATIA: That's right.
18	THE COURT: But the other witnesses you have will in
19	fact have been sent from the courtroom during other testimony.
20	MR. BHATIA: That's right.
21	THE COURT: And I assume you are making the same
22	application with respect to the defense.
23	MR. BHATIA: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Very good, I am happy to grant that. It's
25	not the nomenclature I ordinarily around here but the concept

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 28 of 133 155 II K1M7TEM3

1	of course equally applies.
2	Anything else to raise with me? No, Judge.
3	THE COURT: Government?
4	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, briefly, we have learned that
5	in the last few days the defendant has make public statements
6	on social media various social media platforms about this
7	case.
8	THE COURT: What comments has he made?
9	MR. BHATIA: He made a comment I think about how he is
10	going to come here and beat the United States government, and
11	something about eating the government's case for lunch and
12	stuff like that.
13	THE COURT: Do you have an application?
14	MR. BHATIA: Yes, we would request that the Court ask
15	the defendant not to make public comments on social media about
16	the case during the pendency of the trial.
17	THE COURT: Defense?
18	MR. BHATIA: I'm sorry. And to take down the comments
19	that have been posted.
20	THE COURT: Defense?
21	MR. GELFAND: We have no objection to that.
22	THE COURT: Look, I didn't think to order it because
23	it struck me as obviously inappropriate for the defendant or
24	his lawyers to be making statements about the case on social
25	media. Please take those down forthwith, and please do not

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 29 of 133 K1M7TEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue to advocate your position on social media about the case. The case will be tried in the courtroom.

I mean any time, Mr. Teman, you do that you create a risk that somebody who is not abiding by my rules will stumble upon your statements. It may be to your benefit, it may be to your detriment, but certainly it's to the detriment of the system of justice, anything which will give weight to something that's being said about the case out of court. The government I'm sure is independently heeding that admonition, but it's important that the case be tried in the courtroom only. All right, thank you. And defense, I understand you too consent to that.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me ask, government, after we have the opening statements, who will your first witness be?

MR. BHATIA: The government's first witness will be Karen Finocchiaro. She is the investigator from Bank of America.

THE COURT: OK. And I have of course two limiting instructions that I have read to you and you are all fine with. One of them involving the check stock is clearly not implicated by her testimony, but the other involving the RCCs may or may not be. Do you expect there to be testimony from her referencing the concept of a remotely created check?

MR. BHATIA: She might get into the concept of

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 30 of 133 157

	K1M7TEM3
1	remotely created checks, and I have spoken to defense counsel,
2	and I understand that they will request they have requested
3	that the instruction be read as soon as the witness makes the
4	first comment about RCCs.
5	THE COURT: Look, you are the master of the direct
6	examination. Does your examination cover that topic?
7	MR. BHATIA: I believe it does, yes. I'm sorry, it
8	does, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. Look, I mean I'm happy as soon
LO	as you have put a question to the witness that elicited an
L1	answer referring to that, I am happy for either counsel to give
L2	me a prompt that this is a good moment for the RCC instruction.
L3	Thinking ahead as well to Ms. Finocchiaro's testimony,
L4	are there any other areas where anyone can imagine a limiting
L5	instruction being warranted?
L6	MR. BHATIA: Not from the government.
L7	THE COURT: Defense?
L8	MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor. The only other area is
L9	the surveillance photo issue, depending on the foundation.
20	THE COURT: Was there any progress made among counsel
21	overnight about that issue?
22	MR. GELFAND: We agreed on every other Bank of America
23	exhibit; we did not agree on that. I'm not saying that we're
24	going to necessarily object; it just depends on the testimony.

THE COURT: In other words, it's not stipulated to.

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 31 of 133 K1M7TEM3

hand, but were you able to shore up or make progress with

158

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

respect to the authentication issue that was addressed yesterday? MR. BHATIA: We do believe that she will be able to lay the proper foundation.

And, Mr. Bhatia, again you're not obliged to tip your

THE COURT: Very good. OK. Then take a five minute comfort break. I will be back in five minutes. Mr. Smallman will reposition the podium here before we resume.

(Recess)

THE COURT: Members of the jury, now that you have been sworn, I want to give you some preliminary instructions to guide you in your participation in this trial.

To begin with, you are here to administer justice in this case according to the law and the evidence. You are to perform this task with complete fairness and impartiality, and without bias, prejudice or sympathy for or against the government or the defense.

It will be your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You and you alone will be the judges of the facts. You will then have to apply those facts to the law as the Court will give it to you. You must follow that law whether you agree with it or not. Nothing the Court may say or do during the course of this trial is intended to indicate or should be taken by you as indicating what your verdict should

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 32 of 133 K1M7TEM3

159

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be.

The evidence from which you will find the facts will consist of the testimony of witnesses, documents and other things received into the record as evidence, as well as any facts that the parties agree or stipulate to, or that the Court may instruct you to find.

Certain things are not evidence and must not be considered by you, and I'm going to list them for you now.

First of all, statements, and argument and questions by lawyers are not evidence, nor are my own statements to you evidence. Only the answers given by witnesses are evidence.

Second, objections to questions are not evidence. lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make an objection when they believe evidence being offered is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the Court's ruling on an objection. If I sustain an objection, you should ignore the question. If I overrule the objection, you should treat the answer just like any other. If you are instructed that some type of evidence is being received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction.

Third, testimony the Court has excluded or told you to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered.

Finally, anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You are to decide this case solely on the evidence presented here in

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 33 of 133 K1M7TEM3

this courtroom.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, when you are determining the facts, keep in mind that there are really two types of evidence: Direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eye witness. Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. And the word "infer" or the expression to draw an inference simply means to find that a fact exists from proof of another fact. An inference is to be drawn only if it's logical and reasonable to do so, not by speculation or guesswork. In a moment I will give you an example that I think will make this all very clear.

In deciding whether to draw an inference, you must look at and consider all of the facts in light of reason, common sense and experience. Whether a given inference is or is not to be drawn is entirely a matter for you the jury to decide. Circumstantial evidence doesn't necessarily prove less than direct evidence, nor does it necessarily prove more.

And here is an example to help you think about the difference between direct and circumstantial evidence. Let's assume that when you came into the courthouse this morning, the sun was shining and it was a nice day outdoors, and let's also assume that the courtroom blinds here were closed, they were drawn, so you couldn't look outside. And let's assume further that as you were sitting here somebody walked in with an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 34 of 133 K1M7TEM3

umbrella that was dripping wet and a few moments later someone else walked in with a raincoat that was dripping wet.

Now, because you couldn't look outside the courtroom, and you couldn't see whether it was raining, because there is no witness on the witness stand saying it's raining outside, you wouldn't have any direct evidence of the fact that it was range, but on the combination of facts that I have asked you to assume it would be reasonable and logical for you to conclude that it had begun to rain.

That's all there is to circumstantial evidence. are inferring on the basis of reason, experience and common sense from one established fact -- all these dripping, wet raincoats and umbrellas -- the existence or nonexistence of some other fact it's begun to rain. I will give you more instructions on this, as well as other matters, at the end of the case. The important point right now to keep in mind is that you can consider both types of evidence.

One of most important tasks as jurors is to evaluate the credibility of the witnesses who will appear before you -that is, how truthful and believable they are. Listen carefully as each witness testifies during both direct and cross-examination, and consider whether the witness is telling the truth. It will be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe, which witnesses not to believe, and how much of any witness's testimony to accept or to reject.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

162

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 35 of 133 K1M7TEM3

Now, how do you decide what to believe and what not to believe? You are going to listen to the witnesses, observe their testimony, and then decide as you would decide such questions in your own life. Did they know what they were talking about? Were they candid, honest, open and truthful? Did they have a reason to falsify, exaggerate or distort their testimony? Sometimes it's not what a witness says but how he or she says it that may give you a clue as to whether or not to accept that witness's version of an incident or an event as credible and believable.

In short, the way a witness testifies may play an important part in your reaching a judgment as to whether or not you can accept the witness's testimony as reliable.

Under the law, as I mentioned earlier, a defendant in a criminal case is presumed innocent and cannot be found quilty of the crimes charged unless a jury, having heard all of the evidence in the case, unanimously decides that the evidence proves the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

In a criminal case the burden of proof always remains with the prosecution -- the government. For the jury to return a verdict of guilty as to the defendant, the government must prove that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. A person charged with a crime has absolutely no burden to prove that he is not quilty, and if the defendant chooses not to present any proof, that decision cannot be held against him and

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 36 of 133

A-300

K1M7TEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

may not enter into your deliberations at all.

Now, a few words about your conduct as jurors.

First, during the trial you are not to discuss the case with anyone, nor are you to permit anyone to discuss it with you. This includes posting anything on the Internet about the case, whether it be on personal blogs, Facebook or Twitter. Until you retire to the jury room at the end of the case to deliberate you simply are not to talk about this case with anyone, including your partner, family or close friends. Do not even discuss the case with each other until you begin your actual deliberations at the end of the trial.

Second, please do not while you are serving as jurors in this trial have any conversations with the parties, the attorneys, or any witnesses in this case, whether in the courtroom, the hallways, the elevators, outside or anywhere else. And by that I mean not only avoid talking about the case, don't talk to them at all, even to say good morning or to acknowledge any of these people. As I explained briefly earlier, somebody seeing a juror in conversation with a party, a lawyer or a witness might think that something improper was being discussed, and to avoid even the appearance of impropriety avoid any such contact or conversation. And so I can tell you that when the parties, lawyers and witnesses pass you in the hall without even acknowledging your presence, they don't mean to be rude; they are simply following my

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 37 of 133 K1M7TEM3

A-301

164

1

instruction.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Third, do not read or listen to anything outside the courtroom that relates to this case in any way. And, similarly, you are not to allow anyone to speak with you about the case. If you were approached by anyone to speak about it, politely but firmly tell them that the judge has directed you not to do so. If any person seeks to contact you about this case, you are required to report the incident promptly to me by sending me a note through my courtroom deputy Mr. Smallman.

Also, please be sure that I'm informed if any person you know comes into this courtroom. This is a public trial, and so at least in theory that could happen. But it's important that you do not hear from them about what may have happened in the court while the jury was not present. If you should see a friend or relative come into court, send me a note through Mr. Smallman at your first opportunity.

Fourth, do not try to do any research or make any investigation about the case or the issues presented by the case. For example, do not go onto the Internet tonight and research any matters relating to this case. Do not call up your lawyer friends and ask about the type of matters at issue in the case.

Fifth, I know that many of you use cell phones, iPhones, BlackBerries, the Internet, and all sorts of other tools of technology. You must not use these tools to

K1M7TEM3

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 38 of 133

165

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

communicate electronically with anyone about the case. includes your family and friends. You may not communicate with anybody about the case on your cell phone, meaning iPhones, e-mails, text messaging, Twitter, any blog or website, any Internet chat room, or by any way or any social networking That means nothing on Facebook, LinkedIn, Youtube, website. the full gamut. If I haven't listed a website, it doesn't mean can you go access the case or communicate about it. The answer is it's a complete no, you can't do that.

And, finally, do not form any opinion until all of the evidence is in. The case can be presented only step by step, witness by witness, until all the evidence is before you. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case.

Now, note taking. Mr. Smallman has given each of you a note pad and a pen, and you are permitted take notes during the trial. Please write your name on the cover of the pad. If you do take notes, please do so only in these pads.

Remember that any notes you take are for your use only, and they are only to be used as an aid for your memory. Your memory controls. If you do take notes, be careful not to get so involved or wrapped up in the note-taking process that you're not listening to the evidence. Once you are in your deliberations, if there is a disagreement between one juror's notes and another juror's notes, or between one juror's notes

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 39 of 133 K1M7TEM3

and another juror's recollection, or one juror's recollection

and another juror's recollection, there is a solution for that.

You can ask to have the court reporter read back the portion of

166

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the testimony that is germane to the disagreement you are having, or we can have that portion of the transcript sent back to you to the jury room, because ultimately it's the official court transcript that controls, not any juror's notes. During the course of the trial, exhibits will be

received into evidence, and they will be marked by exhibit number. So, if there is an exhibit that are you particularly interested in seeing, or seeing more of than you have seen here in the courtroom, write down the exhibit number, and when you are in your deliberations, you will be able to ask to see the exhibit. We will also be giving you a list of all witnesses who testified during the trial as well as a list of all exhibits that were received during the trial, and that will in turn help you in calling for or identifying any material you want to see while you are in your deliberations.

We are now going to begin the evidence portion of the trial. As I said earlier this morning, we are going to begin each day promptly at 9:30 and continue until approximately 5 p.m. Please, please be on time. If any of you are late, we have to wait because we can't start until all of you are here. And all of us -- meaning me, the lawyers, the parties, and the witnesses and your fellow jurors -- will have to wait. If we

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 40 of 133

K1M7TEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lose ten, 20 minutes each day because somebody is late, we may not be able to get the trial completed on the day that we otherwise would have been able to do so.

Let me tell you now how the trial will proceed. First, we're going to have opening statements. The government is going to make an opening statement, and after that I expect the attorney for the defendant will make an opening statement as well, but they are not required to do so. The opening statements are neither evidence nor argument. They are simply outlines of what the attorneys believe the evidence will show, and they are given to help you follow the evidence as it is presented.

After the opening statements, the government will present its case. The government will call its witnesses, and after each witness testifies on direct examination, counsel for the defendant will have an opportunity to cross-examine the witness. After the cross-examination, there may be a little bit of what we call redirect and recross examination.

After the government's case, the government will rest. The defendant may then present a defense case if he wishes. But, again because of the presumption of innocence, the defendant is not required to present or offer any proof. the defendant does present the defense case, the defense witnesses will testify, and the government will have an opportunity to cross-examine them.

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 41 of 133

K1M7TEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Opening - Mr. Bhatia

168

After the evidence is completed and all sides have rested, the attorneys will give their summations, and this is the opportunity for the lawyers to summarize the evidence and to give their closing arguments to you about what it has or has not shown.

After the summations, I will give you instructions on the law, and you will then finally retire to deliberate on your verdict.

You have a tremendously important task as jurors, it is to determine the facts. You, and not the court, are the sole judge of the facts. The Constitution itself recognizes your unique role in our system of justice, and so, please, pay careful attention to the witnesses and the evidence received at trial as well as my instructions on the law.

With that, we will now begin with counsel's opening statements. Mr. Smallman, would you put the microphone by the lectern, and we will hear first from the government.

Mr. Bhatia, you may proceed.

MR. BHATIA: This is a case about greed, fraud and theft. This is a case about how this man, the defendant, Ari Teman, carried out a brazen scam to steal his own clients' money. You see, the defendant had a business, and he decided that some of his customers owed him money. And what did he do? He decided to steal it right out of their bank accounts.

The defendant created dozens of checks, fake checks,

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 42 of 133

A-306

K1M7TEM3

Opening - Mr. Bhatia

1 2 3

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

drawn against his customers' bank accounts. He pretended that his customers had authorized him to deposit those checks, but that was a blatant lie. None of the customers even knew he was going to take the checks out of their account, and none of them had ever told him that he was able to do that. It was all part of the defendant's scheme to treat his customers' bank accounts as his own personal piggy bank. It was theft, plain and simple.

Using these fake checks, the defendant stole hundreds of thousands of dollars from his customers, money that was not his, money that he did not have permission to take, and money that he used to line his own pockets.

Ladies and gentlemen, this opening statement is the government's opportunity to outline what the evidence will show, so let's discuss in more detail what you will hear and see during the trial.

You will learn that the defendant had a business where he sold intercom units to apartment buildings. The defendant told the customers that these intercoms would allow residents to speak with people who were at the door and hear what they were saying. After hearing the defendant's sales pitch some customers, including some here in New York, decided to buy one of his intercom systems. They paid him a few thousand dollars for each intercom, and they paid him using checks from their own bank accounts. You will hear that after the customers paid

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 43 of 133

K1M7TEM3

Opening - Mr. Bhatia

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the defendant they immediately started having problems with the intercom. The intercoms didn't work properly, and the customers complained to the defendant. Some of them decided they had to stop using the intercoms.

How did the defendant react? He got angry, very angry. You called them names, he called them liars. He wasn't happy they were doing business with him. He still wanted their money.

Did the defendant try to resolve this dispute in a civilized way? No. Did he file a lawsuit saying they owed him money, like he said he would do over and over? No. Instead the defendant the evidence will show that the defendant came up with a scam to steal their money, a blatant scam to take thousands and thousands of dollars right out of their bank accounts, without their knowledge and without their permission.

You will learn that the defendant created these checks -- these fake checks -- from scratch, entirely from scratch, 29 checks in total. The defendant knew the customers' bank accounts numbers because they had written him checks, and at the bottom of those checks was the account number. He took that number and put them on the checks that he created.

Using those checks, these fake checks, he deposited them into his own bank account. Some of the checks were worth \$5,000, some were worth \$10,000, and some of the checks were worth \$18,000; more than \$300,000 in total, \$300,000.

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 44 of 133

K1M7TEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Opening - Mr. Bhatia

The defendant pretended that the checks were issued with his customers' permission. That was a blatant lie. Did the defendant ask his customers if he could create or deposit the checks? No. Did he ask for their permission to take this money out of their bank account? No. Instead, he created the checks without their knowledge and without their permission and

then deposited the checks into his own bank account.

You will hear that the defendant came up with a false cover story to try to trick the banks into thinking that these were authorized checks. On some of the checks he scribbled a fake signature and wrote that the checks were authorized by a so-called contract. That was a lie. On other checks he put a link to his website. Buried in that link was another link, and there, in a long list of fine print, was the way the defendant created a false appearance that he was allowed to take this money. In reality, these were lies and the checks were fake, checks the defendant created from scratch without his customers' knowledge and without their permission. It was a blatant fraud.

And the defendant used other tricks to fool the banks. You will see that he put his own phone number on the checks and told the banks to call him, not the customers, if the banks had any questions. You will see that the defendant falsely stated on the memo line of these checks -- that's where you can put a description of what the check is for -- that these were for

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 45 of 133

A-309

K1M7TEM3

Opening - Mr. Bhatia

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

payments for bogus fees that the customers had never agreed to pay. All of these were lies to trick the bank into accepting the check.

Some of the defendant's customers -- now his victims -- eventually saw the fraudulent checks when they looked into their bank records. Some of them heard about the checks when their banks reached out about potentially fraudulent activity.

When they saw the checks -- when the customers saw the checks -- they were shocked. They realized that someone had written fake checks from their account without their authorization, without their permission, and without ever telling them.

When the defendant deposited these fake checks, the money came out of his customers' account, and money was put into the defendant's account. Again, more than \$300,000 in total. The defendant drained the money out of his bank account where he deposited the checks and sent it to other accounts that he owned. Those accounts included his personal bank account. You will see that he also withdrew some of the money in cash. In one case he had withdrawn \$4,000 from a bank teller here in Manhattan.

Not surprisingly, the defendant's scheme came crashing down and he got caught. The banks learned that the defendant's checks were fake and that the customers had never given

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 46 of 133

A-310

173

K1M7TEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Opening - Mr. Bhatia

authorization for him to create and issue checks without telling them. But Bank of America -- this is where the defendant deposited the checks -- was stuck holding the bag. Bank of America found out about the fraud, and it had to pay back money to the defendant's customers.

Now, ordinarily they might take that money out of the money he deposited and send it back, but in this case the defendant had already transferred that money into his other accounts, so Bank of America wasn't able to get that money. And you will see records and hear testimony that Bank of America had to pay about \$260,000 out of its own money to repay the defendant's customers. That's \$260,000 because of the defendant's fraud.

So that's an overview of what we expect the evidence will show: The defendant created fake checks from scratch without his customers' knowledge, without their permission, and deposited them into his own bank account.

Let me now tell you how we are going to prove it to you. As a general matter, during this trial you will hear from witnesses and you will see documents. You will hear testimony that the defendant's customers -- the people the defendant stole from -- they will tell you about their dealings with the defendant and how the defendant demanded money. You will hear about his threats. You will learn about their shock and distress when they learned that the defendant had issued checks

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 47 of 133

A-311

K1M7TEM3

Opening - Mr. Bhatia

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

for tens of thousands of dollars written right out of their accounts and without telling them.

The defendant never asked if he could take that money. In fact, the customers will tell you that if the defendant had ever asked, if they had given him permission to take money out of their accounts whenever he thought he deserved it, they would never have given it to him.

You will also hear from bank representatives. A Bank of America employee will testify, and she will tell you how the defendant created fake checks, show you what the defendant did with that money, and explain how Bank of America lost hundreds of thousands of dollars by having to pay the defendant's customers.

You will also hear from employees at other banks where the defendant's customers had their own bank accounts. Those individuals will tell you how the banks -- at first unaware of the defendant's fraud -- had processed the checks, sent money out of those accounts, causing hundreds of thousands of dollars to be withdrawn from the customers' accounts without their permission.

You will also see documents during the course of this trial. You will see for yourselves the fake checks that the defendant created. You will see the way the defendant crafted those checks to create the false appearance that his customers had authorized them.

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 48 of 133

K1M7TEM3

Opening - Mr. DiRuzzo

1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

You will see bank records showing the hundreds of thousands of dollars that were taken out of the customers' accounts and put into the defendant's accounts. You will also see the way the defendant transferred the money out of that account where he deposited it, and you will see how he spent and withdrew the money.

You will also see e-mails. You will see e-mails between the defendant and his customers. You will see how the defendant got angry when his customers complained about their product. You will see how he got angry when they told him that they were done with it. You will also see how he crafted his fake, bogus cover story to try to cover up his scheme and to get away with it.

So that's an overview of the types of evidence you will see and hear about the defendant's fraud scheme. I am going to sit down in a moment. At the end of this trial, after you have seen all the evidence and heard from all the witnesses, I will have another opportunity to speak with you. Until then, I ask you to do three things:

First, pay close attention to the evidence. Second, follow Judge Engelmayer's instructions on the law. Finally, use your common sense, the same common sense that you use in your everyday lives.

If you do those three things, you will reach the only verdict that is consistent with the evidence, with the law and

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 49 of 133

K1M7TEM3

Opening - Mr. DiRuzzo

1 2

with your common sense: That the defendant, Ari Teman, is guilty as charged.

3

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bhatia.

4

Mr. DiRuzzo.

5 6

MR. DIRUZZO: Thank you, your Honor. May it please the Court, counselors, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

7

I am Joseph DiRuzzo. Along with Mr. Gelfand we

8

represent Mr. Ari Teman.

9 10

Did Ari Teman have the criminal intent to commit the

Ladies and gentlemen, this criminal case is about one

11

four crimes alleged in the indictment? The answers are clear:

12

No.

The evidence will show that Mr. Teman had no criminal 13

14

intent, which is a complete defense to all four crimes,

15

because, one, his company, GateGuard, had written contracts with express payment terms with all of its customers, including

16 17

the ones at issue in this case, that permitted GateGuard to

18

create and deposit remotely created checks when money was due

19

and owing to GateGuard; and, two, that before doing the acts

that the government claims were crimes, Mr. Teman took the

20 21

extra cautious step of consulting with his long-time attorney

22

to make sure that what he was doing was legal. But each of

23

these two important facts reflect what this case is really

24

about: That Mr. Teman never intended to defraud any bank nor defraud any of GateGuard's customers, and that whether he had

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 50 of 133

K1M7TEM3

Opening - Mr. DiRuzzo

1 2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any intention of fraud is what this entire case is about. is not a civil case.

While the events that occurred in March and April of last year form the events that are alleged in the indictment, like all stories there is a bit of background information that you need to know. Ari Teman has a college education and an entrepreneurial spirit, but he is not a lawyer. He has never attended law school. By day he runs start-up tech companies, and on the side he performs as a stand-up comedian.

One of Mr. Teman's tech start-ups is called GateGuard, and the evidence will show that GateGuard was formed by Mr. Teman in 2016. GateGuard is a technology company that provides an integrated hardware and software platform for use in apartments, co-ops and condo apartments.

GateGuard integrated facial recognition, the Internet and an intercom system to allow for more secure entry and exit into buildings. Individuals would have their face scanned, which would in turn allow the building to know exactly who was entering and when. This allowed buildings to be sure that people who were on the lease were the actual tenants, that illegal Airbnbs weren't occurring, and that guests and delivery people coming and going into buildings were properly logged and tracked. This information was provided to the building/clients of GateGuard as a function of the GateGuard platform.

When Mr. Teman formed GateGuard there were no face

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 51 of 133

A-315

K1M7TEM3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Opening - Mr. DiRuzzo

recognition/point of entry systems on the market, and with most new technology companies getting GateGuard up and running from proved concept to the market cost Mr. Teman a lot of money. But when GateGuard was up and running, Mr. Teman marketed GateGuard throughout the country, and you will hear evidence -and I don't believe it will be in dispute -- that GateGuard had clients throughout the country, major metropolitan areas, and in particular New York City.

During the time period alleged in the indictment, and continuing to today, GateGuard has several hundred customers using its products; however, we fully admit that in the beginning there were certain bugs in the product that required troubleshooting. However, you will also hear that GateGuard actively worked to resolve these bugs, and Mr. Teman himself was active in the product improvement.

Now, of the several hundred customers that GateGuard had -- or has -- like all businesses there are a few problem clients, and you will hear about the three problem customers in this case: ABJ, Coney Realty and Mercer Realty, which represent approximately one percent of GateGuard's customers.

You will also hear that ABJ, Coney and Mercer were some of the first customers of Gateway. The evidence will show that anyone who wanted to sign up as a customer of GateGuard -including the three at issue here -- had to sign up at GateGuard's website. There was no other way to be a GateGuard

# A-316

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 52 of 133

K1M7TEM3

Opening - Mr. DiRuzzo

1

customer.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The way that it works is that everyone input their

information into the computer system via the website, just like do for iTunes, just like you do for Netflix. There were no exceptions.

Further, you will hear that when someone signed up for GateGuard there was a prompt that informed the customer that it was bound by GateGuard's terms and conditions and GateGuard's payment conditions, which I will collectively refer to as the GateGuard contract. You will see that the terms and condition and payment terms as it governed the nature of the contractual relationship between GateGuard, Coney, ABJ and Mercer. Further, in the event the government doesn't show you the GateGuard terms and conditions -- the GateGuard payment terms -- we will introduce these documents into evidence ourselves for your consideration.

Now, turning to the terms and conditions. That document is 17 pages and is relevant here. Section 5 addressed orders and fees.

Pricing: GateGuard customers expressly agreed to the pricing terms which were referenced in Section 5 and hyperlinked to GateGuard's website. Additionally, the GateGuard terms and conditions had a severability clause that meant if any provision of the terms and conditions was unenforceable, the rest of the contract was still valid.

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 53 of 133

K1M7TEM3

Opening - Mr. DiRuzzo

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, turning to the pricing. The pricing is listed out in painful detail, all the fees that a GateGuard customer will be responsible for. There were sections including, among others, court appearances, charge-back fees, nonpayment fees, cancellation fees, etcetera. And as is especially important here, the pricing terms included a section on payment and a section on authorization to make bank withdrawals.

Now, these two interlocking provisions detail not only how GateGuard could obtain payment from its not-paying clients but the reasoning behind it. Specifically, the pricing agreement allowed GateGuard to charge its clients via ACH or debit, and it also expressly gave GateGuard "permission to write and sign checks with your checking and/or savings account information to do a bank draw against your entity (or entities) for the amount it or they owe."

A check from another person's account or another business' account based on advanced authorization is known as a remotely created check. You will hear it referred to in this case as an RCC. And we anticipate at some point you will hear a little more from the judge about the definition of an RCC. But you will hear testimony, and you will see e-mails from Mr. Teman to the three customers at issue -- ABJ, Coney and Mercer -- informing each that there was a contract, and that the contract allowed GateGuard to receive payments from each customer.

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 54 of 133

A-318

K1M7TEM3

Opening - Mr. DiRuzzo

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

As to Coney, you will see an e-mail from Mr. Elie Gabay to Mr. Teman where Mr. Gabay e-mails Mr. Teman with proposed changes -- what attorneys refer to as a markup or a red lined document -- to the GateGuard terms and conditions. While none of these edits or mark-ups or changes to the GateGuard terms and conditions were accepted by Mr. Teman, Mr. Gabay clearly read the terms and conditions and even marked up Section 5 that addressed the pricing.

Now, as to Mercer, you will see an e-mail from Bonnie Soon-Osberger where she acknowledges she reviewed the terms and conditions. She e-mailed Mr. Teman with questions about the terms and conditions. Mr. Teman responded via e-mail and replied to her, and Ms. Soon-Osberger begged Mr. Teman for his timely reply, and she acknowledged that Mr. Teman had addressed Mercer's concerns about the terms and conditions.

Now, as to ABJ, you will see invoices from GateGuard to ABJ, where at the bottom of each invoice is a prominent display that "payor accept terms at GateGuard.XYZ4/legal/4/turns.php."

Further, you will also see that Mr. Teman's attorney, Mr. Ariel Reinitz, e-mailed Joe Soleimani, one of ABJ's principals, and Mr. Reinitz reiterated to ABJ that ABJ had a binding contract with GateGuard. And Mr. Reinitz, on behalf of GateGuard, even provided hyperlinks to Mr. Soleimani of both GateGuard's terms and conditions and the pricing document

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 55 of 133

A-319

K1M7TEM3 Opening - Mr. DiRuzzo

itself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So you will see -- and the if the government does not introduce it, we intend to introduce this document ourselves -the RCCs that the government alleges were counterfeit checks. But you will see on every single check, every single RCC, on the bottom right-hand side, a statement "draw per contract, no signature required."

You also will see in the majority of these checks the government alleges to be counterfeit, the RCCs, that the language is even more transparent in that "draw per contract, no signature required. Note to bank: Call me. This is a valid check. You are required by law to honor it. Contact at GateGuard.XYZfor/legal/for/terms.phb accepted by the above client. Contact us at 212-203-3714 with questions."

The evidence will show that before these RCCs were created, Mr. Teman sought and obtained the legal advice of his attorney -- his long-time attorney, Mr. Aerial Reinitz -- and Mr. Reinitz conducted his review of the GateGuard terms and conditions and pricing, and Mr. Reinitz concluded that there was a valid contract, that the contract was enforceable, and that the contract allowed for GateGuard to be paid via RCC, the very RCCs that the government alleges are counterfeit. Mr. Reinitz provided this legal advice to Mr. Teman. Mr. Teman justifiably relied on his legal advice, and Mr. Teman acted in accordance with the legal advice that he was given by his

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 56 of 133

K1M7TEM3 Opening - Mr. DiRuzzo

1 attorney.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Consequently, Mr. Teman deposited these checks in a banker of America branch in Miami Beach, Florida, where its company headquarters were located.

Now, Mr. Teman did not hide his identity, he didn't go in there with any type of disquise, and he used his driver's license to identify himself. Bank of America placed a one-week hold on the deposit, and unfortunately ABJ disputed the validity of these checks. In response, GateGuard and Mr. Teman's attorney, Mr. Reinitz, proactively reached out to Bank of America and informed Bank of America that all the RCCs were fully agreed to by GateGuard's customers and were consistent with the GateGuard payment plans. Mr. Reinitz e-mailed Bank of America with copies of the terms and condition, the payment terms, and provided a detailed explanation of why the RCCs were appropriate, and in all events this was a billing dispute between GateGuard and GateGuard's customers. If The government does not introduce this e-mail into evidence, we intend to show it to you so you can review it and consider it yourself.

Now, at the end of the day everything that GateGuard and Mr. Teman did was transparent and authorized with GateGuard's terms, conditions and payment terms, the GateGuard contract.

Now, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I will end where I began: Mr. Teman is not quilty of any crime because

#### 

K1M7TEM3 Opening - Mr. DiRuzzo

the checks were not counterfeit; instead, ABJ, Coney and Mercer all provided GateGuard with the contractual authorization to issue the RCCs that are at issue here, and moreover, Mr. Teman had no criminal intent as he sought and obtained legal advice from Mr. Reinitz, who specifically informed Mr. Teman that he was legally able to issue the RCCs as provided for in the pricing portion of the GateGuard contract.

Now, at the end of this trial, ladies and gentlemen, we are going to be asking for you to return a not guilty verdict, because we do not believe -- nor will the government be able to show -- that Mr. Teman committed a crime here.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. DiRuzzo.

(Continued on next page)

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 58 of 133

185 K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct MR. BHATIA: The government calls Karen Finocchiaro. 1 2 KAREN FINOCCHIARO, 3 called as a witness by the Government, 4 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Finocchiaro. 5 Welcome to the Court. 6 7 I'll ask you to please project your voice. This an 8 old and big courtroom and the acoustics aren't great. 9 Counsel, you may inquire. DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. BHATIA: 11 12 Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, where do you work? 13 A. Bank of America. 14 What's your title there? 15 A vice president and senior fraud investigator. 16 And how long have you been at Bank of America? Q. 17 A. Twenty-three years. Q. And prior to being a senior investigator, what role did you 18 19 have at the bank? 20 I was a strategic analyst. Q. As a senior fraud investigator, what are your day-to-day 21 22 responsibilities?

23 A. I conduct our fraud investigations for our enterprise, for everything from our credit card portfolio, our checking 24

accounts, and savings accounts.

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 59 of 133

K1MVTEM4

Finocchiaro - direct

- Approximately how many investigations have you conducted 1 2 involving fraudulent checks?
  - A. Thousands.
- 4 And what about investigations involving returns of checks
- between banks? 5

- 6 A. Thousands.
- 7 Q. Senior Investigator Finocchiaro, what is a check at a very
- 8 basic level?
- 9 A. A check is a paper item. The check typically has the
- 10 maker's information in the upper left-hand corner, date, it has
- 11 a signatory line, a memo line, and a pay to the order line.
- 12 Q. And what does it mean for a check to be deposited?
- A. It's when a check is negotiated at a financial institution 13
- 14 or an ATM or cashed by a subject. So it would be -- to be
- 15 negotiated is to be signed, made out to, made payable to, and
- 16 conducted.
- 17 Is a deposit essentially when someone gives the check to
- the bank to start the payment process? 18
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 And when a check is deposited, what happens next?
- A. So once the check is deposited, we have two processes: For 21
- 22 larger financial institutions, the checks will go through a
- 23 bank-to-bank process. In other situations, for smaller
- 24 financial institutions, they do go through the Federal Reserve
- 25 versus going through the clearinghouse.

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 60 of 133 187

	K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct
1	Q. Is JPMorgan one of those larger banks?
2	A. Yes, it is.
3	Q. So that goes through the interbank process?
4	A. Right, it goes through the interbank clearing.
5	Q. What about Signature Bank?
6	A. Signature Bank is a smaller financial institution, so those
7	checks will process through the Federal Reserve.
8	Q. And ultimately, does a check transfer money between one
9	account to another account?
10	A. Yes, it does.
11	Q. And can it also be used to transfer money between banks?
12	A. Yes, it is.
13	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, with the Court's permission,
14	I'd like to read Government Exhibit 501, which is a stipulation
15	between the parties.
16	THE COURT: Very good.
17	Before Mr. Bhatia reads a stipulation, let me just
18	explain for a moment what a stipulation is.
19	A stipulation is an agreement between the parties that
20	a particular fact or facts is true. You are required to accept
21	that stipulation as true, as accurate, what is contained
22	therein. The lawyers have agreed that these facts are true.

It is for you, the jury, to decide what weight, if any, you give to the fact that the parties have agreed upon. Counsel, you may do so.

25

23

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 61 of 133

K1MVTEM4

Finocchiaro - direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. BHATIA: Government Exhibit 501, bank record stipulation.

It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between the United States of America, by Geoffrey S. Berman, United States Attorney, Kedar S. Bhatia and Edward Imperatore, Assistant United States Attorneys, of counsel, and Ari Teman, the defendant, by and through his counsel, Justin Gelfand, Esq. and Joseph DiRuzzo, Esq., that:

Government Exhibits 101, 102, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, and 206; and Defense Exhibits 17, 34, and 52 are true and correct copies of bank records from bank accounts at Bank of America North America in the name of GateGuard Inc., with account number ending in 8085.

Government Exhibits 103 and 104 are true and correct copies of bank records from a bank account at Bank of America North America in the name of Friend or Fraud, Inc., with account number ending in 0351.

Government Exhibits 105 and 106 are true and correct copies of bank records from a bank account at Bank of America North America in the name of Touchless Labs LLC, with account number ending in 1046.

Government Exhibits 107 and 108 are true and correct copies of bank records from a Bank of America account at Bank of America North America in the name of Ari Teman, with account number ending in 5580.

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 62 of 133

K1MVTEM4

Finocchiaro - direct

1

2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Government Exhibit 113 is a true and correct copy of bank records from bank accounts at Bank of America North America in the name of GateGuard, Inc., with account number ending in 8085; in the name of Friend or Fraud, Inc., with account number ending in 0351; in the name of Touchless Labs LLC, with account number ending in 1046; and in the name of Ari Teman, with account numbers ending in 7673 and 5580.

Government Exhibits 121, 122, 126, 127, and 130, and Defense Exhibits 50 -- and Defense Exhibit 50, are true and correct copies of bank records from bank accounts at JPMorgan Chase Bank North America in the name of ABJ Lenox LLC, with account number ending in 9100.

Government Exhibits 123, 124, 128, 129, and 131, and Defense Exhibits 49 and 51, are true and correct copies of bank records from bank accounts at JPMorgan Chase Bank North America in the name of ABJ Milano, with account number ending in 1672.

Government Exhibits 141, 142, and 143, and Defense Exhibit 29, are true and correct copies of bank records from bank accounts at Signature Bank North America in the name of 18 Mercy Equity, Inc., with account number ending in 8293.

Government Exhibits 144, 145, 146, and 150, and Defense Exhibit 29, are true and correct copies of bank records from bank accounts at Signature Bank North America in the name of 518 West 204 LLC, with account number ending in 6525.

The records I described in paragraphs 1 through 9

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 63 of 133

190

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct

above were made at or near the time of the occurrence of the matter set forth in the records by or from information transmitted by a person with knowledge of those matters; B, kept in the course of regularly conducted business activity; and C, made by the regularly conducted business activity as a regular practice.

It is further stipulated and agreed that Government Exhibits 101 through 108, 114, 121 through 124, 126 through 131, 141 through 146, 150, and 201 through 206, and Defense Exhibits 17, 29, 34, 52, 49, 50, and 51, consist of records that constitute business records pursuant to Rule 803(6) of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

The defendant reserves any Rule 10(c) objection to the admission for the truth of the matter asserted of any statement contained in Government Exhibits 126 and 128.

Your Honor, with that, I'd offer Government Exhibits 101 through 108, 114, 201 through 206 into evidence.

THE COURT: Sorry. Give me those numbers again kindly.

MR. BHATIA: Government Exhibits 101 through 108, 114, and then Government Exhibits 201 through 206.

THE COURT: Is there any objection?

MR. GELFAND: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'll receive those exhibits.

(Government's Exhibits 101 through 108, 114, 201

Yes, I am.

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 64 of 133

191 K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct through 206 received in evidence) 1 2 THE COURT: And Mr. Bhatia, are you also offering the 3 stipulation itself, Exhibit 508? 4 MR. BHATIA: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. That's received as well. MR. BHATIA: It's 501. 6 7 THE COURT: 501. Forgive me, 501 is also received. (Government's Exhibit 501 received in evidence) 8 9 BY MR. BHATIA: Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, back to you. 10 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. All right. MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could you publish 13 14 Government Exhibit 201 for the jury and for the Court. 15 Mr. Magliocco, could you zoom in on the top portion of 16 the page here. 17 THE COURT: Let me just ask the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, can everybody see the exhibit on your screen? If 18 19 at any point during the trial you are unable to see something 20 that's being shown to the members of the jury, raise your hand, 21 get my attention and Mr. Smallman's, and we'll be on it. BY MR. BHATIA: 22 23 Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, are you able to see the check on the screen over there? 24

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 65 of 133

A-329

K1MVTEM4

Finocchiaro - direct

- Is this an example of a check? 1
  - Yes, it is. Α.
  - And who is this check addressed to?
- 4 The check is addressed to GateGuard, Inc.
- 5 And is that shown here on the maybe middle left side of the
- 6 check?

2

- 7 A. Yes, it is. It's in the "pay to the order" section.
- 8 Q. And does that mean it's written to be deposited into that
- 9 account?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. And where is the money -- what account is the money meant
- 12 to come from?
- A. It's coming from 518 West 205 LLC, drawn on Signature Bank, 13
- 14 account number is in the bottom right-hand corner, as
- 1503226525. 15
- Q. And is the account number at the bottom -- what's the 16
- 17 relevance of the account number at the bottom in drawing the
- check? 18
- 19 A. That is the account that the funds are to be drawn from and
- 20 placed into the Bank of America account.
- Q. So is the bottom number what allows the check to be 21
- 22 processed from a given account?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- 24 0. Okay.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Bhatia, I'm looking for a good

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 66 of 133 193

	K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct
1	stopping point because the jury's mid-afternoon snack has
2	arrived. Let me know when you're at a good you point.
3	MR. BHATIA: I think this is a fine stopping point.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take a 15-minute recess.
6	As I told you during jury selection, every afternoon
7	we have coffee and treats, if you will. So by all means enjoy
8	in the jury room.
9	As you'll get used to my hearing me say, please do not
10	discuss the case. I'll see you in 15 minutes. Mr. Smallman
11	will bring you out.
12	(Jury not present)
13	THE COURT: Counsel, anything to raise before we take
14	a brief recess?
15	MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: All right. I'll see you in 12 minutes.
17	Thank you. Just a minute or two before the jury comes down.
18	Thank you.
19	The witness may step down.
20	(Witness steps down)
21	(Recess)
22	THE COURT: Mr. Smallman, let's get the jury. And
23	let's have the witness in the box please.
24	(Jury present)
25	THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. I

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 67 of 133

194 K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct hope you had a good break. 1 Ms. Finocchiaro, I will remind you you're still under 2 3 oath. 4 Mr. Bhatia, you may inquire. 5 BY MR. BHATIA: Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, I'm going to hop back into exhibits for a 6 7 moment. Do you have in the binder in front of you a disk marked as Government Exhibit 113? 8 9 A. I do, yes. 10 Q. And have you reviewed the contents of that disk? 11 A. Yes, I have. 12 Q. How do you know that's the disk that you reviewed? 13 A. It is the disk -- I signed the disk, actually. Q. And is there one file on that disk or is there more than 14 15 one? 16 A. There's multiple files. 17 Q. What's the substance of the files on that disk? A. It's actually one spreadsheet. It has multiple tabs. It 18 19 has tabs containing customer information, specific 20 account-level detail that would be seen on a statement, but 21 it's in a ledger format. It has online banking details. And it has wire transfer information as well. 22 23 MR. BHATIA: The government offers Government Exhibit 113. 24

THE COURT: Any objection?

coming from?

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 68 of 133

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Received. 3 (Government's Exhibit 113 received in evidence) 4 MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, if you could pull back up 5 Government Exhibit 201. We could take a look again at the check image. 6 7 Q. Is there a signature featured on this account, on this 8 check? 9 A. There is a signature. There's a squiggly line. Q. And what's the check number that you see? 10 The check number is Check No. 1. 11 Α. 12 Q. As a general matter, what is a check number? 13 A. It's the number -- it's the series that we -- that we issue 14 the checks. So you can have Checks 1, 1000, 101. It's just 15 the number of the check. 16 Q. Do checks typically go in a sequence? 17 They typically go in a sequence. Q. What's the date listed on this check? 18 A. 3/28 of 2019. 19 20 Does it identify -- you may have mentioned this earlier, 21 but does it identify the bank where these funds are being drawn from? 22 23 A. Signature Bank. Q. Is there another way to know which bank the funds are 24 25

## A-333

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 69 of 133

K1MVTEM4

Finocchiaro - direct

- The ABA routing number, which is the set of numbers in the 1 2 middle. That also identifies the financial institution that 3 owns that account.
  - Q. According to Government Exhibit 101, who is the -- who is
- 5 the person authorized to sign on the GateGuard, Inc. account?
- A. On the GateGuard, Inc. account, the sole signer is Mr. Ari 6
- 7 B. Teman.

- 8 Q. Okay. Is there a signatory other than Mr. Teman on that 9 account?
- 10 A. No, there is not.
- And according to Exhibit 201, was this check deposited into 11
- 12 an account at Bank of America?
- 13 A. Yes, it was.
- 14 Into what account was this check deposited?
- 15 It was into the GateGuard, Inc. account.
- 16 And what was the date on which it was deposited?
- 17 The date of deposit was of 3/28 of 2019.
- MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could you please publish 18
- Government Exhibit 202. And can we take a look at the check 19
- 20 image at the top.
- Ms. Finocchiaro, who is this check addressed to? 21
- 22 This check is made out to GateGuard, Inc.
- 23 That's the same company as the first one, right? 0.
- 24 That is correct.
- 25 And who is this -- who are the funds purportedly coming

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 70 of 133

197

K1MVTEM4

Finocchiaro - direct

from? 1

2

- A. They are coming from 18 Mercer Equity, Inc. And the check
- 3 is drawn off of Signature Bank.
  - Okay. Is there something listed in the memo line?
- There is. So this one indicates "device removal fee." 5
- As a general matter, what is a memo line on a check? 6
- 7 So a memo line is kind of a description. You can just put
- in a small note of what the actual transaction is relating to. 8
- 9 O. And what's the date listed on this check?
- The date is 3/28 of 2019. 10
- 11 And what was the date that this check was actually
- 12 deposited?
- 13 A. 3/28 of 2019.
- 14 And what's the amount stated on this check?
- 15 A. For 18,000.
- 16 Is that the same as the amount of the last check?
- 17 Yes, it is.
- Okay. Have you reviewed records that show how these past 18
- 19 two checks were deposited?
- 20 A. Yes, I have.
- MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could you please publish 21
- 22 Government Exhibit 113. And in particular, I'll direct you to
- 23 the device removal tab -- excuse me, the device tab.
- Let's take a look at the extended detail tab, and rows 24
- 25 38 to 46, if you could highlight those.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

198

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 71 of 133

K1MVTEM4

what does this table show?

Finocchiaro - direct

So this is a lot of data. What is -- as a general matter,

A. So what this data shows is anytime someone logs into online banking, it does show in the session ID, there's also listed on here the date and the time of the exact login. Column C is an access ID, so it shows the online banking access ID that the customer uses to login.

Column D shows the type of browser that's being utilized. Column E would give us a numeric number for a session ID. So column F actually indicates whether it was -what was done within that session, so corresponding to column, I believe, E.

- O. A lot of columns.
- It's moving, so -- and then column J gives a detailed description of what was done. So if there's funds that are being transferred, it would show the to and from account number, and it gives IP information as well.
- Q. Do the highlighted rows here correspond to the checks that 18 19 you just testified about?
  - A. Yes, they do.
- Okay. And are you able to see from here how those 21 22 checks -- how they were literally deposited?
- 23 They were mobile deposit checks. Α.
- What does "mobile deposit" mean? 24
- 25 It means that you can capture an image from your mobile

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 72 of 133

K1MVTEM4

3

4

Finocchiaro - direct

- device and upload that into the online banking environment. 1 2 And it loads that image into the account.
  - Q. What's the step-by-step process for doing mobile deposit?
  - So you would log into your online banking. You would
- 5 authenticate as being the client. You would then go in, you
- see your accounts listed. You choose the account that you 6
- 7 would like to take action in, so whether you would like to view
- 8 the deposit history or if you'd like to choose to make a
- 9 deposit. You would choose the specific account that you'd make
- the deposit into, and then you'd load the mobile image. 10
- 11 Q. Have you -- as part of your role as a senior fraud
- 12 investigator, have you handled matters involving mobile
- application? 13
- 14 A. Yes, I do.
- 15 And have you become familiar with what information is
- 16 available from the mobile application?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. When an individual logs into the mobile application, what 18
- 19 information is presented to them?
- 20 A. Their current balance information is available to them in
- that mobile application. 21
- 22 Q. Do they see the balance of different accounts or just one
- 23 account?
- If the profile is set up having multiple different accounts 24
- 25 in it, then you can see multiple different accounts and the

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 73 of 133

K1MVTEM4

2

5

Finocchiaro - direct

- balance information. 1
  - Q. According to this table that we're looking at here, what's
- 3 the user ID for the person who deposited the checks?
- 4 A. It is Ari B. Teman.
  - And is that shown in column C here?
- Yes, it is. 6
- 7 MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could you zoom in a little
- 8 bit on maybe rows 38 and 46.
- 9 Okay. So that's shown in column C; is that right?
- 10 That is correct.
- And in column B, what's shown there? 11
- 12 A. In column B, it is the date and time.
- Q. Okay. So what's the date and time of the two transactions 13
- 14 that are highlighted here?
- 15 A. 3/28 of 2019, the first one was at 17:08:37, and the second
- 16 one was at 17:12:08.
- 17 Q. And are you able to see here in column -- right after I,
- what the amount of these deposits was? 18
- 19 The amount of the deposit is shown, so it's -- it says:
- 20 Action equal make deposit amount equals 18,000.
- 21 So they were both shown there.
- 22 Q. Is the amount 18,000, does that mean an \$18,000 deposit?
- 23 A. In this case there was two \$18,000 deposits, there's one
- memo line for each. 24
- 25 And are you able to see into what account these were

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 74 of 133

A-338

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct

deposited? 1

2

- A. Yes. So it shows it in -- it's so small.
- O. We'll take a look at another table.
- 4 So, yeah, it does show the account number in which the
- 5 funds are going into. So this is -- just to give indication,
- 6 this is all done in a code environment. So it's pulled -- so
- 7 the data is pulled from our sequel servers.
- 8 THE COURT: Can I ask the witness just to keep your
- 9 voice up so the ladies and gentlemen can hear you better, of
- 10 the jury? Thank you.
- 11 Is there a table that would show you which IP address is
- associated with these transactions? 12
- A. Yes. And actually, the information is on this table. It's 13
- 14 located in the same string, so between the two highlighted
- 15 yellow versions. It's over -- it will say IP, and it's listed
- as 74.203.64.198. 16
- 17 O. Where is that on the screen?
- A. So it is located in line 42, all the way to the right-hand 18
- 19 side. So it's right kind of where that pink blip is.
- 20 Is there a tab that will show you what physical location is
- associated with that IP address? 21
- 22 A. I'm sorry?
- 23 Q. Is there a table that would show you what physical location
- is associated with that IP address? 24
- 25 Yes. That information is on the OLB detail tab, but it's

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

202

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 75 of 133

A-339

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct

also listed on the device tab. So I think you're going to look 1 2 at the device tab.

MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, can we go to the device tab.

- And why don't you draw our attention to the rows that involve the transactions here.
- A. So row 79, down to 83. So you'll see the IP address is listed in column E, and it lists it as being in column P as being --

10 THE COURT: May I just ask government counsel --

11 A. It's column P, and it's listed as New York, New York.

THE COURT: The type here is microscopic. The jury is struggling to see it. If you intend to draw their attention to lines here, you would do best to have the lines that you're focusing on magnified just to facilitate the jury's review.

MR. BHATIA: Okay. Let's zoom in even further then.

- A. So I draw our attention to column E which has the IP. And then over -- column E, which has the IP location, and then the physical location in column P.
- And is that physical location in column P based off of the IP address?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- 23 Q. And what is it listed here as the location?
- A. New York, New York. 24
- 25 Okay. And I should ask this question: What is an IP

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 76 of 133

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct

1 address?

2

- A. It's an internet protocol address. So it gives a distinct
- 3 location in which an online banking login is being conducted.
  - Q. Have you become familiar with the process for how Bank of
- 5 America handles mobile deposits?
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 Q. And the process through which a check is submitted through
- 8 a mobile deposit?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Describe that process.
- 11 A. So the process through the mobile deposit we went through.
- 12 Basically, you login through the online banking, you would
- upload the image, and it would make the deposit into your 13
- 14 account, so versus going to a teller or an ATM, you can do that
- 15 through the mobile application.
- 16 Q. And there are, sort of, the electronic communications sent
- 17 from the bank when doing mobile deposit; is that right?
- A. That is correct. 18
- 19 O. And is there one state where electronic communications are
- 20 routed for a mobile deposit?
- 21 A. Can you restate?
- Q. Is there a location through which electronic communications 22
- 23 are routed associated with mobile deposit?
- 24 The information flows through our servers which are located
- 25 in Texas.

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 77 of 133

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct

So if someone does a mobile deposit, there's some 1 2 communication sent to Texas?

- A. That is correct.
- Okay. I'll direct your attention to the tab ending in 8085 of this document, and rows 50 and 53 in particular.
- MR. BHATIA: And we can zoom way in. 6
- 7 Q. Okay. Ms. Finocchiaro, have you reviewed this record
- before? 8

3

4

- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 Q. And are these the two rows that correspond to the checks
- 11 that you testified about?
- 12 A. Yes, they are.
- 13 Q. Okay. And starting here in column D for both of these
- 14 checks, these are the highlighted rows, what's the account into
- 15 which these were deposited?
- 16 They were into the GateGuard, Inc. account.
- 17 Q. Okay. And are you able to see what the value of those
- 18 transactions was?
- They were \$18,000 each. 19
- 20 O. And what's shown in column G?
- A. Column G is the ledger balance once the checks are 21
- 22 deposited into the account.
- 23 Q. So at the end of the day, after these checks were
- deposited, there was 38,995.96 in that account; is that 24
- 25 correct?

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 78 of 133

205

K1MVTEM4

2

3

Finocchiaro - direct

- Yes, that's correct. 1
  - Q. Okay. And does this table -- if we go up or down, are we going to see what happened before or afterwards?
- 4 A. Yes. So the information is in a daytime descending order.
- 5 So the information below would be anything that happened prior,
- and the information above would be anything that happened after 6
- 7 the deposit was completed.
- 8 Q. Okay. If we look at transactions going up this 9 spreadsheet, those happened after these checks?
- That is correct. 10
- 11 Q. Okay. I'll direct your attention to row 48.
- MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, if you could highlight row 12
- 48. 13
- 14 What transaction do you see happening in that row?
- 15 On 3/29, there was an online banking transfer; and it was
- 16 transferring \$35,000 from the GateGuard, Inc. account to the
- 17 account that ends in 0351.
- Q. So is that the day after these two deposits? 18
- 19 Α. Yes, it is.
- 20 Is that \$35,000 leaving the account?
- Yes, it is. 21 Α.
- 22 Q. And it's going to another account?
- 23 It is going into the 0351. Α.
- And based on your review of records, including Government 24
- 25 Exhibit 103 and 104, have you identified the account holder for

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 79 of 133

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct

the account ending in 0351? 1

- A. Yes, I have.
  - Q. Who is it?
- 4 A. That account is held in the name of Friend or Fraud, and
- 5 the sole signer was Mr. Ari B. Teman.
- 6 Based on -- was there any other signer on that account?
- 7 A. No, there's not.
- 8 Q. I'll direct your attention to the next tab here ending in
- 9 0351. That's for the Friend or Fraud account. And directing
- your attention now to row 74, if we can zoom in on that. 73 10
- 11 rather.

2

- And does row 73 here, we'll zoom in, does that show 12
- the money coming into that account? 13
- 14 A. Yes, it does. In column E it lists it as a deposit of
- 15 35,000, and it's coming from the 8085 account, which was
- 16 GateGuard.
- 17 Q. Okay. And is there another -- so I'll direct your
- attention now to row 74. That's the one right below it. Are 18
- 19 you able to see there what transactions have been taking place
- 20 in row 74?
- A. Yes. In row 74, there was a \$20,000 movement; it was a 21
- 22 withdrawal, and the funds were being placed into the account
- that ends in 1046. 23
- So you were able to see \$35,000 coming into this account, 24
- 25 and then \$20,000 going to a third account?

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 80 of 133

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct

- 1 That is correct.
- Q. And were you able to identify, based on Government Exhibit 2
- 3 105, who is the account holder for the account ending in 1046?
- 4 Yes, account 1046 --
- You can take a look. It's Government Exhibit 105. 5
- 6 That would be the account in the name of Touchless Labs
- 7 LLC.
- 8 Q. And have you reviewed records showing who the signatory is
- 9 for that account?
- 10 Yes. Α.
- 11 Q. And is that in the same record that you're looking at now?
- 12 A. Yes, it is.
- Who's the authorized signer for that account? 13
- That's Ari B. Teman as well. 14
- 15 And is he the only authorized signer?
- 16 Yes, he is. Α.
- 17 So is he the only authorized signer for all three accounts
- we talked about? 18
- 19 Yes, he is.
- 20 Okay. Now we'll go to a third spreadsheet.
- I'll direct your attention to the tab ending in 1046 21
- 22 at the bottom here and, in particular, row 32.
- 23 Does row 32 reflect money coming into that account?
- 24 A. Yes, it does. It shows the deposit located in column E,
- 25 \$20,000 on 3/29; and it was coming from the account 0351.

## A-345

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 81 of 133

K1MVTEM4

Finocchiaro - direct

- Okay. Now, going back to the first spreadsheet we looked 1
- 2 at, 8085, I'll direct your attention back to row 48. We looked
- 3 at this one before. That shows the -- does that show the
- 4 \$35,000 leaving that account?
  - A. Yes, it does.
  - The day after the deposits?
- 7 A. Yes.

5

- 8 Q. And how much money is left in that account at the end of
- 9 the day after that transfer?
- 4,000 -- or at the end of the day it would be \$3,995.96. 10
- 11 I'd like to change topics for a moment and ask you
- 12 something about a chargeback. Are you familiar with the term
- "chargeback"? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What is a chargeback?
- 16 A. A chargeback is essentially when a check is returning, so
- 17 it's when the check is presented to the financial institution.
- However, the maker bank has established that for some reason 18
- 19 the check is no good, so it could be -- whether it would be
- 20 nonsufficient funds, altered or fictitious, or a counterfeit
- check. 21
- 22 Q. Is a chargeback one way to unwind a transaction?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 What are some examples of things that could trigger
- 25 chargebacks?

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 82 of 133

K1MVTEM4

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

Finocchiaro - direct

- A. Counterfeits, checks that are -- have been -- had a stop 1 2 payment that was placed on those, or checks that have been 3 deemed by the customer to not be legitimate checks.
  - Q. And how does Bank of America decide whether to honor a chargeback request from another bank?
    - A. Banks honor each other's chargebacks. So if we receive a chargeback, it is honored based on the fact that the maker financial institution is indicating that the check for any reason is not good.
    - Q. So if there's a chargeback in an account, where does the money come from to fund the money going back?
    - A. If there's -- if the funds have been removed from the account and we don't have a right to offset, the bank would be standing at that time at a loss and there would be a negative balance.
    - That's in an instance where there isn't enough money in the account to fund the chargeback. But if there is enough money in the account, what happens?
- A. If there's enough money in the account, then the funds 20 would just be deducted from the available balance.
  - So in the instance where there's -- there are \$100 in the account, and there's a \$50 chargeback, what happens?
- 23 A. It would leave \$50 in the account and it would recourse that 50. 24
- 25 What happens if there's only \$10 in that account and

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 83 of 133

K1MVTEM4

2

3

4

5

Finocchiaro - direct

there's a \$50 chargeback? 1

A. It's going to leave the account in a deficit of \$40.

THE COURT: Sorry, a little louder please.

- It's going to leave the account in a deficit of \$40.
- And who would be responsible for those \$40?
- So it's the responsibility of the customer to make good on 6
- 7 the balance in the account; so if the account's in a negative,
- that it is brought to a positive balance. 8
- 9 Q. Would the bank pay that \$40 first?
- It does. It does honor the chargeback, and it recourses 10
- 11 the money back to the maker bank.
- 12 Q. And then what are the bank's options to try to get those
- 13 \$40 back?
- 14 A. We do -- we look for the right to offset between accounts,
- 15 which means that we have the right to recover money that would
- 16 have been transferred from one account to another. And then
- 17 after we've completed the process of the investigation, it
- would go to collections at that time. The only time that we 18
- 19 don't file for collections is when the account is being worked
- 20 actively by law enforcement in regards to a criminal
- investigation. 21
- 22 MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, if we could scroll up this
- page just a bit to row 30. 23
- O. What's the date of the transaction in row 30? 24
- 25 It's on 4/2 of 2019.

## A-348

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 84 of 133

K1MVTEM4

3

4

Finocchiaro - direct

- Is that a couple of days after the other -- the deposits we 1 2 talked about?
  - A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. And what transactions are you seeing happen in row 30?
- 5 In row 30, this is 36,000, and it was for the returned item
- 6 chargeback. Basically, it lumped the two together. So when
- 7 they came through on the same day, instead of doing two \$18,000
- 8 chargebacks, it does put those together and recourses the
- 9 account for a lump sum of 36,000.
- 10 Q. How much money was in this account on the day before the
- 11 chargeback?
- 12 A. On the day before the chargeback, there was \$4,695.30.
- Q. And after the chargeback, how much was left in the account? 13
- 14 The account was sitting at a negative balance of
- 15 \$29,036.56.
- 16 Q. And so how did Bank of America come up with the funds to
- 17 pay the \$36,000 chargeback if there was only \$4,695.30 before?
- A. Bank of America has the responsibility to make -- to 18
- 19 provide the funds back to the maker bank. So we're sitting at
- 20 a loss at that time.
- So Bank of America had to pay those extra funds? 21
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. Ms. Finocchiaro, I'd like to direct your attention
- 24 now to some activity in April 2019.
- 25 MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco --

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 85 of 133

212

K1MVTEM4

Finocchiaro - direct

- Actually, Ms. Finocchiaro, I'm going to show you Government 1
- 2 Exhibits 110 through 112. They should be in a binder in front
- 3 of you. Do you recognize those documents?
- 4 Yes, I do. Α.
  - As a general matter, what are they?
- These are still frame images from our video surveillance 6
- 7 system.

5

- And how do you recognize those documents in particular? Q.
- 9 I created the document.
- 10 Are you familiar with how electronic surveillance records
- are created at Bank of America? 11
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And how are you familiar with that? 13
- 14 A. I use our video retrieval system. So there are -- it's an
- 15 active running system. It captures all of our images at all of
- 16 our ATMs, including our banking centers and our ATMs.
- 17 Q. If you want to find the photograph or a video associated
- with a given transaction, what do you do? 18
- 19 I would pull the specific account for the date, time, and
- 20 location. I would enter the video retrieval system, and I
- would choose the specific location and the specific machine or 21
- teller that the transaction would have occurred. 22
- 23 Q. Does the bank collect photographs or surveillance of many
- 24 transactions or does it pick transactions in particular?
- 25 No. So it's a live-stream feed. And we're able to go back

A-350

	Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE
1	in from a 120-day period and review all transactions second by
2	second, minute by minute, and for specific locations.
3	Q. Are you a custodian of records for Bank of America?
4	A. Yes, I am.
5	Q. When these records, that is, Government Exhibits 110, 111,
6	and 112 were these records created and maintained by Bank of
7	America in the ordinary course of business?
8	A. Yes, they were.
9	Q. Were they made at or near the time of the acts described in
10	them by someone with knowledge of them?
11	A. Yes, they were.
12	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, the government offers
13	Government Exhibits 110, 111, and 112.
14	THE COURT: Is there an objection?
15	MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	They are all received, 110, 111, and 112.
18	(Government's Exhibits 110, 111, 112 received in
19	evidence)
20	MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could you please publish
21	Government Exhibit 111 in evidence.
22	Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, what does the first page of this document
23	show?
24	A. This is a transaction that was being completed at our

Lincoln Road Financial Center. It was on 4/19 of 2019 at 18:00

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 87 of 133

A-351

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct

- And it was listed as our LT1, so it was a transaction 1 2 that was being conducted with our Teller No. 1.
  - Q. Quickly flipping to page 2, what does page 2 show?
- 4 It's a transaction in the same sequence. It's on 4/19 of
- 5 2019 at 18:05. And again, it was at our Lincoln Road Financial
- Center. 6

- 7 Q. That's about five minutes later?
- That is correct. 8 Α.
- 9 Q. And what about page 3?
- It was on 4/19/2019 at 18:05, so it was just a different 10
- 11 angle and the same minute.
- 12 Q. Have you reviewed account records and identified
- transactions happening around the same time these photographs 13
- 14 were taken?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could you please publish
- 17 Government Exhibit 205 in evidence.
- O. What does this document show? 18
- 19 A. So this is a check that was being deposited. It was -- the
- 20 maker bank was JPMorgan Chase. And it was from account ABJ
- 21 Lenox LLC. The account number on the bottom right-hand corner
- is 833579100. 22
- 23 Q. And have you reviewed bank records showing whether this was
- 24 taken around the same time as those photographs?
- 25 Yes, it was.

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 88 of 133

A-352

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct

- Into what account -- what account is this check addressed 1
- 2 to?
- 3 A. GateGuard, Inc.
- 4 Is that the same one we talked about before?
- Yes, it is. 5 Α.
- Who is the check written from -- or who is the account 6
- 7 holder that it's drawn from?
- 8 ABJ Lenox LLC. Α.
- 9 0. And what's the bank where these funds would come from?
- 10 JPMorgan Chase. Α.
- 11 That's listed up there in the top middle of the check?
- 12 That is correct. Α.
- Okay. And in the memo line, what do you see there? 13
- 14 In the memo line it lists device removal, 539 Lenox Avenue
- 15 (gate).
- 16 And in the signature line, do you see a physical signature?
- 17 There is not.
- What do you see instead? 18 Q.
- 19 There is a notation that indicates: Draw per contract.
- 20 signature required. Note to bank, this is a valid check.
- are required by law -- contract at -- oh, contact at GateGuard 21
- 22 XYZ, legal terms, PHP accepted by above client. Contact us
- 23 212-203-3714 with questions.
- 24 Q. And you can take a look at the hard copy in front of you.
- 25 How many checks do you see in Government Exhibit 205?

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 89 of 133

A-353

K1MVTEM4 Finocchiaro - direct 1 A. Eighteen. 2 Q. Okay. And are all 18 of those checks addressed to 3 GateGuard, Inc.? 4 A. All 18 are addressed to GateGuard, Inc., yes. 5 Q. And are they all written from ABJ Lenox? 6 A. Yes, they are. 7 Q. Let's look at another example check. 8 MR. BHATIA: Can we turn to the second page of this 9 document. 10 Q. Okay. Is this another check from ABJ Lenox LLC? 11 A. Yes, it is. (Continued on next page) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

A-354

Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 90 of 133 K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct And is this one also for \$18,000? 1 2 It is for 18,000. Α. 3 And what is listed in the memo line here? 4 Device removal fee, 102 West 138th Street, gate. Let's look at another example. 5 Mr. Magliocco, could we go to page 7 of this document. 6 7 In this check, what is listed in the memo line? Attorney use fee, 539 Lenox Avenue, Gate. 8 Α. 9 0. What is listed as the value of this check? \$5,000. 10 Α. OK. That's different than the two we looked at a moment 11 12 ago? A. That is correct. 13 14 Q. And, Mr. Magliocco, could we go to page 13 for another 15 example. What's listed here in the memo line of this check? 16 17 A. Collection fee, 539 Lenox Avenue, Gate. And what about the value of this check? 18 Ο. It was for \$10,000. 19 20 OK. This one is also from the ABJ Lenox account to the GateGuard Inc. account? 21 22 A. That is correct. 23 MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could you please publish

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Ms. Finocchiaro, are you able to identify which account

Government Exhibit 204.

24

25

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 91 of 133

A-355

- these checks were drawn from? 1
- 2 A. It's ABJ Milano LLC.
- 3 Is that true for all the checks in this exhibit?
- 4 Yes, it is. Α.
- 5 Now, are these all addressed to the GateGuard Inc. account?
- 6 Yes, they are.
- 7 How does the signature area compare to the previous check?
- 8 It is the same. It lists, "Draw per contract, no signature
- 9 required."
- 10 Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, were these checks deposited at the same
- 11 time as the 18 that we just talked about?
- 12 A. Yes, they were.
- 13 O. And at the same branch?
- 14 Yes, they were.
- 15 OK. Who is the -- which bank is sending the money for
- these checks? 16
- 17 These checks in this series are JPMorgan Chase.
- Q. How many checks are in this exhibit? 18
- 19 A. Six.
- 20 Q. And are these all made out from an ABJ Milano account at
- the top? 21
- 22 A. Yes, they are. Yes.
- 23 Q. OK. And last set of checks for now. I will direct your
- 24 attention to Government Exhibit 203. I'll direct your
- 25 attention to the check image at the top here.

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 92 of 133

A-356

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct

How many checks are in this set of government exhibit? 1

- There are three. Α.
  - Are they all made out from 518 West 205 LLC at the top?
- 4 Yes, they are. Α.

2

- 5 And are they all made out to the GateGuard Inc. account?
- 6 Yes, they are.
- 7 And these were deposited at the same time as the last two
- 8 sets of checks we talked about?
- 9 Yes, they are.
- When checks are deposited at a Bank of America branch, 10
- 11 what steps are taken to digitize the image of the check?
- 12 A. The checks are scanned into our system and added to our
- image view system and housed, so anytime we need to view the 13
- 14 images, that we can view the images of all deposits.
- 15 Q. Are these scanned at the bank where they are first
- 16 deposited?
- 17 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. And what happens with that picture from there? 18
- 19 There are sent into the video imaging system. It is a
- 20 record of the account, and at any time, like I said, if we need
- to be able to see that image, we can view that image. 21
- Q. Are the checks also transmitted to the Federal Reserve or 22
- 23 through the interbank clearing process?
- 24 Yes. So in this case these are drawn on signature banks,
- 25 so they would have gone through the Federal Reserve.

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 93 of 133

A-357

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct

JPMorganChase go through an interbank clearing system. 1

- Q. The check image in addition to sort of the information from the transaction?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

8

- 5 I will now direct your attention back to the
- 6 spreadsheet that we were looking at earlier. That is
- 7 Government Exhibit 113, and the tab underneath 805.

So the tab underneath 805, that is the GateGuard Inc.

- 9 account?
- A. 8085 is GateGuard Inc. yes. 10
- 11 Q. Thank you, 8085.
- 12 And this is the same account that was left with a
- negative balance on April 2, 2019? 13
- A. That is correct. 14
- 15 Q. OK. Now, if we can scroll up to row 16, Mr. Magliocco.
- 16 I'm sorry. That's right.
- 17 What do you see in row 16? What transaction is taking
- place in row 16? 18
- 19 A. So in the 8085 GateGuard account, there was a deposit that
- 20 was completed for \$297,000. And it is a counter credit, which
- means that it was a transaction that was done at a financial 21
- center with a teller. 22
- 23 Q. And is this transaction listed in row 16, did that
- 24 transaction happen around the same time as this photograph was
- 25 taken?

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 94 of 133

A-358

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct

- Yes, it was. 1 Α.
- 2 Q. And is the \$297,000 the total value of the checks that you
- 3 testified about?
- 4 Α. Yes.

- The three? 0.
- The 203, 204 and 205 exhibits. 6
- 7 Q. And at the end of the day, after that transaction, what is
- the value of the account? 8
- 9 A. Once the checks were deposited, the ending balance was
- \$271,803.12. 10
- 11 Q. And what is the date of the deposit there?
- 12 A. It was on 4/19/2019.
- Q. Based on your review of Government Exhibits 203, 204 and 13
- 14 205, is the date on the check the same as the date of the
- 15 deposit?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 O. OK.
- Let's take a look at row 13, which is just three rows 18
- 19 up from where we were looking. So that means it happens later,
- 20 right?
- A. That is correct. 21
- Q. OK. And what is the date of the transaction in row 13? 22
- 23 A. In row 13, it is a withdrawal and it was on 4/24. It is
- indicating a return item chargeback is completed. 24
- 25 What does a return item chargeback mean?

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 95 of 133

A-359

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct

It means that a few of the checks from that bulk deposit of 1 2 the \$297,000 was returned, that they were indicating

3 counterfeit.

- Is there a record that would show you which of those checks from that 27-check deposit were the subject of a chargeback
- here? 6

4

5

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

- 7 A. On this tab, no.
  - Would that be in Government Exhibit 114 or somewhere else?
  - A. It would be, yes.
- MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could we go to Government 10 Exhibit 114 for a moment. 11
  - I will direct your attention to the third page at the bottom.
  - Can you zoom in on the last two rows there. I'm It is the three rows above that.
  - So looking at I think the bottom three rows that are zoomed in here, what is the date of these transactions?
- The date of the transactions were, again, 4/19 of 2019. 18
- 19 O. And what is shown in this record?
- 20 A. So this record from left to right, it is the date of the returned item chargeback, so it is the date that Bank of 21 22 America is notified that the checks are returning for
- 23 counterfeit. It tells us the dollar amount per item, and it
- 24 tells us the reason that those checks are returning.
- 25 What is the listed reason here?

2

3

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 96 of 133 223

- It is counterfeit check.
  - Q. Is that a description that you get from another bank, or is that something that Bank of America would apply?
- 4 A. No, that is something that -- they have to apply a return 5 reason code when they are sending us the chargeback.
- Is the chargeback in this instance requested by Bank of 6
- 7 America or does the other -- does the other bank say I would
- like to undo this transaction? 8
- 9 A. It is the other bank indicating that their customer has 10 indicated that the transaction is not a legitimate transaction,
- 11 so it is them requesting.
- 12 Q. The chargebacks that are shown here were initiated outside
- 13 of Bank of America?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Is that true of all the transaction in this document?
- 16 That is correct. Α.
- 17 O. OK.
- MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, I would like to -- if you 18 19 could please go back to Government Exhibit 113. That is the
- 20 spreadsheet.
- Q. So row 13 here shows the \$33,000 chargeback, is that right? 21
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. And then if you go up to row 12, what transaction do you
- 24 see there?
- 25 On row 12 it is indicating that from the GateGuard Inc.

## A-361

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 97 of 133

- account, it is withdrawing \$225,000 on 4/26 of 2019. It is an 1
- 2 online banking transfer that's being completed to the account
- 3 that is checking account 0351.
- 4 Q. So it shows \$225,000 being sent to the account ending in
- 0351? 5
- That is correct. 6
- 7 Q. Is that the Friend or Fraud account that you testified
- 8 about previously?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Who is the author or signer for that account?
- 11 Α. It is Ari B. Teman.
- 12 Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, one row above it, so row 11, what
- 13 transaction do you see take place in that row?
- 14 That is an online banking transfer that's being completed
- 15 out of GateGuard into -- from online banking into checking
- account 5580. 16
- 17 Q. And based on your review of Government Exhibit 107, do you
- know who the account holder is for the account ending in 5580? 18
- 19 A. That is the account for -- it is a personal account for Ari
- 20 B. Teman.
- Is there an authorized signer for that account? 21
- 22 A. He is the sole signer for this account.
- 23 That one is a personal account instead of a business
- 24 account, is that right?
- 25 That is correct.

4

5

225

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 98 of 133

A-362

- So on the day before these two transfers, what is the total 1 2 value of the account?
  - A. On the day prior to that transfer, it was 200 and --\$10,167.12.
  - Q. After those transactions, it is \$10,167.12, right?
- 6 A. That is -- no. I'm sorry, on the day after. So it would
- 7 be on 4/29, and it would be a ledger balance of 4,624.51.
- 8 Q. OK. So with those two transactions, it goes from 9 approximately \$238,000 to \$10,000, is that right?
- 10 It goes down to -- it goes, yes, correct.
- 11 MR. BHATIA: OK. Mr. Magliocco, I would like to 12 direct your attention to the tab ending in 0351, which is the tab associated with the Friend or Fraud account. And row 36, 13
- 14 scroll up to it.
- 15 Q. What transaction do you see happening in row 36, Ms.
- Finocchiaro? 16
- 17 A. That is the \$225,000 being transferred from account 8085 in the name of GateGuard. 18
- 19 That is the money going from the GateGuard account to the
- 20 Friend or Fraud account?
- A. That is correct. 21
- 22 Q. I would like to direct your attention now to row 34 -- let 23 me step back.
- 24 What is the date of the transaction you just testified 25 about in row 36?

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 99 of 133

A-363

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct

4/26 of 2019. 1 Α.

- Q. Now looking at row 34, what is the date of that
- 3 transaction?

2

4

- A. 4/26/2019.
- Q. And what transaction is happening there?
- A. It is a \$4,500 cash withdrawal that's being completed from 6
- 7 the online banking transferring the funds from GateGuard -- I'm
- 8 sorry, from Friend or Fraud over to account 5580.
- 9 Q. And based on your review of Government Exhibit 107, who is
- the account holder for that account? 10
- A. For 5580, it is Ari Teman. Actually, 5580 is Touchless --11
- 12 (Pause)
- 5580 is Ari B. Teman. 13
- 14 Q. And isn't there another transaction on the same day,
- 15 according to this spreadsheet?
- A. On 4/26 --16
- 17 Q. That is right.
- A. -- there is a transaction via online banking. It's a 18
- 19 withdrawal transferring 180,000 to account -- checking account
- 20 1046.
- Q. OK. And do you see another transaction from that account 21
- in row 29? 22
- 23 A. There was -- on 4/29, there was a transaction which was a
- deposit of \$125,400, and it was an online banking transfer from 24
- 25 checking account 1046.

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 100 of 133

A-364

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct

- So are you seeing the money going into the account 1046 and 1 2 then coming back three days later?
  - A. That is correct.

3

4

16

17

18

Q. OK. I'll direct your attention to row 32 here.

5 Are you seeing -- there a wire transaction in that row? 6

- 7 A. Yes, there is. It is an international wire that's 8 conducted on 4/29.
- 9 Q. And are you able -- is there any more information you can 10 get about where it is being sent to -- the beneficiary, I 11 should say?
- A. Yes. It was -- I can't pronounce it, but Zuhuhai Taichiuan 12 Cloud Tech ID -- Tech and then there is an ID number. 13
- 14 Q. In row 24 -- scroll up just a bit -- is there another wire 15 transaction there?
  - A. There is another wire transaction. It is being conducted on 5/1, and it is going to beneficiary of ZBT International Limited.
- 19 Q. And now I will direct your attention to row 10.

20 This is all under the Friend or Fraud account, is that right? 21

- 22 A. I do believe so. If you can scroll over --23 THE COURT: A little louder, please.
- 24 It is in the Friend or Fraud Inc. account, yes.
- 25 This is the account where money was transferred from the

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 101 of 133 228

- GateGuard account to this account? 1
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. OK. So in row 10, what transaction do you see here taking
- 4 place?
- 5 That is on 5/8, there was a \$4,000 withdrawal, and it is a
- customer withdrawal image. So it was a transaction that was 6
- 7 taking \$4,000 out of a physical teller.
- Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, I would like to direct your attention to 8
- 9 Government Exhibit 112. in evidence.
- What's the date listed here on this document? 10
- 5/8 of 2019. 11 Α.
- Q. What is this? 12
- A. This is showing a cash withdrawal transaction at our 1 Penn 13
- Plaza location on 5/8 at 15:04. 14
- 15 Based on your review of this record and the account record,
- 16 what is the connection between the \$4,000 transaction and this
- 17 photograph?
- A. This is the photograph of Mr. Teman completing the 18
- 19 transaction for the cash withdrawal.
- 20 Q. All right. Let's switch topic now. We'll talk about
- chargebacks again. 21
- 22 Based on your review of the Bank of America records,
- 23 was there a chargeback of some of the funds deposited into the
- GateGuard account in April 2019? 24
- 25 Yes, there was.

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 102 of 133

K1mdtem5

Finocchiaro - direct

229

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Let's take a look again at Government Exhibit 114, and I will direct your attention to the first page.

If we can zoom in on just the first row.

Ms. Finocchiaro, what is listed here as the -- what is the description listed here for why this chargeback took place? A. RCC breach of warranty. So that is a remotely created check breach of warranty.

MR. BHATIA: One moment.

(Pause)

Your Honor, this might be an appropriate time for the instruction regarding relief credit checks.

MR. GELFAND: I would join in that request.

THE COURT: Counsel, has the expression been used yet in the receipt of evidence?

MR. BHATIA: The witness just used it.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

Ladies and gentlemen, you have just heard reference to something called a remotely created check, also known for short as an "RCC." A remotely created check is a check that is not created by the paying bank and that does not bear a signature applied or purported to be applied by the person on whose account the check is drawn. I instruct you that the banking laws of this country do permit the use of remotely created checks under certain circumstances, provided, of course, that the customer on whose account the check is drawn has authorized

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 103 of 133

K1mdtem5

Finocchiaro - direct

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

the check. However, this case does not involve whether or not the checks in question here meet the technical definition of a remotely created check, and you should not concern yourself with that. The question for you will be whether the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt the elements of the offenses charged, which, again, are bank fraud and wire fraud.

I will instruct you on the elements of those offenses later in the trial after all of the evidence has been received.

And that ends the instruction. Go ahead.

Thank you, counsel.

#### BY MR. BHATIA:

- Q. As you understand it, what does "RCC warranty breach" mean as a reason for a chargeback?
- A. It means that the maker has disputed at this point that there is a breach of warranty, so there is an agreement and that the agreement has failed.
- Q. And based on your review of these records, was all of the \$297,000 deposited from the 27 checks subject to a chargeback?
- They were all subject to a chargeback, correct.

MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could we go back to Government Exhibit 113.

If you could go to row 3. I'm sorry, in the tab ending in 8085.

- Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, what is happening in row 3?
- On 5/7, we received a return item chargeback for a total

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 104 of 133

- aggregate amount of \$264,000. 1
- 2 Q. And is that another chargeback?
- 3 A. It is. It is a chargeback for all of the items totaling
- 4 264,000.
- 5 So between this \$264,000 chargeback and the one in row 13,
- are those all -- does that cover all the 27 checks? 6
- 7 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. OK. And we don't need to switch tabs, but we saw a few 8
- 9 photographs from the \$4,000 transaction, is that right?
- 10 Yes. Α.
- 11 Q. The \$4,000 was withdrawn from the teller?
- A. Yes, it was. 12
- 13 Was that transaction done at a bank here in Manhattan?
- 14 Yes, it was.
- 15 OK. After this chargeback for \$264,000, the one here, so
- 16 after all the chargebacks for all the checks, what is the value
- 17 of the account?
- A. We are sitting at a negative amount of \$260,319.81. 18
- Q. How is Bank of America able to return \$264,000 if there was 19
- 20 only about \$4,000 in the account?
- A. We are currently sitting on a total loss amount in regards 21
- 22 to that.
- 23 Q. Bank of America had to pay the money out?
- 24 That is correct.
- 25 It had to cover it?

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 105 of 133

A-369

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct

- That is correct. 1 Α.
  - Q. We mentioned earlier that chargeback requests can come from another bank, right?
  - A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

So the other bank is saying we need to get the money back.

What happens if Bank of America's customer says this transaction is authorized but the other bank says it is not authorized, what does Bank of America do?

- A. So we look at the information. However, it was indicated that it was looked at as a dispute between the customer, the maker and the depositor, and at that point it is not -- it's not within our decision to rectify that matter.
- Q. In that scenario where the other bank says it is not authorized, Bank of America's customer says it is, does the transaction go through or does it not go through?
- A. So the chargeback does remain on the account. We do remain in the negative. It is the customer's responsibility to make the balance whole.
- 19 Q. So the practice of Bank of America is to honor the 20 chargeback?
- A. That is correct. 21
- 22 Q. Did there come a time when the GateGuard account was 23 closed?
- 24 A. Yes, there was.
- 25 And what was the value remaining in the account when it was

### A-370

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 106 of 133

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct

closed? 1

- A. In the GateGuard account, the total negative balance was
- 3 \$260,319.81.
- 4 Q. And you testified about two other accounts, the Friend or
- 5 Fraud account and the Touchless Labs account?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Were those accounts closed as well?
- 8 A. Yes, they were.
- 9 Q. And was there a positive balance or a negative balance in
- those accounts? 10
- 11 A. Can we look at the --
- 12 Q. Let's take a look at those tabs. I will direct your
- attention to the tab ending in 0351. That is for the Friend or 13
- 14 Fraud account that you testified about?
- 15 A. Correct. So at that time when the account was closed, it
- 16 was at a positive balance of \$8,386.
- 17 Q. And what about the account ending in 1046? That is the
- Touchless Labs account. 18
- 19 A. We were sitting at a positive balance of \$86,558.18.
- 20 Q. What did Bank of America do with the money in those
- accounts? 21
- 22 A. So those fund were immediately sent to hold harmless in
- 23 regard -- it is a holding -- it is a holding account that the
- bank has. We review the account for offset to see if we're 24
- 25 able to utilize the funds from the transfer to offset the loss

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 107 of 133

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct

that was incurred based on the returned item chargeback. 1

- Q. Before that, did there come a time when the bank, or Bank
- 3 of America, tried to return the deposited balance funds in
- 4 those accounts?
- A. Yes. 5

- 6 Q. What happened?
- 7 A. So the funds were -- the checks were cut for the individual
- 8 accounts and the physical check was mailed.
- 9 Q. Let's unpack that. So in this account, for example, there
- 10 is \$86,558.18 at the time of closing, is that right?
- 11 Α. That is correct.
- 12 Q. Approximately?
- What did Bank of America do with that \$86,000? 13
- 14 The funds were placed into an official item and they were
- 15 mailed to the customer.
- A check was mailed to the customer? 16
- 17 Correct. Α.
- Is the same true of the other account? 18 0.
- 19 That is correct.
- 20 OK. What happened with those checks?
- The checks were returned in the mail for the address. 21 Α.
- 22 Q. You mentioned something about -- you mentioned something
- 23 called an offset. I want to unpack that a little bit.
- 24 If there is a negative account -- if there is a
- 25 negative balance when a chargeback happens, does Bank of

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

235

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 108 of 133

A-372

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - direct

America try to take some steps to get some of that money so it 1 2 can pay for the chargeback?

- A. We do. So we look at the events that occurred, so the transactions that were incoming, what was the trail of events after that, so we look to see where the funds were transferred to to see if we can offset to bring those funds back to cover the loss that's being sustained.
- Q. If an individual had three accounts at the bank, for example, and there was a chargeback from one, could the bank try to use the funds in the other account to pay for it?
- A. So we do not have the right to offset when the tax identification number is different from one entity to the other.
- Q. So if it was an individual -- three personal accounts, would the bank typically be able to draw funds from the other accounts?
- A. Yes.
- Q. If it was for three different businesses, for three 18 19 different tax numbers, would the bank typically be able to use 20 the funds?
  - A. We cannot offset our loss when it is a different tax identification number.
- 23 Q. And based on your review of the records admitted into 24 evidence earlier today, do you know if the three accounts that 25 you talked about had different tax ID numbers?

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 109 of 133 236

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross They did. 1 Α. 2 Q. So as a general matter, is the bank able to recover funds 3 from those three accounts? 4 A. We were not. 5 MR. BHATIA: Nothing further, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 6 7 Cross-examination, Mr. Gelfand. 8 MR. GELFAND: Thank you, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Yes. You may inquire. CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. GELFAND: 11 12 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Finocchiaro. 13 A. Good afternoon. 14 Did I pronounce that right? 15 Yes. A . 16 Ms. Finocchiaro, you and I have never met, correct? 17 A. That is correct. You and I have never spoken, correct? 18 Q. 19 That is correct. 20 You did, on the other hand, meet several times with the prosecutors to prepare for your testimony, correct? 21 A. I did. 22 23 And you spoke with them several times by phone, correct? I did. 24 Α. 25 OK. Now, you testified that you are the senior fraud

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 110 of 133

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

- investigator, or a senior fraud investigator at Bank of 1
- 2 America, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 And you have been there for quite some time, correct?
- 5 Yes. Α.
- You testified that in your job, you've conducted thousands 6
- 7 of investigations; those were your words, correct?
- 8 Correct. Α.
- 9 Q. OK. Could I ask you to speak up a little bit?
- 10 Yes, that is correct. Α.
- 11 Q. Now, obviously, you're not law enforcement. When you say
- 12 "investigations," you mean investigations on behalf of the
- bank, correct? 13
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 And your job is to protect, if possible, the bank's
- 16 interests, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. OK. And would you agree with me that when conducting any 18
- 19 sort of investigation, it is important to obtain all relevant
- 20 information, to the extent possible?
- A. Yes, that is correct. 21
- 22 Q. And so, in other words, just to speak simply, if there are
- 23 two sides to a story, it is important to actually get both of
- those sides, correct? 24
- 25 Correct.

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 111 of 133

238

K1mdtem5

Finocchiaro - cross

- The contracts that are referenced on the checks that 1 OK. 2 you've testified about, you testified that there is a URL,
- 3 correct?

4

- A. That is correct.
- And just to be clear, what is a "URL"?
- It's a hyperlink that would take you to -- you can take 6
- 7 that link and Google that and you can identify the subject of
- 8 that link.
- 9 Q. So it is very simple to access that website; you just take
- it into a Web browser, correct? 10
- A. That is correct. 11
- Q. Did you do that over the course of your investigation? 12
- A. Early on in the investigation, I do believe that I did, 13
- 14 yes.
- 15 And that took you to GateGuard's website and terms and
- 16 conditions and payment terms, correct?
- 17 A. So the bank actually blocks the links, so I was not able to
- view that, but I would have attempted to do that. 18
- 19 Q. When you say the bank blocks the links, meaning your
- 20 computer security?
- A. Computer security or IT department does not allow certain 21
- 22 links to be processed.
- 23 Q. OK. Did you take an iPhone, for example and, just look up
- the website? 24
- 25 I did not.

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 112 of 133

A-376

239 K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross So to this day, have you actually read the GateGuard 1 2 contract? 3 A. I did not. 4 Q. Now, you testified about a number of what the government 5 called checks, basically these 29, quote-unquote, checks or RCCs, correct? 6 7 A. Correct. 8 Q. OK. Now, in total there were initially, as you testified, 9 two mobile deposits into the GateGuard account in March, March 28th of 2019, correct? 10 A. That is correct. 11 12 Q. And two mobile -- I'm sorry, and 27 checks deposited 13 physically at a bank branch in Miami, Florida? A. That is correct. 14 15 Q. And that was in April, essentially three weeks later, April 2019, correct? 16 17 A. Correct. Q. OK. And we looked at a number of those checks. I'm not 18 19 going to show you all of them but I want to ask you a couple of 20 questions about them. 21 MR. GELFAND: If I can turn on the Elmo, please? 22 Your Honor, I'm showing the witness Government's

THE COURT: Very good.

Exhibit 201, which was previously admitted.

BY MR. GELFAND:

23

24

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 113 of 133

A-377

K1mdtem5

Finocchiaro - cross

- 1
- Now, can you, just to refresh our memory, you've testified 2 to Government's Exhibit 201. It was one of the first documents
- 3 that the prosecutor showed you, correct?
- 4 Α. That is correct.
- 5 This was one of the March 28, 2019 mobile deposits,
- correct? 6
- 7 A. That is correct.
- Q. OK. And you would agree with me, would you not, that this 8
- 9 expressly includes the phrase, "Draw per contract, no signature
- required, " correct? 10
- A. Correct. 11
- 12 Q. OK. And you testified that there is essentially, I'll use
- your phrase, a squiggly line, correct? 13
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 In fact, that is the same squiggly line, if you will, as on
- 16 the endorsement section of the check, correct?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, when you sign an endorsement of a check, we all have 18
- 19 this life experience, you are signing that you are the one
- 20 depositing the check, correct?
- A. Correct. 21
- 22 Q. So it is pretty clear that if in fact Ari Teman was the one
- 23 depositing this check and then signing on the back, that,
- 24 quote-unquote, signature squiggly line represented is Ari
- 25 Teman's signature, correct?

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 114 of 133 241

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

- Yes. 1 Α.
- Q. OK. Just to be clear, when we look at, for example, the 2
- 3 only other one introduced into evidence deposited that day --
- 4 for the record, this is Government Exhibit 202 -- do you see
- 5 that in front of you?
- A. Yes, I do. 6
- 7 Q. OK. And that, too, includes the same squiggly line in both
- 8 sections of the check, correct?
- 9 A. There is a squiggly line for the signature on the top.
- looks like a straight line across the bottom underlining 10
- 11 "Deposit only."
- 12 Q. OK. Can we agree that all four of these squiggly lines
- look awfully similar? 13
- 14 A. They do look awfully similar.
- 15 Q. OK. Both of these include, "Draw per contract, no
- signature required, " correct? 16
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And, in fact, you testified that these two -- I am going to 18
- 19 loosely use the word checks -- these two documents were
- 20 deposited into the Bank of America account for GateGuard Inc.,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. OK. And GateGuard had been a longtime customer of Bank of
- America as of March of 2019, the day that they were deposited, 24
- 25 correct?

A-379

242

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 115 of 133

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

1 Α. Correct.

- Q. OK. And you've reviewed in preparing for your testimony
- 3 today and in investigating this case for the bank, you've
- 4 reviewed extensive bank records, it sounds like, correct?
- A. Correct. 5
- Q. So is it fair to say that you are at least probably more 6
- 7 familiar than the average person with GateGuard's banking
- 8 activity at Bank of America?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. OK. And in fact, GateGuard opened its account -- I'm 10
- talking about GateGuard specifically -- in 2016 with Bank of 11
- 12 America, correct?
- I would have to refresh but I do believe that is correct. 13
- 14 Q. OK. And when a customer opens an account with a bank, the
- 15 customer fills out some paperwork with the bank, correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. OK. And what's the purpose, just generally speaking, of
- the paperwork that the customer fills out? 18
- 19 There is a signature card that's required, so it houses the
- 20 customer's signature. It's the deposit agreement, and the
- rights and responsibilities of the customer. 21
- 22 Q. You used the phrase "signature card." That is a kind of
- 23 pretty important document in banking lexicon, correct?
- A. That is correct. 24
- 25 The signature card is basically the bank's way of knowing

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 116 of 133 243 K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

- who the person authorized to conduct business for this account 1 2 is, correct?
  - A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

- Q. And you testified on direct examination that with respect to GateGuard, the Friend or Fraud Incorporated account, the Ari Teman individual account, essentially all the accounts that you have testified to at Bank of America, the one person with
- A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. And bank of America obviously knew that because Bank of 11 America had the signature card and the other depository documents -- my word not yours -- in its possession, correct? 12

signature authority Was Ari Teman correct?

- A. Correct. 13
  - So I'm showing you, for example, what's been introduced as Government Exhibit 101.
- 16 Can you just tell me generally what this document is 17 at Bank of America?
- A. It is just indicating that the account is being opened 18 19 under the name of GateGuard, that the -- it is being opened 20 with a corporation out of Delaware. It is indicating that it was being opened on the 17th of November of 2016, with Ari B. 21 22 Teman as the president.
- 23 Q. OK. So to be clear, it's -- in March of 2019, in April of 2019, the dates that are relevant to this case for purposes of 24 25 Bank of America, Bank of America clearly knows that GateGuard

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 117 of 133

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

- is a company, of course, but it is Ari Teman at least as far as 1
- 2 Bank of America is concerned?
  - A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And, in fact, there is a signature -- forgive my phrase for
- 5 using the word signature card but it is not literally a card.
- What are we looking at on the screen here on page Bates number 6
- 7 173?

- 8 A. It would be the account number ending in 8085, and the
- 9 signature, the squiggly line indicating he is the president.
- Q. OK. So we've used the commonly used phrase "signature 10
- 11 card." Is this, even though it is a document, not a card, is
- 12 this a signature card?
- A. Yes, it is. 13
- 14 Q. OK. And this contains the same squiggly line that we see
- 15 on these checks, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Fair to say that the squiggly line on those checks, clearly
- if Bank of America looked at any of its documents, it would 18
- 19 know that's Ari Teman's signature, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- OK. Now, if we look back at the March deposits, you 21
- 22 testified that these were deposited through online banking,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 And online banking, to access an account, you testified

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 118 of 133

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

that you are familiar with that system at Bank of America, 1 2 correct?

A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. OK. It's obviously not easy from a bank security standpoint for someone to log into an online banking application, correct?

In other words, if I wanted to log into your online banking interface, I would have to know your username and password, correct?

- A. That is correct. 10
  - There might even be other futures of authentication, there might not, but is that fair to say?
- 13 A. It is.
- 14 OK. In other words, logging into online banking is, for 15 all intents and purposes, the practical equivalent of walking 16 into a bank and introducing yourself, correct?
  - A. It's logging in with your specific username and your specific password, also from a known device, so we capture the device information as well to know that it is a known device that you typically log into.
    - Q. OK. So, in other words, there is no concealing by the depositing of these two RCCs that you've identified as Government's Exhibits 101 and 102 in March of 2019, that those are deposited through GateGuard's specific security features, correct?

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 119 of 133

A-383

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

1 Α. Correct.

- Q. So whoever deposited them --
- 3 I know you weren't physically standing there, correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 -- had to have access to those features, correct?
- 6 Exactly, yes.
- 7 Q. And Bank of America's reasonable assumption is that must be
- 8 the person who's, first of all, endorsing the check, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. And it must be the person who at least has signature 10
- 11 authority or some sort of authority over the account?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. OK. Now, to be clear, these two RCCs, as you testified,
- 14 clearly use the language "Draw per contract, no signature
- 15 required, " correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. OK. And that's not hidden somewhere; that's essentially
- front and center on the check, correct? 18
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 O. OK. And both of these include the check number. For
- example, 201 includes check number 1, correct? 21
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 202 includes check number 1, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Fair to say that these specifics documents, 201 and 202,

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 120 of 133

A-384

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

clearly indicate to Bank of America that these are RCCs 1

- regardless of whether they were drawn with authority or not?
- A. Correct.
- 4 Q. OK. In other words, that's not a secret to the bank,
- correct? 5

2

- A. Correct. 6
- 7 Q. It's front and center, it is on the document, there is no
- 8 hiding it, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. OK. And Bank of America obviously understood that these 10
- 11 were RCCs, correct?
- 12 A. When they were deposited, the checks are imaged, so we
- would have known at that time that the checks were from the 13
- 14 maker bank, but we wouldn't have seen that they were remotely
- 15 created checks without physically looking at the checks.
- 16 Q. OK. Let me break that down for a second.
- 17 When Bank of America physically looks at the checks,
- Bank of America clearly knows they are RCCs, corrects? 18
- 19 A. Right. If the item is viewed when it is deposited, they
- 20 would know that it was an RCC.
- Q. OK. And in fact, you testified in total about 29 21
- 22 documents, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 They all clearly indicate to anyone looking at them who
- 25 knows what an RCC is that they are an RCC, correct?

## A-385

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 121 of 133

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

1 Correct.

- And Bank of America readily acknowledges that, correct?
- 3 Correct. Α.
- 4 OK. The catch, as I understood your testimony on direct
- 5 examination, is that Bank of America had no way of controlling
- what another bank's customer tells the other bank, correct? 6
- 7 A. We do not, no.
- 8 Q. So, for example, if I understood your testimony correctly,
- 9 if ABJ, for example, tells I don't remember whether it was ABJ
- 10 or it was JPMorgan's signature, but you know what I am talking
- 11 about, right?
- A. Yes. 12
- Q. If ABJ tells its bank that is not Bank of America that 13
- 14 these were not authorized, that there is no contract, in
- 15 essence, Bank of America doesn't independently kind of
- 16 arbitrate that dispute, correct?
- 17 A. Do we dispute that? Is that what you are asking?
- 18 O. Yes.
- 19 A. We would review -- we may review that, but there was not a
- 20 dispute.
- In other words, Bank of America is not essentially 21
- 22 adjudicating that billing dispute between GateGuard, defender,
- 23 and its customer, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 So if the customer is wrong, either intentionally or

A-386

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 122 of 133 249

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

otherwise, and actually there is a contract authorizing this, 1

2 then Bank of America can't control the fact that it is a

chargeback, correct?

A. Correct.

3

4

5

8

MR. BHATIA: Objection to form.

THE COURT: Overruled. 6

7 BY MR. GELFAND:

- Q. You can answer the question.
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. OK. Now, you testified that in April, Mr. Teman -- I'll 10
- 11 just connect the dots -- walks into a Bank of America branch,
- 12 and you testified there is surveillance footage and other
- 13 documents from that day, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 So we've got two days. We talked about the mobile deposits
- 16 on those two initial checks, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And then there is this 27 other checks that are broken down 18
- into a couple of government exhibits. 19
- 20 A. Correct.
- I am happy to show you any of them that you would like. 21
- 22 I'm certainly not trying to trick you or anything. But can we
- 23 agree that all of those checks include the exact same RCC link
- which is on those 27 checks? 24
- 25 They do, yes.

# Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 123 of 133

A-387

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

- And you've reviewed those prior to testifying, correct? 1
- 2 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

Q. So if we just look, for example, at one of them -- we'll look in a minute.

You testified that Bank of America captured essentially video -- I am going to probably make it sound more exciting than it is -- video surveillance footage, correct?

- A. Correct.
- 9 Q. OK. In capturing video surveillance footage, if I understood your testimony correctly, you were actually the one 10 11 who within, whatever amount of days, 120 days or something, 12 went and physically got the screenshots that have been
- A. That is correct. 14
- 15 OK. And in doing that, you did that -- how many days is it 16 stored?
- 17 A. It's stored for 120 days.

introduced into evidence, correct?

- Q. OK. And so within essentially the first couple of months, 18 19 after that happens -- four months I quess -- you or someone 20 with your similar position at Bank of America can go out and actually access the whole video, not just screenshots, correct? 21
- A. That is correct. 22
- 23 Q. OK. And I presume that you watched the whole video in capturing the screenshots? 24
- 25 I did, yes.

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 124 of 133

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

The truth is at the bank that day, Mr. Teman was 1 OK.

- 2 actually there for quite some time, correct?
- 3 A. Correct. It takes time to process that amount of checks.
- 4 Q. And Mr. Teman, as you have seen these, quote-unquote,
- 5 surveillance footage, the clips that you have, when Mr. Teman
- walked into the bank, he interacted with a number of different 6
- 7 people, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. There was actually a manager who was involved in the
- 10 transaction, correct?
- 11 A. I'm not sure if it was a manager or who the other person
- 12 was.
- Q. Did it appear that someone brought in someone else's 13
- assistance? 14
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 OK. And throughout the entire interaction, Mr. Teman
- 17 clearly identified himself, correct?
- A. Correct. 18
- 19 Q. He showed his driver's license, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. He signed a deposit slip, correct? 21
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And obviously on the deposit slip is the correct
- information, correct? 24
- 25 Yes.

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 125 of 133

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

- So Mr. Teman is walking in plain view into Bank of 1 OK.
- America on April 19th of 2019, if I have the date right? 2
- 3 A. April 19th, yes.
- 4 Q. OK. And clearly identifying himself to the bank as
- himself, correct? 5
- A. Correct. 6
- 7 Q. Now, in processing these particular checks, Mr. Teman
- 8 actually goes physically to a teller, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. Just so we're speaking the same language, literally the 10
- 11 same kind of teller you would get if you walked into any branch
- 12 today and cashed a check?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Or got cash or whatever?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 If we looked, for example, at Exhibit 111 -- to refresh our
- 17 memory, do you see 111 in front of you on the screen?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 I'm going to show the black-and-white version, but the one
- 20 in evidence is the color one, correct?
- A. Correct. 21
- 22 Q. OK. Fair to say that this -- as you testified, this is on
- 23 April 19th. This reflects the interaction with the deposit,
- 24 correct?
- 25 That is correct.

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 126 of 133

A-390

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross

- With the teller, correct? 1
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And whoever this person is, this is obviously the teller,
- 4 correct?

- That is correct.
- OK. Now, fair to say that if you look at this, Mr. Teman 6
- 7 made no efforts at all to disguise his identity?
- 8 Correct. Α.
- 9 0. OK. He was not wearing a hat?
- 10 No. Α.
- 11 He doesn't have like a silly mustache or anything, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. OK. In fact, this branch of Bank of America, you had to 13
- 14 have an opportunity to research which branch that was, correct?
- 15 A. That is correct. It was our Lincoln Road Financial Center.
- 16 In fact, that is directly across the street from
- 17 GateGuard's office at the time in Florida, correct?
- A. I do believe that is directly across the street. 18
- 19 Q. OK. And obviously you have that address; you've
- 20 investigated this for quite some time, correct?
- A. Correct, yes. 21
- 22 Q. So fair to say Mr. Teman was also a regular customer at the
- 23 bank?
- 24 A. I do believe that he has done some other activity in our
- 25 financial center there, yes.

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 127 of 133

K1mdtem5

Finocchiaro - cross

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

OK. Now, if we look at just one of those other batches of checks --

THE COURT: Mr. Gelfand, I am looking for a natural break point for the evening. You let me know when you are at one.

MR. GELFAND: Whenever the Court would like.

THE COURT: I don't want to interrupt the sequence.

If this is a good time?

MR. GELFAND: Now is a fine transition time, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to adjourn for the evening. We've made good progress today, and I appreciate how attentive all of you have been. I want to wish you a good evening. And I will remind you, as I did earlier, please do not discuss or research the case.

As to our schedule, at 8:45 tomorrow Mr. Smallman has arranged for breakfast for you in the jury room. As he will explain to you, you should come straight to the jury room. You are at liberty to take us up on the invitation of free food; you are not obliged to do so. But I need to have you here at 9:30 promptly. As soon as you are all here at 9:30, I will bring you all out and we'll have a full day of work and make some real headway.

Have a good evening. I look forward to seeing you

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 128 of 133 255

K1mdtem5 Finocchiaro - cross tomorrow. Sorry, one other thing. Please, take your notepads and pens to the jury room but do not take them home. Leave them in the jury room. Thank you. (Continued on next page) 

## Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 129 of 133

A-393

	K1mdtem5
1	(Jury not present)
2	THE COURT: All right. Counsel, be seated.
3	Just for planning purposes, Mr. Gelfand, without
4	holding you to it, how much longer do you expect on cross?
5	MR. GELFAND: 20 minutes.
6	THE COURT: 20 minutes, OK.
7	And Ms. Finocchiaro just whispered to me that she has
8	a flight tomorrow. Counsel will coordinate about that. But in
9	any event, she is our first witness tomorrow, and hopefully the
10	jury will be here on time and you will get out promptly.
11	OK. You may step down.
12	(Witness excused)
13	THE COURT: Before we adjourn for the evening, let me
14	just go around and ask if anyone has anything to raise.
15	Government?
16	MR. BHATIA: Nothing, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	Defense?
19	MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. In terms of just small
21	homework assignments you still have from day one is, from the
22	government, just to get me the updated daily exhibit list, and
23	I am still expecting advice of counsel written proposed
24	instructions from each of you.
25	Very good. If you could I am taking a guilty plea

#### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 130 of 133 257 K1mdtem5

1	here momentarily. You don't have to clear off the whole table,
2	but clear off enough space so that there are, let's say, two
3	spots at each table. Thank you.
4	MR. IMPERATORE: Your Honor, I'm sorry. With the
5	Court's permission, I would like to request to attend a
6	pretrial conference tomorrow at 2 o'clock before Judge Rakoff.
7	Brian Blais will be taking my place.
8	THE COURT: My permission isn't needed but I am happy
9	to grant it, of course. That is fine. And I will introduce
10	Mr. Blais to the jury.
11	Sorry. May I ask, just for my preparation overnight,
12	government, without holding you to it but it will be useful for
13	all concerned, after this witness, who are your next several
14	witnesses?
15	MR. BHATIA: So we've given the defense sort of the
16	balance of our list.
17	THE COURT: But for my benefit?
18	MR. BHATIA: Yes, for your benefit now: Elie Gabay,
19	he is an individual associated with Coney LLC, one of the
20	customers.
21	Bonnie Soon-Osberger, she is an individual associated
22	with 18 Mercer Equity, another one of the customers.
23	THE COURT: Right.
24	MR. BHATIA: And then Joseph Soleimani could be
25	tomorrow. He is the individual associated with ABJ Properties.

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 131 of 133

K1mdtem5 THE COURT: Right. 1 2 MR. BHATIA: Jackson Hom and Gina -- I'm sorry, 3 Jacquie Monzon and Gina Hom, who are both from Crystal Real 4 Estate. 5 THE COURT: Terrific. OK. That gives me enough to 6 work on. 7 You should expect at the end of tomorrow I will be 8 taking stock with everyone of how we are doing and how we are 9 looking in terms of time. It is usually my practice at the end of each week to alert the jury how we're doing, and in a 10 11 shorter trial like this, I want to generally keep them abreast. 12 So as soon as I have a good sense of how we are doing, that 13 might be useful. 14 Have a good evening, counsel 15 MR. GELFAND: Can we safely not have any witnesses 16 tomorrow? 17 THE COURT: Government, it sounds like it is a completely safe thing, correct? 18 19 MR. BHATIA: I think that's right. 20 THE COURT: Yes. MR. GELFAND: Thank you, your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Thank you for asking. 23 Thank you. We stand adjourned. Off the record. 24 25 (Pause)

## A-396

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 132 of 133 259

```
K1mdtem5
                THE COURT: Counsel, 9 o'clock tomorrow for counsel
 1
 2
      and the court reporter.
 3
                (Adjourned to 9 a.m., January 23, 2020)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

### Case 1:19-cr-00696-PAE Document 101 Filed 02/14/20 Page 133 of 133

		١
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2	Examination of: Page	
3	KAREN FINOCCHIARO	
4	Direct By Mr. Bhatia 185	
5	Cross By Mr. Gelfand 236	
6	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS	
7	Exhibit No. Received	
8	101 through 108, 114, 201 through 206 190	
9	501	
10	113	
11	110, 111, 112 213	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

K1NVTEM1	261					
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	RK					
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,						
V.	19 CR 696 (PAE)					
ARI TEMAN,						
Defendant.	JURY TRIAL					
	x					
	New York, N.Y. January 23, 2020 9:05 a.m.					
	5.05 a.m.					
Before:						
HON. PAUL A. ENGELMAYER,						
	District Judge					
א ר	PPEARANCES					
Ar	FLARANCES					
GEOFFREY S. BERMAN, United States Attorney						
Southern District of Ne KEDAR S. BHATIA	W YORK					
EDWARD A. IMPERATORE Assistant United States	Attorneys					
JOSEPH A. DIRUZZO, III						
JUSTIN GELFAND Attorneys for Defendant						
ALSO PRESENT: DANIEL ALESSA						
WILLIAM MAGLI	COCCO, Paralegal, USAO					

K1	N	V	ГΕ	Μ	1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

/T~; ¬ ]	rogumod.	-111271	no+	nragantl
(тттат	resumed;	jury	1100	present)

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.

I have nothing of my own initiatives to take up with you this morning. I note that I received an email late yesterday, shortly after the end of the court day, from Mr. Bhatia stating that it was at least possible that the government would rest today.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Judge, one moment please.

(Pause)

THE COURT: We'll see. But, either way, I've previously given the defense dispensation not to have to call any witnesses today, and that stands.

All right. With that, just going around the horn, government, do you have anything to raise this morning?

MR. BHATIA: Nothing, your Honor.

We noticed that defense filed -- made a filing yesterday with the -- with their proposed advice of counsel defense. I wanted to let the Court know that we're vetting it and looking into it and we'll get something to the Court.

THE COURT: Great.

Scully gives you a pretty good roadmap. I would be interested in seeing if there's any go-by from a colleague since Scully, but it seems to me that Scully couldn't be more useful quidance on the subject. But obviously I'm eager to see what you come up with.

I	K1NVTEM1
1	MR. BHATIA: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Do you have the just updated exhibit list
3	for me?
4	MR. MAGLIOCCO: Yes. It's in the binder.
5	THE COURT: Where is it?
6	MR. MAGLIOCCO: It's in your binder.
7	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, no, no. I prefer to just
8	have it by hand so that I can have it by my side as I work.
9	Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Magliocco.
10	All right. So, government, anything to raise from
11	you?
12	MR. BHATIA: Nothing, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Defense?
14	MR. GELFAND: Two very short matters, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.
16	MR. GELFAND: The first, the parties are in agreement
17	on, I just wanted to advise the Court on that, and that is that
18	there were in discovery, within the past week or so, there
19	were and it was appropriately disclosed to us; we appreciate
20	it. There were allegations by two government witnesses, one
21	involving charges via credit card to Sublet Spy, which is
22	another business not the subject of this trial.
23	THE COURT: Another business run by Mr. Teman?
24	MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, when you say "charges," just, I

K1	Ν	V	Τ	E	М	1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't know what you mean by -- allegations or --

MR. GELFAND: There were allegations that we would dispute, but there were allegations that Sublet Spy essentially, on a monthly basis, charged a certain witness's credit card that the witness claimed shouldn't have been charged.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GELFAND: We would move to exclude that. The government has no intention of getting into it, so it's beyond dispute.

THE COURT: All right. In other words, both sides agree that that's not a proper subject for examination?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Government, is that correct?

MR. BHATIA: That's right. At this time we don't have an intention of getting into it.

THE COURT: All right. Then in the event that events change that, the door is opened by something that is elicited, please seek a sidebar before anybody going there. But I'll take that as off limits, unless somebody raises it with me.

MR. GELFAND: The second issue falls under the same category, also not a dispute between the parties. And that's that one of the government's anticipated witnesses, Joseph Soleimani, via Jencks, for lack of a better way of putting it, basically made -- he has a theory that Mr. Teman contacted

K:	LΝ	V)	Έ	М	1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tenants of his on one occasion and had them send rent checks
somewhere else, not clear exactly where. We also would ask
that that theory or that testimony, that allegation, be
excluded from trial.

THE COURT: Have you taken that up with the government?

MR. GELFAND: We have. And that falls under the same category, your Honor. There's no dispute.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bhatia, is that correct?

MR. BHATIA: That's right, your Honor.

And also, subject, of course, to facts changing during trial, and also the defense's representation that they don't intend to get into that on cross, we wouldn't intend to get into it in our --

THE COURT: All right. Well, then I will heed what both of you are jointly saying, which is you both believe this is either irrelevant or its relevance is outweighed by countervailing 403 factors.

So as with the first subject, in the event that anyone's mind changes because something has happened at trial, raise it with me first; don't go there without affirmative permission from the Court.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

The third thing is really just for the record.

Obviously, this day and age, Jencks material is

K	1	Ν	V	Τ	Ε	Μ	1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

disclosed in advance of trial, has been disclosed in advance of trial. We appreciate that. But we would just ask the Court to permit us to make a continuing request throughout trial at the close of every government witness for full disclosure of Jencks material.

THE COURT: Right. I took as a given that if the government meets with a witness after the court day and generates additional 3500 material, that is subject to the ongoing obligation. I doubt the government disputes that.

MR. GELFAND: Yes. We just wanted to be on the record requesting Jencks.

THE COURT: Mr. Bhatia, you're in agreement, correct?

MR. BHATIA: That's right, your Honor.

We're producing on a rolling basis.

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. GELFAND: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Smallman advised me that the defense might want to bring to my attention exhibits that it may or may not use today, something -- I couldn't quite follow what the specific request was.

MR. GELFAND: It was a pure housekeeping matter, your Honor.

In the ordinary course of just trial preparation, we have premarked certain exhibits that we obviously might or might not introduce at trial. All relative have been disclosed

TZ 1	TTA	777	יוים	1/1
n I	LV V	/ I .	r.i	٧I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the government in discovery, some with exhibit stickers on it, some without. But there's going to be no surprises there.

What I was wanting to know is whether the Court basically wanted an advanced copy of those or whether we should just take it up as the witnesses testify.

THE COURT: If you're not going to offer it, I don't need to see it.

MR. GELFAND: Okay.

THE COURT: If you are going to offer it, I'd be happy to have it with the exhibit number as marked, if only to just keep my papers in order. And if it's not clear, I leave it to your good judgment. But, either way, at the time that you present the exhibit to the witness, I'll need a copy. I'd like one for my law clerk as well.

Your call. I'm happy to have it if you're going to be offering it.

MR. GELFAND: Okay. I just wanted to raise that.

We'll get it organized ourselves with that guidance and provide it accordingly.

THE COURT: Very good.

One moment.

All right. I don't have anything further.

Anyone? Nothing to raise?

MR. BHATIA: No, your Honor.

MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor.

T7 1	ATT 7	TO TO	T / 1
h. I	IN V	115	IVI L

	K1NVTEM1
1	THE COURT: Defense, is it still your expectation
2	not holding you to it that Ms. Finocchiaro will be on cross
3	for approximately 20 more minutes or so?
4	MR. GELFAND: It is, your Honor. And I've had that
5	opportunity to get it even more organized, so hopefully that
6	saves some time.
7	THE COURT: Very good.
8	Why don't we have her in the box before the jury comes
9	in so that they are not wasting time watching her march
10	forward.
11	And government, your next witness will still be Elie
12	Gabay?
13	MR. BHATIA: That's right.
14	THE COURT: Very good.
15	And that witness will be here and ready to go as soon
16	as Finocchiaro is done?
17	MR. BHATIA: That's right.
18	THE COURT: Terrific.
19	All right. I will be ready to take the bench at 9:30,
20	or as soon as Mr. Smallman tells me thereafter that the jury is
21	here. Thank you, see you at 9:30.
22	(Recess)
23	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, may I approach and provide
24	you two copies of exhibits?
25	THE COURT: You may.

A-406

	K1NVTEM1 Finocchiaro - cross
1	These are defense exhibits that may or may not be used
2	during this witness's examination?
3	MR. GELFAND: Correct, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Very good.
5	MR. GELFAND: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Is this one set or two?
7	MR. GELFAND: That's one set, your Honor. I was not
8	aware of the request for the law clerk.
9	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Going forward, that would be
10	great. Thank you.
11	(Jury present)
12	THE COURT: I hope you all had a good evening.
13	We're about to resume with the trial.
14	Ms. Finocchiaro, I'll remind you that you're still
15	under oath.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Gelfand, you may inquire.
18	MR. GELFAND: Thank you, your Honor.
19	KAREN FINOCCHIARO, resumed.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION (continued)
21	BY MR. GELFAND:
22	Q. Good morning, Ms. Finocchiaro.
23	A. Good morning.
24	Q. Can I ask you to speak up a little bit in the mic.
25	A. Good morning.

	PT 1 1 / 1	
MW.	гғ.м1	

## Finocchiaro - cross

Good morning. 1 Q.

2

- Ms. Finocchiaro, when we left off yesterday, we had ended our discussion on the March 28th, 2019 RCCs; correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. And as you testified, those were two of the 29 total RCCs 5
- that are at issue in this case; correct? 6
- 7 A. That is correct.
- Q. And you're familiar with all of the RCCs, not just the two 8 9 that we discussed; correct?
- A. Correct. 10
- Q. Okay. And as we talked about yesterday, all 29 of those 11
- 12 RCCs clearly bear indicia to the bank that they are, in fact,
- 13 RCCs; correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. Now, directing your attention to the April 19th --
- 16 if I have the date right -- 2019, deposits, there were 27
- 17 deposits in the bank that you testified -- I'm sorry, the
- deposits of 27 RCCs into the bank that you testified about 18
- 19 yesterday; correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. And we talked about what actually happened in the bank 21
- 22 itself; correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And the screenshots that you provided from the video 24
- 25 footage that you collected early on in this matter; correct?

K1NVTEM1	Finocchiaro -	cross

- 1 Correct.
  - Q. And to be clear, the video itself is no longer available;
- 3 correct?

- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Are you aware that we have requested the video, but that
- your bank told us that it's no longer available? 6
- 7 A. Correct. If it's after 120 days, it's no longer available.
- 8 Q. Okay. And to be clear, early on, as far as you know, at
- 9 least, no one on the bank took any efforts to preserve the
- video itself, as opposed to the screenshots that we've all 10
- 11 seen; correct?
- 12 A. As far as I'm aware, there was not a preservation letter
- that was requested to save the film. 13
- 14 Q. Okay. During that time period, you were in contact with
- 15 the NYPD detective; correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. And the NYPD never requested that that be preserved;
- correct? 18
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. Did the film video footage have audio as well or is it just
- soundless? 21
- A. It does not have audio. 22
- 23 Q. Okay. So based on your review of the film -- well, just to
- be clear, you've watched the whole film; correct? 24
- 25 Correct.

K1NVTEM1	Finocchiaro ·	_	cross

- Based on your review of the film, as you described 1 2 yesterday, it was -- and I'm approximating, but approximately a 3 one-hour video of Mr. Teman physically in the Miami bank 4 branch; correct?
- A. I don't recall the duration, but there was a lengthy 5 period. 6
  - Q. In other words, this wasn't some two-minute episode of going to a bank teller; correct?
- 9 A. Not that I recall.
  - Q. Now, I want to direct your attention to some of those April 19th RCCs. I'm showing you what's been previously admitted as Government's Exhibit 205.
- THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, can everyone see the 13 14 monitor okay?
- 15 THE JURY: Yes.
- 16 THE COURT: Good.
- 17 Q. And you recognize this document; correct?
- A. I do. 18

7

8

10

11

- 19 Q. Okay. When the prosecutor asked you about some of these 20 RCCs yesterday, is it fair to say that the numbers might be different and the entities are the three entities identified in 21 22 this case, but that substantively these are virtually identical
- documents? 23
- 24 They are similar. Some of them are different, with the 25 disclosure that's read.

レ	1	XIX.	<i>7</i> T	F	M	1

## Finocchiaro - cross

- To be clear, on each of these April 19th, 2019 documents, 1 2 the same language appears on the bottom; correct?
  - A. I believe -- without looking at all of the checks in that series, I do believe that they are all similar with this, this
- disclosure. 5

3

- Q. Okay. I'm happy to show you them, but it sounds like 6 7 you're fairly confident?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So if we look at just any of them, for example, this 10 is the top page, Bates No. 35 of Exhibit 205, they all clearly
- 11 say, "Draw per contract. No signature required." Correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. They all include the URL that you testified about 13 14 yesterday; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 They indicate that the above client, referring in this
- 17 case, for example, to ABJ Lennox LLC, accepted the terms;
- correct? 18
- 19 A. They do -- that's what the check indicates, that draw per 20 contract.
- I'm just asking you what it says. To be clear, you don't 21
- 22 know one way or the other whether or not those individuals
- 23 accepted the terms or didn't; correct?
- A. That is correct. 24
- 25 To this day, Bank of America is not taking a position on

3

4

5

6

7

274

K1NVTEM1	Finocchiaro -	cross

whether that's a true statement or a false statement; correct? 1

A. That is correct.

THE COURT: Ms. Finocchiaro, just kindly keep your voice up. Speak a little more into the microphone.

A. That is correct.

THE COURT: Thank you.

- Q. And then there is the contact info that you testified about
- 8 that is GateGuard's contact number; correct?
- 9 A. I would believe that would be GateGuard's contact
- information if it was provided on the document. 10
- 11 You testified that you couldn't access the URL just because
- of, essentially, Bank of America's IT filter? 12
- A. Correct. 13
- 14 Q. Correct.
- 15 And you didn't make any efforts to look through other
- 16 avenues, like your phone or home computer or anything like
- 17 that; correct?
- A. Correct. 18
- 19 Q. Did you ever call this number with questions?
- 20 A. I did not.
- You described, based on your experience in the banking 21
- 22 industry, various characteristics of checks or RCCs in general;
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 And one of the things that you testified about was the memo

T.7	1	$\tau \tau A$	7.7	רדי	70 /	-1
ĸ		IVI V	/ 1	н	IVI	

### Finocchiaro - cross

- 1 line; correct?
  - A. Correct.

2

- Q. Is it fair to say that the memo line, as far as Bank of
- 4 America is concerned, is not a particularly important line?
- A. It's a description line, so it's not -- I mean, it does 5
- give a description of what the check may be for, but it's 6
- 7 typically in reference for the -- if the customer were to have
- 8 viewed the check, so the maker, that they would have a
- 9 description of what that was for.
- Q. Okay. Basically, a shorthand convenience factor for the --10
- 11 A. Correct. It's not required.
- 12 Q. Okay. And so fair to say when it comes to whether the bank
- 13 honors or doesn't honor -- and when I say "the bank," I mean
- 14 Bank of America, honors or doesn't honor a check, the memo line
- 15 itself is not a factor?
- 16 A. It is not.
- 17 Q. Now, these 27 RCCs do not include or purport to include any
- sort of check number; correct? 18
- 19 A. They do not.
- 20 They don't contain any signature; in fact, they say that in
- 21 very clear terms. Correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And just to be clear, for the benefit of all of us, there's
- some notations on the top. Those are bank notations; correct? 24
- 25 That is correct.

T 7 1	3 TT 7 F	T T 1 N # 1
ĸ	INIXY.	L H. IVI I

### Finocchiaro - cross

- In other words, this was not -- whatever handwriting up 1
- 2 here is on the top left corner of some of these checks, I don't
- 3 mean this in a bad way, but that's something the bank wrote on
- 4 it, not what either Mr. Teman wrote --
- 5 A. I do believe that it's something that the teller has -- has
- signed or put their initials on. 6
- 7 Q. Now, you testified yesterday when the government showed you
- 8 that voluminous spreadsheet --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- that there was a reference to counter credit on April
- 19th of 2019; correct? 11
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. To be clear, that's also reflected in Government 13
- Exhibit 102, which is admitted. 14
- 15 Can you just tell me, do you recognize what this
- 16 document is, just so we can contextualize ourselves?
- 17 That is the customer statement for GateGuard.
- Q. I'm sorry? 18
- 19 A. For GateGuard.
- 20 These are Bank of America's bank statements, if you will,
- for GateGuard for a select period of time? 21
- A. That is correct. 22
- 23 Q. You provided these to the government; correct?
- I did. That is correct. 24
- 25 And if we look through this statement just briefly, we see

T 7 1	7 TT 7 F		7 1
KI	MAY.	I HIV	

2

3

5

## Finocchiaro - cross

- the relevant time frame for April; correct? 1
  - A. That is correct, yes.
    - And we see on April 19th of 2019 the counter credit
- 4 referenced in the amount of \$297,000; correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And even though this is a different document, this is 6
- 7 essentially the document or the same data from which the
- 8 spreadsheet was populated; correct?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- To be clear, when the deposit was made, a deposit slip was 10
- 11 completed and kept in the ordinary course and practice of the
- 12 bank; correct?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 And just so we all understand, what is a deposit slip?
- 16 A deposit slip is something that the customer fills out
- 17 when they are making the deposit. It has the customer's name,
- account number that it's being deposited to, and the amount 18
- 19 that is being deposited. It describes whether you're
- 20 depositing cash or whether you're depositing checks.
- Q. I'm showing you what's been admitted as Government's 21
- 22 Exhibit 206. Can you tell us what that is?
- 23 A. That is the deposit slip on 4/19 for the deposit to
- GateGuard for the amount of \$297,000. 24
- 25 Okay. So to be clear, just in simple English, this is the

K1NVTEM1	Finocchiaro -	_	cross
IXTIN A TELAT	r inoccitato -		CLUSS

- deposit slip that correlates with the deposit that you've been 1
- 2 testifying about; correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- 4 And you pulled this and provided it for the government;
- 5 correct?

- That is correct. 6
- 7 It references April 19th, the date; correct?
- 8 Correct. Α.
- 9 Q. It references the same amount; correct?
- That is correct. 10 Α.
- And it references GateGuard and its business location in 11
- 12 Miami Beach on that same road as the bank branch, Lincoln Road;
- 13 correct?
- A. That is correct. 14
- 15 Q. Now, if we look -- it's a little bit tough to see.
- 16 showing you on the bottom -- I'm going to zoom in as much as I
- 17 can. Can you see that on the screen in front of you, ma'am?
- 18 A. I can, yes.
- 19 Okay. This text that appears on the bottom, that's
- 20 generated by the bank; correct?
- A. That is correct. 21
- 22 Q. Okay. And it references the date, the time, the deposit;
- 23 correct?
- A. That is correct. 24
- 25 The available balance of approximately 20-some thousand

T 7 1	TATE OF	וכדים	N # 1
ĸ	MIXI.	I H'I	VI I

2

3

4

## Finocchiaro - cross

- dollars; correct? 1
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. And then can you read the part that I've circled on the screen, beginning with "holds"?
- 5 "Holds applied see hold notice deposit."
- Okay. What does that mean? 6
- 7 A. It means that applicable holds were placed on the checks
- 8 that are coming in.
- 9 Q. When you say "holds were placed," that means that
- 10 GateGuard, Mr. Teman, whoever you want to say, didn't have
- access to this money until the holds were lifted; correct? 11
- A. That is correct. 12
- Q. Okay. So to be clear, when we see things like counter 13
- 14 credit of \$297,000 on April 19th, no one actually had access to
- 15 that money on that day; correct?
- 16 A. On that day, he would not have had access to that; he would
- 17 have only had access to what is indicated as the available
- 18 balance.
- Q. Which was the amount of money previously in the account 19
- 20 that has nothing to do with these deposits; correct?
- A. That is correct. 21
- 22 Q. So, in other words, he would have access to the money he
- 23 had, he wouldn't have access to the money that was deposited
- via these RCCs? 24
- 25 Right. He can see the deposit and he can see the amount in

T 2	7 7.	TT 717	ירדים	 -

### Finocchiaro - cross

- there, but he's not able to access the account at that time. 1
- 2 Q. And, in fact, as a bank employee of Bank of America, an
- 3 investigator for two decades plus, are you familiar with bank
- 4 forms?
- A. Yes. 5
- Are you familiar with -- this says "see hold notice." Are 6
- 7 you familiar with Bank of America notices of hold?
- 8 A. Typically, yes. Our holds are for two days if they are an
- 9 on-us check, meaning that it's a Bank of America check that's
- being deposited. Obviously we have the ability at that time to 10
- see the source of funds in another account. Our hold notices 11
- are, in fact, typically seven days for a check that is another 12
- bank. So in this case, Signature or JPMorgan Chase, we would 13
- 14 apply a seven-day hold.
- 15 Q. Okay. And, in fact, that's exactly what happened here;
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- Q. And this notice of hold, that's basically a receipt that's 18
- 19 given to the person making the deposit; correct?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- Okay. And have you seen the notice of hold that was issued 21
- in this case? 22
- 23 A. I did not see -- I mean, I noticed that there was a hold
- that was applied, it's a standard typical hold; but I didn't 24
- 25 see any additional documentation in regards to the hold.

T 7 1	BIT 7F		
K	X  X/	I H IVI I	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Finocchiaro - cross

MR. GELFAND: Okay. If I may approach and show the witness what's been premarked as Defense Exhibit 16.

THE COURT: This is just for the witness right now, it's not in evidence.

MR. GELFAND: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

Ladies and gentlemen, from time to time, a document will be shown or an exhibit will be shown to the witness and will be accessible to counsel and not to you. The reason that's happening is that until I rule that the exhibit can be received in evidence, you can't see it.

So I need to look at it, the witness needs to look at it, the lawyers may need to ask questions to the witness about it. Once I decide it can properly be received in evidence, Mr. Smallman pushes a button, and then it's accessible to you. We're not trying to hide anything from you; I just need to go through the hoops of making sure that it's properly received in evidence.

Go ahead.

MR. GELFAND: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Smallman. Yes. Very good.

Go ahead.

BY MR. GELFAND:

I'll zoom in on the text, but just so that we're clear for the record, can you see the whole context of this document

	K1NVTEM1 Finocchiaro - cross
1	right now?
2	A. It's cut off on the side.
3	Q. To be clear, this was not directly provided by the bank;
4	correct?
5	A. I did not provide this.
6	Q. Okay.
7	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, can I show the witness a
8	hard copy of this? I think it's pretty tough
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Gelfand, a little louder.
10	MR. GELFAND: Can I show the witness a hard copy of
11	this?
12	THE COURT: You may. It appears though what's on the
13	screen is cut off on the document itself.
14	MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.
15	BY MR. GELFAND:
16	Q. Does that appear to be a photograph of a notice of hold
17	form issued by Bank of America?
18	A. It does appear to be.
19	Q. And specifically, if you look without reading it into
20	the record, if you look at the dates, the total deposit number,
21	and the hold code, does this appear to correlate with the
22	deposit slip and the April 19th deposit?
23	A. Yes, it does.
24	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, at this point I would move
25	Defense Exhibit 16 into evidence.

	K1NVTEM1 Finocchiaro - cross
1	THE COURT: Any objection?
2	MR. BHATIA: No objection, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Defense Exhibit 16 is received.
4	(Defendant's Exhibit 16 received in evidence)
5	THE COURT: Do you now want that published to the
6	jury?
7	MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Smallman. Thank you.
9	MR. GELFAND: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, it
11	should now be on your monitors.
12	Sorry. It should now be on your monitors.
13	MR. GELFAND: When I do my job.
14	BY MR. GELFAND:
15	Q. So this is a little bit tough to read on just the
16	presentation of it, but can you just read for all of us the
17	date of the notice of hold?
18	A. 4/19/2019.
19	Q. And then the amount is the same, \$297,000?
20	A. That is correct.
21	Q. This is unfortunately going to be very difficult for the
22	jury to read, but can you tell us when the \$292,000 will be
23	available?
24	A. On 4/26 of 2019.
25	Q. So seven days later; correct?

T 7 1	N TT 7F	TT 1 8 4 1	ı
ĸ	131.37	ומידיו	

### Finocchiaro - cross

1 Α. Correct.

- Q. And that's consistent with what you testified you
- 3 anticipated the hold would be; correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Fair to say that's what happened here?
- 6 Correct. Α.
- 7 Q. So between April 19th and April 26th, the money was not
- 8 available to anyone; correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Okay. And, in fact, based on your review of what actually 10
- happened at the bank branch, Mr. Teman was actually handed this 11
- notice of hold; correct? 12
- 13 A. It should have been -- it was provided, yes.
- 14 Okay. And there wasn't any pushback by Mr. Teman of, Hold
- 15 on. If it's not available right now, let me have these RCCs
- 16 back, or anything crazy like that, right?
- 17 A. I wasn't present. I'm unaware.
- Q. Based on what you observed on the video that you watched 18
- 19 that's no longer available, does anything like that appear?
- 20 There's no audio, so I'm unable to indicate.
- The deposits maintained -- I'm sorry, the RCCs were 21
- 22 maintained in Bank of America's possession after that
- 23 interaction with the teller; correct?
- A. Correct. 24
- 25 Now, you then testified that --

	28
	K1NVTEM1 Finocchiaro - cross
1	THE COURT: Mr. Gelfand, can the witness put the
2	exhibit down?
3	MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. GELFAND: Thank you.
6	Q. The purpose of the hold is to confirm that the funds should
7	be made available; correct?
8	A. Right. It levels as an expectation for the customer that
9	the funds are on hold and when the funds would be available.
10	Q. Now, the government showed you what's been marked as
11	Exhibit 114. Do you recognize that document?
12	A. I do, yes.
13	Q. Over and over on this document there's the text "RCC
14	warranty breach"; correct?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. And that's under the column "Reason"; correct?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. Does Bank of America populate that column?
19	A. This is something that is digitally sent to us with the
20	reason code and the explanation of the reason code from the
21	maker bank.
22	Q. Okay. So to be clear, Bank of America is not
23	characterizing the event as an RCC warranty breach; in this
24	case, Signature Bank and JPMorgan are doing that. Correct?
25	A. That is correct.

T 2	-1	A 77	7.00	777	2 4	- 1
ĸ		1/1/	7.1	. н.	IVI	

3

## Finocchiaro - cross

- Bank of America is just reporting what's told to Bank of 1
- 2 America; correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- 4 And if we look through this document, these RCC warranty
- 5 breach notations are correlated with many of the RCCs that were
- received in evidence; correct? 6
- 7 A. That is correct.
- Q. And on the second page of this document, we see that 8
- 9 language again, and then the prosecutor asked you questions
- about the five or four, quote/unquote, counterfeit check 10
- 11 designations at the bottom; correct?
- A. That is correct. 12
- And this was the same reason code column; correct? 13
- 14 Α. Correct.
- 15 Okay. So again, this is not Bank of America saying this is
- 16 a counterfeit check; correct?
- 17 That is correct.
- This is the reason or the code generated by, you said, the 18
- 19 maker bank, I just want to be very precise, Signature and/or
- 20 JPMorgan?
- That is correct. 21 Α.
- 22 Q. And is it fair to say that what's basically being
- 23 communicated to Bank of America in this instance is that those
- banks' customers are saying that this is an unauthorized RCC? 24
- 25 That is correct.

	28
	K1NVTEM1 Finocchiaro - cross
1	Q. In charging back I think that's the term you used
2	yesterday; correct?
3	A. A chargeback; correct.
4	Q. In requesting or insisting on chargebacks from Bank of
5	America, none of these other banks provided the GateGuard terms
6	and conditions or payment terms to Bank of America; correct?
7	A. That is not required.
8	Q. Fair enough. But I'm just asking if that happened?
9	A. They did not.
10	Q. Okay. Now, when you testified yesterday, you testified to
11	that document. But the bank also sends individuals in this
12	case account holders like GateGuard correspondence if checks
13	are basically returned; correct?
14	A. That is correct. It is provided.
15	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, at this point, pursuant to
16	the stipulation read by government counsel yesterday, I would
17	move into evidence Defense Exhibit 52.
18	THE COURT: Any objection?
19	MR. BHATIA: No objection.
20	THE COURT: Received.
0.1	

(Defendant's Exhibit 52 received in evidence)

22

MR. GELFAND: May I publish it, your Honor?

23

THE COURT: You may.

24

Q. Do you see Exhibit 52?

25

Yes, I do.

K1NVTEM1	Finocchiaro -	cross

- Okay. And these use the same language, "RCC breach" on 1
- 2 them; correct?
  - A. Correct.

- 4 Q. And then on the side, Bank of America says: "Return
- 5 reason-4 RCC warranty breach"; correct?
- A. That is correct. 6
- 7 Q. And they reference copies, in this case, of 24 checks;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. I haven't seen all of the checks, but most of the checks
- 10 should be present on the document.
- 11 Q. Okay. I'll ask it another way.
- 12 The bank provided copies of each of the RCCs that are
- at issue in --13
- 14 A. That is correct. Yes.
- 15 And that would be inclusive in the document; correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. And what does "return reason-4" mean, if you know?
- I don't know the code right offhand. 18
- 19 But, again, what this is communicating to the customer,
- 20 GateGuard, is that these were RCCs that were basically not
- honored by the customer, for example, ABJ or the others on 21
- here? 22
- 23 A. That is correct.
- So Bank of America is not saying to Mr. Teman or GateGuard, 24
- 25 These are counterfeit checks, these are fake checks, anything

TZ 1	NTX 7 TT IN N 1	
n	IN V I PIIVI I	

### Finocchiaro - cross

- along those lines. They are saying, We processed them as RCCs. 1
- 2 And the banks that they were drawn on said that their customers
- 3 are saying we didn't authorize this?
- 4 In regards to the RCCs, their return reason is RCC.
- 5 However, some of them are listed as counterfeit.
- By those banks; correct? 6
- 7 That is correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, in processing the RCCs, you testified that
- 9 there was the seven-day hold. And then yesterday the
- prosecutor walked you through transfers within Bank of America 10
- 11 of money that followed, started on April 26th and followed the
- 12 next couple of weeks; correct?
- A. That is correct. 13
- 14 Okay. And, in fact, each of those transfers were made from
- 15 GateGuard's Bank of America account to other Bank of America
- 16 accounts; correct?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- Q. And each of those accounts, starting with, for example, the 18
- 19 corporate accounts, Friend or Fraud Incorporated, Touchless
- 20 Labs LLC, those are other businesses that Bank of America holds
- accounts for, held accounts for, that were associated with Ari 21
- 22 Teman; correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 And the bank was on notice for quite some time that these
- 25 were Ari Teman's businesses; correct?

	/T		

### Finocchiaro - cross

1 Α. Correct.

- Q. So I showed you, for example, the signature card yesterday
- 3 for GateGuard; correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 I'm going to show you Government Exhibit 103 real briefly.
- Is this the signature card for Friend or Fraud? 6
- 7 It is, yes.
- 8 Q. And does it reference that Friend or Fraud is controlled by
- 9 Ari Teman, at least as far as the bank is concerned, since
- April of 2016? 10
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. And similarly, I'm going to show you Government Exhibit
- 13 105. Again, just at least as far as the bank is concerned,
- 14 does it show you Touchless Labs LLC as controlled by Ari Teman
- 15 since March of 2016?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.
- 17 Q. And these are Delaware entities; correct?
- A. That is correct. 18
- 19 So to be clear, when money was moved, money was moved
- 20 essentially from one account that Ari Teman controlled to other
- accounts that Ari Teman controlled as far as Bank of America is 21
- concerned? 22
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 And have you reviewed the bank records of these entities?
- 25 Α. Yes, I have.

K1NVTEM1	Finocchiaro -	cross

- Fair to say that they are operating entities, at least they appear to be based on the transactions?
  - Yes. Α.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

13

14

- MR. BHATIA: Objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: One moment. 5
- Sustained. 6
  - Q. Are there deposits into these accounts?
  - A. I'd need to see the records for each of those accounts, but based on my recollection, I do believe that there was other deposits and other transactions in those accounts.
- In other words, unrelated to GateGuard? 11
- 12 A. Correct.
  - Q. Now, you testified that on April 26, some money was moved from the Friend or Fraud corporate account to an individual account also at Bank of America held in the name of Ari Teman;
- correct? 16
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And, in fact, there were some questions about money from 18
- 19 that account. And a couple of days later, on April 29th and
- 20 May 1st, the prosecutor asked you about international wire
- transfers to China; correct? 21
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And you read -- well, rack your brain on what the company
- was, but there was a Chinese entity bank account that those 24
- 25 checks were sent to; correct?

Α. Correct.

1

2

3

- Q. Are you aware that that company is a supplier for GateGuard?
- 4 MR. BHATIA: Objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained.
- You testified on May 8th that \$4,000 was withdrawn in cash; 6 7 correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- 9 To be clear, what's a cashier's check?
- A. A cashier's check is an official item that the customer can 10 11 purchase from their account so the cash is withdrawn, it's
- turned into an official item. 12
- 13 Q. Okay. And to be clear, what happened here is that, 14 quote/unquote -- let's back up for a second.
- 15 If I walk into a Bank of America branch and I purchase 16 a \$4,000 cashier's check, for example, the bank's not
- 17 physically handing me \$4,000 of cash and I'm not physically
- 18 handing it back; correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. The bank is basically treating it as a, quote/unquote, cash 20
- 21 withdrawal, but, for all intents and purposes, just giving me a
- 22 cashier's check to whomever I ask it be written out to;
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 And the reason that a cashier's check is significant is

エン 1	NITITEN	1
n	IN V I PIIVI	

3

4

5

### Finocchiaro - cross

- that the person receiving it has security that the bank will 1 2 honor it?
  - A. It's an official item that's then drawn on the bank. And it's not specific to that account any longer, it's -- it's an official item that's issued by the bank.
- Q. Okay. In this particular case, if you know, was a 6 7 cashier's check issued to a Levi Herman on that day in the
- amount of \$4,000? 8
- 9 I'm unable -- I do not have that information.
- Okay. That wouldn't be reflected in the bank statements 10
- 11 though; correct?
- 12 A. It would not.
- 13 Q. It would just be reflected as a, quote/unquote, cash 14 withdrawal; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Is it fair to say, based on the bank statements you've 17 reviewed, you can't say one way or the other whether Mr. Teman walked out of the bank with cash or walked out of the bank with 18
- a cashier's check to an individual? 19
- 20 A. Unless it's an exhibit, I'm unable to.
- Q. And it's not something that was provided to the government; 21 22 correct?
- 23 A. Not that I recall.
- Okay. Now, after the bank received the RCC chargebacks 24
- 25 from Signature Bank and JPMorgan Chase, the bank had

T 2 1	3 TT TE	PP 1 / 1
K I	X  X / :	L H IVI I

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

## Finocchiaro - cross

- interactions with Ariel Reinitz on GateGuard's behalf; correct? 1 A. Can you say the name again? 2
  - Q. Let me ask this: Are you aware that GateGuard's attorney had communications with Bank of America in May of 2019 about the nature of what you've testified about, about these RCCs?

MR. BHATIA: Objection, your Honor. 401, 403.

THE COURT: Foundation. Sustained.

- Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, the bank -- the government asked you questions on direct examination about how the bank was considering the offset issue yesterday; correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
  - Q. In the context of those discussions, did the bank have communications with GateGuard's legal counsel, Ariel Reinitz?

MR. BHATIA: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained. Lack of foundation.

- She's a records custodian. You can ask her if she had those communications.
- Q. Have you seen records of communications held by the bank with any attorney on behalf of GateGuard?

MR. BHATIA: Objection.

THE COURT: Just a yes or no.

- A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And based on your review of those records, were there 24 communications -- I'm not asking about the substance of them.
- 25 Were there communications between GateGuard's legal counsel and

	/T'		

### Finocchiaro - cross

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Bank of America about the offset issue?

THE COURT: Just a yes or a no.

A. Trying to recall. I do not believe that there was any questions in regards to the offset.

THE COURT: Mr. Gelfand, if the records are not in evidence, it would be hearsay for her to be speaking about their content. Let's move on.

- Q. Now, you testified yesterday that the bank essentially is holding a significant amount of money from GateGuard and other accounts that you've testified about, Touchless Labs, etc.; correct?
- A. The bank has the funds that are in -- being -- that are in an account; they are not being held. The checks were -- in fact, I had indicated yesterday -- sent to the mailing address for Mr. Ari B. Teman in regards to each and every account. the checks were returned to the bank based on the address provided.
- Q. Now, during this whole time period, what I mean by "this whole time period" is between March and April of 2019, when the RCCs were deposited and when Bank of America was made aware that there was a criminal investigation, the bank had processed these as basically breach warranty RCCs; correct?
- 23 A. They were processed as breach of warranty and counterfeit, 24 ves.
  - And is it fair to say that the government, meaning law

ı	K1NVTEM1 Finocchiaro - cross
1	enforcement, came to Bank of America requesting information
2	about this as opposed to Bank of America going to law
3	enforcement?
4	MR. BHATIA: Objection.
5	THE COURT: Foundation. Sustained.
6	Q. When did you first become involved in providing information
7	to the government, meaning law enforcement, in connection with
8	this case?
9	A. It was on April the or, I'm sorry, May the 15th.
10	Q. Did you contact them or did they contact you?
11	A. They were in contact with me.
12	Q. I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you.
13	A. They were in contact with me.
14	Q. Okay. Who initiated the contact?
15	MR. BHATIA: Objection. 401 and 403.
16	THE COURT: Sustained. Relevance.
17	Q. Over the course of the next several months, you provided
18	information to the government in connection with this case from
19	the bank; correct?
20	MR. BHATIA: Objection.
21	THE COURT: Overruled.
22	A. I was in contact and I did provide information in regards
23	to the account.
24	Q. Finally, just showing you, just briefly, again, for
25	example, Defense Exhibit 52, just an example of one of the

	K1NVTEM1 Finocchiaro - cross
1	RCCs, as far as well, to be clear for a second, these were
2	all made payable to GateGuard, Inc., the corporation; correct?
3	A. That is correct.
4	Q. Fair to say the bank doesn't know one way or the other
5	whether there's anything on here that is false?
6	A. When the check is presented, we're not aware at that time.
7	Q. And to be clear, as you previously testified, you don't
8	know whether anything in this "draw per contract" box is false;
9	correct?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And the rest of the RCCs basically just include the payor,
12	the payee, the dollar amount, the memo line, and the date;
13	correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, may I have one minute?
16	THE COURT: You may.
17	(Counsel conferred)
18	MR. GELFAND: I have no further questions.
19	Thank you, ma'am.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	Thank you, Mr. Gelfand.
22	Any redirect, Mr. Bhatia?
23	MR. BHATIA: Excuse me?
24	THE COURT: Any redirect?
25	MR. BHATIA: Yes, your Honor.
	I

25

8

	2:
	K1NVTEM1 Finocchiaro - redirect
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BHATIA:
3	Q. Ms. Finocchiaro, you testified you were asked some
4	questions about Bank of America's financial responsibilities,
5	right?
6	A. That is correct.
7	Q. And do you recall being asked some questions on
8	cross-examination about how Bank of America reviews checks?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And its obligations when funds are coming out of accounts?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And are accounts at Bank of America insured by the Federal
13	Deposit Insurance Corporation?
14	A. Yes, they are.
15	Q. That's the FDIC?
16	A. Yes, they are.
17	Q. And when Bank of America is trying to determine if a check
18	is authorized, if it's legitimate, is it trying to find out if
19	the person receiving the money is authorized to receive it or
20	if the person sending the money authorized the money to be
21	sent?

22 A. It's authorizing was the money authorized to be sent and 23 received.

MR. BHATIA: Could we pull up as an example Government Exhibit 201. We can go to the top of this page. Thank you.

	T 7 7 7	
	UT M	

### Finocchiaro - redirect

So just to get a little bit more specific, this was a check 1 2 deposited at Bank of America?

A-436

- A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. And you were asked some questions about whether this
- 5 signature is Ari Teman's; is that right?
- 6 A. Correct.

3

- Q. Or whether it looks like the ones on the signature cards?
- 8 Correct. Α.
- 9 Q. And Ari Teman is GateGuard -- or is the signatory for
- GateGuard, right? 10
- 11 A. Yes. Correct.
- 12 Q. What information -- so he was the one getting the money,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. He was the one receiving the funds, essentially, on behalf
- 15 of GateGuard.
- 16 The person receiving the funds is usually happy to get
- 17 them?
- MR. GELFAND: Objection, your Honor. 18
- 19 THE COURT: One moment.
- 20 Sustained.
- The person who was sending -- the person who was going to 21
- 22 have less money than they had before was up here, right, 518
- 23 Coney 205 LLC?
- 24 In this check case, yes.
- 25 And what information did the bank receive about whether

K1NVTEM1

# Finocchiaro - redirect

- that person had authorized the check? 1
- 2 A. If the initial deposit we didn't have any information, it

A-437

- 3 goes to the clearinghouse process, the Federal Reserve. And
- 4 then we receive back the information. So at the time of the
- 5 deposit we did not.
- Q. And at the time, what -- subsequently, what information did 6
- 7 the bank get about whether that person, 518 West 205 LLC, had
- 8 approved the check?
- 9 A. We received the information that they were not approving
- 10 this check; that essentially it was a breach of warranty and,
- 11 in some cases, they were counterfeit.
- 12 Q. And is that true of all of the 29 checks that you testified
- 13 about?
- A. That is correct. 14
- 15 So you received information that the person sending the
- 16 money had not authorized it?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And the bank wasn't as interested in whether the person 18
- 19 receiving the money was authorized to receive it?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Do you recall answering some questions about a hold, a 21
- 22 seven-day hold?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Do you recall that the day that checks were deposited in 24
- 25 April was April 19, 2019?

A-438

	K1N	VTEM1 Finocchiaro - redirect
1	Α.	It was April 19th, 2019.
2	Q.	There's a seven-day hold, right?
3	Α.	That is correct.
4	Q.	And so that would be, I think seven days April 26th?
5	Α.	That is correct.
6	Q.	Okay.
7		MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could you pull up
8	Gov	ernment Exhibit 102 and page 3 of that document.
9		(Continued on next page)
10		
11		
12		
13	V	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	Λ	
19		
20		
21	1	
22		
23		
24		
25		
- 11	l	

	K1ndtem2 Finocchiaro - redirect
1	(Pause)
2	MR. BHATIA: Excuse me. It is the document with Bates
3	number 958 at the bottom.
4	THE COURT: This is a part of Exhibit 102?
5	MR. BHATIA: That is right.
6	BY MR. BHATIA:
7	Q. If I can direct your attention to the bottom of this page,
8	to the section titled, "Withdrawals and other Debits.
9	Do you recall testifying yesterday about the account
10	035 0351 is the Friend or Fraud account?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. And 5580 is Ari Teman's personal account?
13	You can take a look at the exhibits in front of you,
14	Government Exhibits 107 or 108.
15	(Pause)
16	A. 5580 is the personal account for Ari Teman.
17	Q. OK. So the hold on the \$297,000, the balance of that was
18	released on April 26, 2019, right?
19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. That's the date he was able to that was the date most of
21	those funds were available?
22	A. That is correct.
23	Q. And is it right that on this document if it shows that on
24	April 26, 2019, the day those funds were available, there was
25	that day a transfer of \$225,000 to the Friend or Fraud account?
ļ	II

	30
	K1ndtem2 Finocchiaro - recross
1	A. Yes, there was.
2	Q. On that same day there was a transfer of \$3,600 into the
3	Ari Teman's personal account?
4	A. That is correct.
5	Q. And then three days later there is a transfer of 5,500 in
6	the same account?
7	A. That is correct.
8	Q. So those were all within three days of when those funds
9	became available, right?
10	A. The same day and three days later, yes.
11	MR. BHATIA: No further questions, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right. Any recross, Mr. Gelfand?
13	MR. GELFAND: Very briefly, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Of course.
15	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. GELFAND:
17	Q. The prosecutor just asked you a few minutes ago whether the
18	bank had received information that the checks were not
19	authorized, correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. OK. To be Crystal clear, when you said that the bank got
22	information, is it fair to say that what you mean is that the
23	other banks told you that that's what their customers told
24	you told them?

A. Correct. We received the return item chargeback indicating

	K1ndtem2 Finocchiaro - recross
1	that they were counterfeit and in breach of warranty.
2	Q. As opposed to Bank of America making an independent
3	determination of whether that was true or not true?
4	A. We received the information from the corresponding
5	financial institution, and we rely on that information to be
6	factual.
7	Q. Do you know someone named Cheryl Harrison?
8	A. I do, yes.
9	Q. Who is Cheryl Harrison?
_0	A. She is in our compliance and regulatory compliance filing
1	department.
L2	Q. And what is compliance within Bank of America's context?
L3	MR. BHATIA: Objection, your Honor. Scope.
L4	THE COURT: Sustained. Beyond the scope.
L5	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, may we approach for
L6	additional context? May we approach because I think
L7	THE COURT: For a brief sidebar, yes.
-8	(Continued on next page)
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	I and the second

K1ndtem2

Finocchiaro - recross

1

(At the sidebar)

2

THE COURT: What is the issue?

3 4

MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, the government disclosed over the weekend to us, and we actually disclosed to the

5

government, correspondence from Cheryl Harrison, who is a Bank of America employee, consisting of a single email between her

6

and Ariel Reinitz on May 28th of 2019, and the email

7 8

correspondence directly relates to a question that the

9

prosecutor asked on redirect about what information the bank

10

got essentially as to whether these were authorized or not.

The information in the course of the email that was maintained

11 12

by the bank and provided to this witness -- and then, by

13

extension, to the government and then to us -- clearly

14

indicates that these were authorized by GateGuard's customers.

THE COURT: This is out of bounds for several reasons.

15

First of all, it is out of the timeframe that the witness is

Second of all, this is hearsay. This is a

16 17

speaking about.

18 19

communication from Ariel Reinitz, the attorney for the

20

government, to somebody else from the bank after the fact.

21

22 not what the redirect is about. You are at liberty to pursue

23

this with Mr. Reinitz. You are at liberty to pursue it with

is not part and parcel of some close investigation, and that is

24

the other bank employee. But this witness is essentially a

25

custodian of records who is explaining the bank's holding

	K1ndtem2 Finocchiaro - recross
1	processes. The follow-along conversation between a lawyer for
2	Mr. Teman and somebody else are well outside the scope of the
3	inquiry that has been put here and are outside of the witness'
4	competence to address. So, sustained.
5	MR. GELFAND: OK. Thank you, your Honor.
6	(Continued on next page)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	K1ndtem2 Finocchiaro - recross
1	(In open court)
2	THE COURT: At the sidebar, I continued to sustain the
3	objection.
4	Mr. Gelfand.
5	BY MR. GELFAND:
6	Q. Finally, Ms. Finocchiaro, the prosecutor asked you
7	questions about whether or not the bank was insured by the
8	FDIC, correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. OK. And to be clear, you also testified I just want to
11	make sure we are not confused on terminology that some of
12	these checks were processed through the Federal Reserve System,
13	correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. And the FDIC and the Federal Reserve system are two totally
16	separate concepts, correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. OK. Just to be clear, when you testified that these checks
19	were processed through the Federal Reserve System, to the
20	extent you know, that's basically just a way that banks in
21	American banking process checks; they don't independently
22	confirm the veracity of what is on checks or anything like
23	that, correct?
24	A. Correct.
25	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, I have no further questions.
- 1	I

	K1ndtem2
1	Thank you.
2	THE COURT: All right. Any reredirect?
3	MR. BHATIA: No, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Finocchiaro, you may step
5	down. Your testimony is complete. Thank you.
6	(Witness excused)
7	THE COURT: Government, please call your next witness.
8	MR. BHATIA: The government calls Elie Gabay.
9	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, while we wait for
10	the next witness, if you want to stretch your legs, feel free
11	to do so, or not.
12	ELIE GABAY,
13	called as a witness by the government,
14	having been duly affirmed, testified as follows:
15	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
16	State and spell your full name for the record.
17	THE WITNESS: Elie Gabay, E-l-i-e G-a-b-a-y.
18	THE COURT: All right. Good morning, Mr. Gabay. I
19	will ask you to please speak close to the mic, and keep your
20	voice up so that everyone can hear you in this old large
21	courtroom.
22	Counsel, you may inquire.
23	BY MR. BHATIA:
24	Q. Mr. Gabay, where do you work?
25	A. Coney Management.
- 1	

		_
ΚI	ndt	em2

2

3

- And what is your title there? 1 Q.
  - Managing director. Α.
    - How long have you had that title?
- 4 About eleven years. Α.
  - And what kind of work does Coney Management do? 0.
- 6 Manages commercial properties.
- THE COURT: A little louder, please. 7
- 8 Manages commercial properties. Α.
- 9 0. And about how many buildings does it manage?
- About 60. 10 Α.
- 11 And as a general matter, where are the properties that it
- 12 manages?
- 13 A. In New York City.
- 14 Q. You are a managing director there. What are your
- 15 day-to-day responsibilities?
- 16 A. Overseeing the management of the properties on site and
- 17 overseeing the back office functions involving managing those
- 18 properties.
- In 2018, what role did you have in managing and overseeing 19
- 20 the financial activity of those entities?
- A. The same as I have today. Overseeing income, rents, 21
- 22 receipts coming in, expenses, checks going out to vendors.
- 23 Q. Do you generally oversee the financial activity of those
- entities? 24
- 25 Yes.

T 2 1	7.1	_
ΚL	nat.	em2

- And does that involve approving expenses?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

- Do you also have -- what role do you have involving
- 4 contracts?
- 5 I negotiate contracts when necessary.
- And are you also authorized to approve them? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- Q. What role do you have in coordinating vendors? 8
- 9 A. I coordinate with vendors in all matters involving the
- management of the properties. 10
- OK. And what role do you have involving the checking 11
- 12 accounts of those companies?
- 13 A. I'm a signer on some of them, and I oversee the monthly
- 14 reporting that we do when we reconcile those bank accounts
- 15 against our books.
- Are you familiar with the building at 518 West 204 Street? 16
- 17 Yes. Α.
- 18 Q. Where is that generally?
- In northern Manhattan. 19
- 20 And how are you familiar with that building?
- A. We manage the building. 21
- 22 So all the things you talked about managing, do you also do
- those for 518 West 204? 23
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 And are you familiar with 518 West 204 LLC?

T = 1	2.1	_
ΚL	nat.	em2

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 What is the LLC?
  - It's the entity that owns the property 518 West 204 Street.
- 4 Does Coney Management itself own 518 West 204 LLC? Q.
- 5 Α. No.

- 6 Who owns the company?
- 7 A. It's made up of a group of partners, individuals and some
- 8 entities.
- 9 Q. What is the relationship between Coney Management, where
- you work, and the corporation 518 West 204 LLC? 10
- 11 We are the managing agent. Coney Management is the
- 12 managing agent of 518 West 204.
- 13 Q. What does it mean to be a managing agent?
- 14 A. To do all the tasks that I described earlier, to manage the
- 15 day-to-day operations, to oversee all financial matters
- 16 relating to the property.
- 17 Q. Do you see anyone in this courtroom who you recognize from
- your previous business dealings? 18
- A. I do. 19
- 20 Q. Who do you recognize?
- A. Ari Teman, standing up there. 21
- 22 Q. And would you please identify Mr. Teman by an article of
- 23 clothing he is wearing?
- 24 A. A blue suit, purplish tie.
- 25 MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, may the record reflect that

K1ndtem2

- that witness has identified the defendant? 1
- 2 THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
- 3 BY MR. BHATIA:

4

7

- Q. How did you first meet Mr. Teman?
- 5 I had met him at some trade shows relating to some of the
- products that he was selling. 6
  - Q. Around when do you think you met him?
  - Sometime in 2016, 2017, around that time.
- 9 Q. You said he was selling some products. What products was
- 10 he selling?
- He was selling technology -- my first discussions with him 11
- were relating to technology to identify illegal subleases. 12
- 13 Q. What was the name of the product he was selling?
- 14 A. Sublet Spy.
- 15 Did you later enroll -- did you later subscribe to Sublet
- 16 Spy?
- 17 A. I did.
- Q. And did there come a time when you purchased another 18
- 19 product from Mr. Teman?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And what was that product? 21
- 22 A. GateGuard.
- O. What does GateGuard sell? 23
- GateGuard is an intercom system which is placed at the 24
- 25 entrance door of commercial properties, and it was supposed to

K1	n	4	۰ -	m	2
n	- T 1	(1)	. ⊢	111	/

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

20

21

- add an additional layer of verification and identification for 1 2 any parties trying to come into the building who are 3 unauthorized.
  - Q. What role does an intercom have in the safety of a building at its entrance?
    - It makes sure that only people that are authorized to enter the building enter the building and keeps anyone else out by not buzzing the door unless either they are buzzed in from somebody upstairs or they have a code or something like that.
  - When did you first hear about GateGuard?
- 11 Α. Sometime in late 2016, early 2017.
- Q. And did you -- did there come a time when you met Mr. Teman 12 to discuss buying an intercom? 13
  - A. Yes.
- 15 Describe that meeting.
- 16 A. We first discussed it at a trade show where he was showing 17 me some of the functionality and how it worked. We later had a meeting in my office with some other members of my team in 18 19 order to get a little bit more intimately acquainted with how
  - Q. Based on -- fair to say he gave you the sales pitch?

it works and just get to know it better.

- 22 Yes. Α.
- 23 So after the sales pitch, what did you tell Mr. Teman about 24 moving forward with GateGuard?
- 25 We were considering rolling it out on a large scale across

T 2	4		1			$\sim$
ĸ		n	~	+	er	n ·/
1.7	-1-	11	( a	ι	-1	uz.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- a big chunk of our properties, but because it was a fairly new 1 2 product, we would want to test it out first to see how it 3 worked.
  - Q. Just taking a step back for a minute. Where was that meeting with Mr. Teman?
  - There was a meeting at the trade show, and that may have been in Manhattan, I think, but the meeting in our office was in Brooklyn.
  - Q. OK. So when you were talking about rolling it out on a larger scale, what commitments did you make to Mr. Teman? There were no commitments made at the meeting. It was
  - conceptual: This is what we think. This is how we would like to see it happen.

Nothing was firm partially because Mr. Teman said that he had a newer version that was in development which he needed to fly to some other place in order to oversee the manufacturing of, so the final product wasn't really in place yet.

- Q. And was there -- did you have a need for a particular intercom before those later models would be available?
- A. Yes. There came a time when we had an immediate need for an intercom system at 518 West 204th Street, and that happened during the time that we were having discussions. And rather than put in a temporary intercom and wait for the updated model to come out, we decided to talk to Mr. Teman about putting a

KIT	ndt	em2

2

11

- system in since we had an immediate need. 1
  - Q. So the later model might come out later but there was an
- 3 immediate need for an intercom now?
- 4 A. Right. So we would put this one in on a temporary basis
- 5 and eventually swap it out.
- 6 Q. OK. Mr. Gabay, I'd like to direct your attention to
- 7 Government Exhibits 412 through 418. They are in a binder to
- your right. If you could take -- to your left, I'm sorry. My 8
- 9 right. If you could take a look at 412 through 418 and look up
- at me for a moment when you are finished. 10

(Pause)

- A. 412? 12
- 13 Q. 412 through 418.
- 14 (Pause)
- Mr. Gabay, just to give you an idea, I am going to ask 15
- 16 you if you recognize those documents. That is my question for
- 17 now.
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- OK. How do you recognize 412 through 418? 19
- 20 A. These are emails that either I sent or I received.
- 21 MR. BHATIA: OK. And, your Honor, the government
- 22 offers Government Exhibits 412 through 418.
- 23 THE COURT: I think he needs to be more precise about
- who the emails were with. 24
- 25 MR. BHATIA: OK.

<b>K</b> 1	nd	+ .	n-	2
n	[[[	- 1	-11	1/

- Who were the emails with?
- 2 All of those emails were to or from you, right?
  - A. Yes.

1

3

- O. And who were the emails with?
- 5 412 is an email from -- which is auto-generated from the
- intercom panel, and the others are from Ari Teman. 6
- 7 Q. OK. Mr. Gabay -- your Honor, the government now offers
- 8 Exhibits 412 through 418.
- 9 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 10 MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: They are all received.
- (Government's Exhibits 412 through 418 received in 12 13 evidence)
- 14 MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, I would like to publish Government Exhibit 412. 15
- 16 Mr. Gabay, we are going to call up an exhibit for you.
- 17 THE COURT: Can you enlarge the exhibit? The jury is struggling with it a little bit. Thank you. 18
- 19 Q. Mr. Gabay, who is this email from?
- 20 A. This email is from the intercom system.
- 21 Q. And who is this email to?
- 22 A. To me.
- 23 Q. As a general matter, what information are you getting in
- this email? 24
- 25 It's a notification that Ana Esterg, from Apartment 22 at

TZ	1.	:	1 4		O
ĸ	$\perp$ 1	ΩО	lτ	е:	m2

- 518 West 204th Street, got a buzz but didn't log into the 1
- 2 apartment.
- 3 Does this message tell you that the intercom is working?
- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 Did you ever receive an invoice related to this purchase? 0.
- 6 Yes. Α.
- 7 I would like to direct your attention to Government Exhibit
- 8 413.
- A. 413. 9
- 10 Q. So I would like to direct your attention to the top of this
- email. 11
- 12 A. OK.
- 13 Q. Who is this email from?
- A. Ari Teman. 14
- 15 Q. And who is it to?
- To me. 16 Α.
- 17 Q. What is the date of this email?
- 18 January 19, 2018. Α.
- That is the same day as you got the email saying that your 19
- device is working, right? 20
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And so --
- 23 Yeah, sorry. Α.
- 24 Is there an attachment to this email?
- 25 Α. There is.

			_
ΚΊ	ndt	ᅡᆮ	mフ

- And is that an invoice?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

3

4

7

8

9

10

I would like to direct your attention to page 3 of this document.

5 You can follow along on the screen, if that is helpful. We will blow it up for you. 6

So I would like to first direct your attention to the top of this email -- of this invoice, I should say.

Who is this email from -- who is this invoice from?

- GateGuard Inc., a division of Teman.
- 11 Q. And is it to you?
- It has my email address on it, but it's got another entity 12
- 13 on it.
- 14 This is the invoice that was emailed to you?
- 15 A. Yes.
- What is the balance on this invoice? 16 Q.
- 17 \$3,600. Α.
- Q. And if we go further down that page, we can take a look at 18 19 what you are being invoiced for.
- 20 What is the first entry on this invoice?
- GateGuard Version 1 Panel. 21 Α.
  - Q. What is it listed here in the description?
- 23 "To be credited to Panel 2.0 monthly fees when installed."
- And the cost is \$3,600? 24
- 25 Α. Yes.

T 2 1	3.4	_
K	nat	:em2

- And in the far right column, it says, "Line Total." You are not being charged for anything else, right?
  - That is correct. Α.
- The total value of this invoice was \$3,600, right?
- 5 Yes. Α.

1

2

3

- 6 What did you think you were buying when you received this
- 7 invoice?
- A. I was buying the version one panel as a temporary solution, 8
- 9 and eventually it will be credited against the newer panel once
- that came in. 10
- 11 Q. I would like to direct your attention to the bottom of this
- 12 page now.
- 13 In the bottom left corner, there is something that
- says, "Terms." It says, "Buyer sets Terms & Conditions at 14
- 15 https://GateGuard.xyz." Do you see that?
- A. I do. 16
- 17 Q. Did you click on that link?
- I don't recall clicking on that link. 18
- 19 THE COURT: Sorry. A little louder, please.
- 20 I don't recall clicking on that link.
- 21 Why not? Q.
- 22 Why don't I recall it? Α.
- 23 Do you recall why you might not have clicked on that link? 0.
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 I would now -- did there come a time when you paid for

T 2 1	7.1	0
KI	nat	em2

- this -- when you paid this invoice? 1
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. After receiving this invoice, did there come a time when
- 4 you did go to Mr. Teman's website?
- 5 Yes. Α.
- Q. And when was that? 6
- 7 A. It was later on, as we were negotiating the larger
- 8 agreement.
- 9 Q. So that was -- this is just an invoice for one device, is
- 10 that right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. When you received this invoice, what did you think that you 12
- were buying with just this invoice? 13
- A. Just that one device. 14
- 15 Q. OK. At the same time, were there conversations about other
- 16 purchases?
- 17 A. There were conversations.
- O. What were those conversations? 18
- 19 A. Discussions and negotiations about how to structure the
- 20 terms of the larger purchase.
- I'll direct your attention to Government Exhibit 414, and 21
- 22 there is an email message on the third page of that document.
- A. Do I still need this binder? 23
- You don't need the binder. 24
- 25 In this message, Mr. Teman says: "Here is the form to

K	1	n	d	+	_	m	2

- complete the order for the 10 Version 2.0 devices you put into 1
- 2 PropertyPanel.xyz," and then he later includes a hyperlink.
- 3 What was Mr. Teman sending you?
  - A. He was sending me an online order form.
- 5 And did you go to that link?
- 6 I believe so. Α.
- 7 Q. And did there come a time after going to that link when you
- saw so-called "Terms & Conditions" on Mr. Teman's website? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.

- When did you first see those terms and conditions? 10
- It must have been around the time of this email. 11
- 12 What was your reaction to seeing them?
- 13 I was pretty surprised by many of them and felt that they
- 14 needed to be negotiated.
- 15 What about them seemed surprising?
- 16 They seemed very one-sided and aggressive in nature.
- 17 Q. And at the time you saw those terms, did you feel that you
- had been bound by them? 18
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Did you feel you had agreed to those terms?
- 21 No. Α.
- 22 Why not? Q.
- 23 MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, I object to the question
- 24 about the way he felt.
- 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

TZ 1	nd	+	~ 1	~ ?
ĸ	ากต	Т	-	nν

- Q. In your mind, were you bound by those terms?
- 2 A. No.

1

3

- MR. GELFAND: The same objection.
- 4 | THE COURT: Sustained.
  - Q. In your mind, did you agree to those terms?
- 6 MR. GELFAND: I am going to object, your Honor.
- 7 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 8 Q. Did there come a time when you expressed your views about
- 9 | those terms and conditions to Mr. Teman?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And so going back to this document, I will direct your
- 12 | attention to an email message at the bottom of the first page,
- dated January 23, 2018. You wrote here: "Section 5K and 5L or
- 14 | your terms and conditions are pretty brutal. I stopped reading
- 15 | after I saw them. I don't usually get nitpicky but we need to
- 16 discuss these."
- 17 | What were you telling Mr. Teman?
- 18 A. I was telling him that we needed to discuss the terms and
- 19 | conditions.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. And was that a reference to a page on his website?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What did you mean, that they were pretty brutal?
- 23 | A. I'd have to see them to remember it, but judging by my
- 24 | language, they seemed one-sided and very aggressive.
- 25 | Q. When you said that they were pretty brutal, were you

	7 .	_
K	ndt	$\triangle m / 2$

- conveying anything about whether you agreed to those terms? 1
- 2 A. My next sentence says that we need to discuss them, so I
- 3 meant we need to negotiate them.
  - Q. What was the date of that email to Mr. Teman?
- 5 A. January 23, 2018.
- Q. And at that point Mr. Teman had installed a GateGuard 6
- 7 device at 518 West 204, right?
- 8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. I'll direct your attention to an email above this one that
- 10 says -- let's pull it up. He wrote back: "Sure. Call me."
- 11 Did you have a subsequent call with Mr. Teman?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. On that call, what, if anything, did Mr. Teman say about
- 14 payment terms?
- 15 A. I don't recall specifics about that conversation.
- Q. On that conversation, did you commit to abiding by the 16
- 17 terms and conditions?
- MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, objection. The witness has 18
- testified he doesn't recall. 19
- THE COURT: Overruled. 20
- 21 You may answer it.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question.
- BY MR. BHATIA: 23
- Q. On that call that says, "Sure, call me," did you say 24
- 25 anything about committing to the terms and conditions?

T.F	-	7		_
K	Т	na	τ.	em2

2

3

4

5

9

I don't think so, no.

THE COURT: Please speak louder.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

THE COURT: Just repeat the answer.

- I don't think so, no.
- At any time did you have a phone call with Mr. Teman where 6 7 you told him you agreed to the terms and conditions?
- 8 No. Α.
  - Q. So you didn't have one after this either?
- 10 Α. No.
- 11 OK. Did there come a time when you actually paid the
- 12 invoice for -- when you actually paid the invoice that you
- 13 testified about earlier today?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 So I'll direct your attention now to Government Exhibit 16 146.
- 17 MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, at this point, I think we need to offer that into evidence. 18
- If I could have one moment? 19
- 20 One moment, your Honor.
- 21 (Pause)
- MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, the government offers into 22
- 23 evidence, pursuant to a stipulation that we read off yesterday,
- Exhibits 141 through 146. 24
- 25 THE COURT: Any objection?

T = 1		_
ΚI	ndt	$\triangle m/2$

- MR. GELFAND: No objection. 1
- 2 THE COURT: Those are all received.
- 3 (Government's Exhibits 141 through 146 received in
- 4 evidence)
- 5 BY MR. BHATIA:
- Q. Mr. Gabay, I would like to direct your attention to 6
- Government Exhibit 146. We'll put it up on the screen. 7
- 8 On the top right here is a check image, is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recognize this check? 10
- THE COURT: Can you enlarge it, please, for the 11
- 12 benefit of the jury?
- 13 A. I do.
- Q. And is this check issued by 518 West 204 LLC? 14
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
- 16 Is this the check that you issued for the invoice that you
- 17 had received?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. When you issued this check, what were you agreeing to do 19
- 20 with GateGuard? What were you agreeing to purchase from
- 21 GateGuard?
- 22 A. The intercom panel.
- 23 Q. Had you spoken on the phone with Mr. Teman about GateGuard?
- A. I had. 24
- 25 And you described meetings with GateGuard?

	7 .	_
ΚI	$n \cap t$	em2

1 Α. Yes.

- Q. During those phone calls and meetings, did Mr. Teman tell
- 3 you anything about authority to draw checks on behalf of 518
- 4 West 204 LLC?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. Did he tell you anything about a ten-year commitment to 6
- 7 paying him monthly fees?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. At the time you issued this check, had Mr. Teman told you
- anything about paying a device removal fee if you removed the 10
- device? 11
- A. No. 12
- 13 Q. This check is dated January 31, 2018, is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 At the time you paid this check, had you -- did you believe
- 16 that you were buying a GateGuard intercom?
- 17 A. Did I what?
- Q. Did you believe that you were purchasing and owning a 18
- 19 GateGuard intercom?
- A. Yes. 20
- Q. Did you believe that you would be subject to a fee if you 21
- 22 decided to remove the intercom and do something else?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 At the time you issued this check, what commitments had you
- 25 made to GateGuard about future purchases?

		٠.		_
K1	n	Λt	- O	mウ

- There were no commitments, there were just negotiations.
- Q. At the time you issued this check, were you giving Mr. --2
- 3 had you given Mr. Teman authority to draw checks from your
- 4 accounts?
- A. No. 5

- If Mr. Teman had said I'd like authority to issue checks on 6
- 7 your behalf, why don't you give me a check, what would you have
- 8 said?
- 9 MR. GELFAND: Objection, your Honor. It calls for
- 10 speculation.
- THE COURT: Overruled. 11
- 12 THE WITNESS: That means I can answer it?
- 13 THE COURT: You may answer it. Thank you.
- A. I would have said no. 14
- 15 Why would you have said no?
- 16 Because we don't authorize anyone to draw on our
- 17 accounts -- any of our vendors to draw funds from our accounts
- other than specific categories, such as utilities or mortgages. 18
- 19 Q. So what are the categories where you do allow people to
- 20 draw funds?
- A. Only mortgage payments or utilities. 21
- Q. And why do you not let other people draw funds from your 22
- 23 accounts?
- That's just the way our policy is structured. We like to 24
- 25 see invoices come in. We like to authorize each payment and go

	7 .	_
K	ndt	em2

- through our accounts payable process. 1
- 2 Q. Is there a practical effect -- is there a practical reason
- 3 you don't want people automatically drawing funds from that
- 4 account?

- A. Practical reason? I don't understand what you mean.
- Is there a reason you want to manually approve expenses 6
- 7 going out of that account?
- 8 A. Yeah, to make sure that they are authorized.
- 9 Q. At the time you paid this check, did you have any contract
- with Mr. Teman? 10
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. And at the time you issued this check, had you signed
- anything called the terms and conditions? 13
- 14 A. No.
- 15 After paying for this first device, did you have other
- 16 conversations with Mr. Teman about other purchases after paying
- 17 this?
- A. Yes. 18
- O. What was the substance of those conversations? 19
- 20 It was negotiating the rollout of Version 2.0 across
- multiple properties. 21
- 22 Q. I'll direct your attention to Government Exhibit 415, which
- 23 we will pull up on the screen for you.
- 24 I will direct your attention to an email at the bottom
- 25 of the first page.

T/	1	20	7	+	$\sim$ n	n2
$\Gamma$	$\perp$	11	u	L	$e_{\rm II}$	ᇿ스

We can scroll up a little bit, and we can see this is 1 2 from -- this is a message from Ari Teman?

- A. Yes.
- And it is an email to you?
- Yes. 5 Α.

3

4

- And who is Yoni Irom? 6 Ο.
- 7 That is an attorney. Α.
  - In this message -- now go to the contents of it --OK.
- 9 what was Mr. Teman sort of conveying to you?
- THE COURT: Let's let the jury read the message first. 10
- MR. BHATIA: OK. 11
- 12 (Pause)
- 13 THE COURT: OK. Go ahead.
- BY MR. BHATIA: 14
- 15 Q. At this point, is Mr. Teman giving you a proposal?
- 16 A. He's directing me to fill out some sort of template to go
- 17 through the process of finalizing the order for the 20 -- for
- the units for the 20 buildings. 18
- 19 Q. Now, if we go further up this page on this document, you
- send a message to Mr. Teman, and in that message you write --20
- and now we have it up here -- you write: "Proposed changes to 21
- 22 terms and conditions attached. Let's discuss once you've had a
- chance to review." 23
- 24 What were you sending Mr. Teman?
- 25 Red lines terms and conditions.

T 2 1	7.1	_
KI	$n\alpha t$	:em2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

So let's turn to page 3 of this document.

These are the same terms and conditions that you described as pretty brutal earlier?

- A. Yes.
- So on page 3 of this document -- if we pull it up. You can zoom in on the text on this page.
- Can you read the top three lines?
- A. "Terms & conditions, GateGuard Inc. Last revised: 8
- November 30, 2017, 3:30 p.m." 9
- 10 Q. Are these the terms and conditions that you had seen
- before? 11
- 12 A. I believe so.
- 13 Q. And where did you get this document from?
- A. From his website. 14
- And what you are sending him, is that like a Microsoft Word 15
- document? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- Did you send it with any edits in this document? 18
- I did. 19
- 20 When you sent it to him, why did you make edits?
- 21 A. Because I felt the terms and conditions were brutal.
- 22 Q. And did you believe that these terms and conditions had any
- 23 effect on the intercom that you had already purchased?
- Had any effect? 24
- 25 Were these terms and conditions related to that earlier

T. 7	-		7				$\sim$
ĸ	11.	n	$\sim$	_	e	m	_

intercom? 1

2

3

4

9

10

- I didn't think so, no.
  - What intercoms might these terms and conditions relate to?
- The ones that we were negotiating to purchase.
- 5 Turn your attention now to the seventh page of this
- document, and I will direct your attention to a paragraph right 6 7 under the heading 5.

8 Pull it up.

Fair to say this is a long document?

- Yes. Α.
- OK. So this section is called "Orders and Fees," right? 11
- 12 Yes. Α.
- 13 And, in quotes, "Pricing"?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 You made two edits here, right?
- I did. 16 Α.
- 17 What is the edit -- the second edit further down this page,
- you struck some language. We don't need to get into legalese, 18
- 19 but what would you say that you were striking out here?
- 20 I was striking out the language that enabled GateGuard to
- increase pricing at a rate of 100 percent per year. 21
- 22 Q. Was that an unusual provision for you?
- 23 A. Highly unusual.
- Why? Why did you think that might be an issue? 24
- 25 I think it would be an issue if pricing can be doubled on

	4		- 1			$\sim$
K		n	α.	Τ.	em	"

- an annual basis at his discretion. 1
- 2 Q. Further up this page, there is a URL. Do you see that URL?
- 3 I do. Α.

- Q. And did you click on that link?
- 5 I don't recall. Α.
- 6 Do you recall ever seeing another Web page that had similar
- 7 conditions?
- A. Similar conditions to what? 8
- 9 Q. Do you recall ever seeing another page like a Terms &
- 10 Conditions?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. OK. To the best of your memory, did Mr. Teman ever draw
- 13 your attention to that website?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 And to the best of your memory, did he ever send you a copy
- of that website? 16
- 17 A. A copy of the website?
- Q. Did he ever send you the text of what's in that link? 18
- 19 A. No, I don't think so.
- 20 By sending back these terms and conditions, were you
- agreeing to them? 21
- 22 A. No. It was a negotiation.
- 23 Q. You would say this is mid-negotiation, right?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Going back to your email now, so that is page 1 of this

23

24

A. I did.

3

		33
	K1ndtem2	
1	document, towards the top.	
2	(Pause)	
3	THE COURT: Do you need to put it up on the screen.	
4	MR. BHATIA: We will play it.	
5	Q. In this email where you attached these terms and	
6	conditions, you wrote: "Proposed changes to terms and	
7	conditions attached. Let's discuss once you've had a chance	to
8	review."	
9	By saying you wanted to discuss, were you conveying	
10	anything about whether you had already agreed to these	
11	conditions?	
12	A. No.	
13	Q. What did you mean to tell Mr. Teman about the terms?	
14	A. That I had proposed some changes and we should discuss	
15	further.	
16	Q. And you didn't agree to them as is?	
17	A. Absolutely not.	
18	Q. Did you hear from Mr. Teman after you sent this email?	
19	A. I believe we spoke, yes, and I think in response to some	of
20	my comments.	
21	Q. You continued to talk to Mr. Teman about the GateGuard	

Q. Did there come a time when you decided that you did not 25 want to purchase more GateGuard intercoms?

about buying more GateGuard product, is that right?

T = 1	7.1	_
ĸ	nat	em2

1 Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

- What led you to that conclusion?
- A. We were having some challenges with the unit that had been installed, and during the troubleshooting process I communicated with Mr. Teman that until some of these issues were resolved, we'd like to put a hold on any further
- Q. Let's just take a step back.

discussions on the larger order.

You said that you had some issues with the device. What kind of issues?

- A. Connection issues. We got some feedback from tenants that either felt like they weren't able to get in when they needed to get in or weren't able to buzz people in when they needed to buzz people in. The last piece which I remember was that there was a connectivity issue where the unit wasn't working as promised.
- Q. How many times a day would you say an intercom is used in one of your buildings?
- It depends on the size of the building.
- 20 If there were ten tenants in the building, how many times a day do you think the intercom would be used? 21
  - A. It would be tough to say. It depends on how many guests they have and so many other factors.
- It could be dozens of times? 24
- 25 Α. Yes.

<b>K</b> 1	ndt	om 2
n	11(11	$\Theta$ III $Z$

- So is it a problem for you if the intercom is not working 1 2 properly?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- 4 And did tenants complain to you?
- 5 Yes. Α.

- And did you relay complaints to Mr. Teman? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 What did he say in response to those complaints? 0.
- 9 A. He worked on the issues that were coming up, and we worked 10 together in order to resolve them.
- 11 Did you find that despite his work, there were still
- 12 problems coming up?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And ultimately what happened to your discussions about 14
- 15 buying more GateGuard intercoms?
- 16 A. When I relayed the intention to put the larger order on
- 17 hold, I got a very nasty email from Mr. Teman and the
- relationship deteriorated after that. 18
- Q. I would like to direct your attention to Government Exhibit 19
- 20 416. And at the top of this page, there is a message between you and Mr. Teman. 21
- You wrote: "Updated feedback on my end below. 22
- 23 "'Given all of the issues we are having with Teman's
- 24 system, I am very hesitant to move forward with him. I think
- 25 we need to put this entire project on hold. And wait.""

	K1ndtem2
1	What were you relaying to Mr. Teman?
2	A. I was relaying to him that due to the challenges and the
3	issues that we had with the system, we would be suspending any
4	further discussion about the larger order until they were
5	resolved.
6	Q. You are describing feedback on your end and then quoting
7	some language. Are you quoting someone else here?
8	A. Just probably quoting just the general feedback that I'm
9	getting.
10	Q. So in the office there was a conclusion to put this on
11	hold?
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. It was not just your decision?
14	A. No.
15	Q. After
16	THE COURT: Counsel, I am looking for a good moment
17	for a mid-morning break. Is this it, or would you like to go
18	on a little longer?
19	MR. BHATIA: I have a few more questions on this top.
20	THE COURT: Very good.
21	MR. BHATIA: And then I will let you know.
22	THE COURT: Keep going.
23	BY MR. BHATIA:
24	Q. Did you ever after saying that you were hesitant to move

Q. Did you ever -- after saying that you were hesitant to move forward, did you ever buy any intercoms?

		337
	K1ndtem2	
1	A. Did I ever?	
2	Q. After sending this message, did you buy any other	
3	intercoms intercoms from Mr. Teman?	
4	A. No.	
5	Q. OK. Did you buy anything else from Mr. Teman?	
6	A. No.	
7	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, this might be a good point	
8	for a break.	
9	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're	
10	going to take our mid-morning break. Mr. Smallman will come	
11	get you in 15 minutes.	
12	Enjoy your break and, as always, do not discuss the	
13	case.	
14	(Continued on next page)	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

	33
	K1ndtem2
1	(Jury not present)
2	THE COURT: All right. The witness may step down.
3	Counsel, anything to raise before we take our break?
4	MR. BHATIA: No, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right. I will see you just before the
6	jury comes back. Thank you. Have a good break.
7	(Recess)
8	(Jury not present)
9	THE COURT: All right. Let's get the witness and the
10	jury.
11	Government counsel, so that I don't have to keep
12	reminding the witness, do encourage him to speak up. He speaks
13	very softly.
14	And government counsel, to the extent that there
15	you go, here he is. Please repeatedly just keep your voice up
16	and speak right into the mic.
17	May I just suggest to the government table, given the
18	size of some of the type on some of the documents, so that I
19	don't have to keep interjecting, as a general matter, let's
20	blow up the relevant language so the jury can see it without
21	straining. Thank you.
22	MR. BHATIA: Understood.
23	(Continued on next page)
24	
25	

A-475

	339
	K1ndtem2
1	(Jury present)
2	THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, ladies and
3	gentlemen.
4	Please be seated.
5	Mr. Gabay, I will remind you that you are still under
6	oath.
7	And, counsel, you may inquire.
8	BY MR. BHATIA:
9	Q. Mr. Gabay, to be clear, were there problems with the one
10	GateGuard device that you owned?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. There were problems with the one at 518 West 204?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. What were those problems?
15	A. We were having issues connecting. There were a variety of
16	issues with getting tenants to use it properly or get it to run
17	the way we needed it to run.
18	Q. Did the tenants seem upset about it?
19	A. They did.
20	Q. And they were relaying those concerns to you?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And having a faulty intercom, does that also lead to
23	getting complaints with the city?
24	A. Yes.

It can be a big problem for you, is that right?

T 2 1	3 1	_
K	$n\alpha +$	em2

1 Α. Yes.

- And did you relay those concerns to Mr. Teman?
- 3 I did. Α.
- 4 These were reoccurring problems, is that right?
- 5 Yes. Α.
- 6 Ultimately, was he able to stop the problems from
- 7 reoccurring?
- 8 A. Many of them were taken care of. The connectivity issues
- 9 were still not resolved.
- Q. Connectivity means the ability of the intercom -- the 10
- 11 ability of the intercom to connect to the Internet?
- 12 A. Yes, and for us to connect to the intercom remotely to
- 13 update or --
- 14 Q. Is that the main purpose of the intercom, as far as you can
- tell? 15
- 16 A. No, that's not the main purpose.
- 17 Is it an important part of the product? Q.
- 18 Α. Yes.
- Q. And it wasn't working? 19
- 20 A. Correct.
- And you told Mr. Teman, right? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 And you said -- you kept having these problems? Q.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Would you describe yourself as a satisfied customer?

25

			341
	K1n	dtem2	
1	Α.	No.	
2	Q.	Did there come a time after the first device when you	
3	bou	ght more of them?	
4	Α.	No.	
5	Q.	Why not?	
6	Α.	Because we weren't happy with the first one.	
7	Q.	You sent over you testified about some edits you made	to
8	the	terms and conditions.	
9	Α.	Yes.	
10	Q.	As part of your negotiations, right?	
11	Α.	Yes.	
12	Q.	And at the time at any time, did you ever have an	
13	agr	eement with Mr. Teman?	
14	Α.	About what?	
15	Q.	About these terms and conditions.	
16	Α.	No.	
17	Q.	Did you ever have any agreement about purchasing more	
18	dev	ices?	
19	Α.	No.	
20	Q.	Did you feel that you had any agreement excuse me.	
21		Did you have any agreement beyond buying the one	
22	dev	ice that you paid for?	
23		MR. GELFAND: Objection, your Honor.	

THE COURT: One moment.

(Pause)

K	1	nd	+	em2
Τ.	_	110		

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

14

15

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?

BY MR. BHATIA:

- Q. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Teman other than paying for the one device that you owned and the invoice that you
- 7 received?
- 8 A. No.
  - THE COURT: Wait. Just to be more precise, is the question other than in connection with the first intercom, did he have any agreement with Mr. Teman? Is that the question?
- That's the way I understood what you were asking, but 12 I want to make sure there is clarity in your question. 13
  - MR. BHATIA: I mean other than -- let me rephrase the question.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 17 Q. Mr. Teman installed one intercom in one of your buildings,
- right? 18
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And you paid \$3,600 for that intercom?
- A. Correct. 21
- 22 Q. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Teman beyond him
- 23 installing the device and you paying \$3,600 for that device?
- A. No. 24
- 25 Did you have any agreement called Terms & Conditions?

	-		_
K		ndt	em2

1 Α. No.

- Q. Did you have any written signature with both of your
- 3 signatures at the bottom?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Did Mr. Teman at any time, ever, tell you about fees for
- 6 removing that device?
- 7 A. Yes, after -- once the relationship deteriorated, he
- 8 started sending me emails about these fees that I supposedly
- 9 owed him.
- Q. Prior to when the relationship deteriorated, when you put 10
- that -- is that after you decided to put the negotiations on 11
- 12 hold?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Prior to that, had Mr. Teman ever told you about a fee for 14
- 15 removing a device from your own building?
- 16 A. Not that I recall.
- 17 Q. You thought you owned the device -- you owned the device,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Well, it was a temporary device that we owned until the new
- 20 one would come in.
- Q. Does it make sense to you to pay a fee to remove your own 21
- 22 device from your own building?
- 23 A. No.
- Is that something that would have stood out to you if you 24
- 25 had agreed to pay that fee?